1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ALESHIRE & WYNDER, LLP DAVID J. ALESHIRE, Bar No. 65022 WILLIAM W. WYNDER, Bar No. 84753 WESLEY A. MILIBAND, Bar No. 241283 18881 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 1700 Irvine, CA 92612 Telephone: (949) 223-1170 Facsimile: (949) 223-1180 daleshire@awattorneys.com wwynder@awattorneys.com wmiliband@awattorneys.com Attorneys for Defendant and Cross-Complainant, Phelan Piñon Hills Community Services District SUPERIOR COURT (COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550(b)) ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES Included Actions: Los Angeles County Waterworks District	
17	No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., et al. Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC 325 201 Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., et al. Kern County Superior Court, Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348	(Filing Fees Exempt, Per Gov't Code § 6103) RESPONSE BY PHELAN PIÑON HILLS COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT TO [PROPOSED] CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER FOR PHASES 5 AND 6 TRIALS
22		
23	Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of))
24	Lancaster Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster))
25	Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist.))
26	Riverside County Superior Court, Consolidated Action, Case Nos. RIC 353))
27	840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668))
28	AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS	<i>)</i>)

2

3

5

4

9

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

25

26 27

TO THE HONORABLE COURT AND ALL PARTIES HEREIN:

Phelan Piñon Hills Community Services District ("PPHCSD") hereby respectfully submits the following response to the [Proposed] Case Management Order For Phases 5 and 6 Trials filed by Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 on September 27, 2013 ("Proposed CMO"):

The Proposed CMO is substantially consistent with the Court's comments and direction as provided to the parties during hearings held on September 6 and 13, and the Proposed CMO reveals that the Liaison Committee has aptly worked to provide structure to the upcoming trial phases. However, to better support the needed structure for these trial phases and to enhance the parties' ability to properly prepare for trial, two items arise from the Proposed CMO that PPHCSD requests the Court incorporate into what is likely to become the Case Management Order for Phases 5 and 6 Trials ("CMO"), as follows:

- Whether claims to return flows resulting from use of native water are for (1) determination in Phase 5 or Phase 6. By way of the Court's First Amended Discovery Order For Phase 4 Trial (posted February 21, 2013), the Court recognized this issue is for determination "during later proceedings." On September 13, 2013, PPHCSD inquired as to which trial phase this issue will be tried, with counsel for Diamond Farming Company indicating it also has such as claim. The Court indicated this claim should be part of Phase 5. The Proposed CMO, under "Alternative 1" and "Alternative 2" for Phases 5 and 6, does not address this issue, except perhaps by implication for Phase 6 Alternative 1 suggesting that the "Phase 6 trial will determine claims to a prescriptive right and all remaining claims to groundwater." (Proposed CMO, p. 2: 14-15 [emphasis added].) Accordingly, PPHCSD respectfully requests the Court reflect in the CMO which Phase this issue of return flows resulting from use of native water will be tried.
- Phase 6 Alternative 2 would limit trial to "prescriptive rights and defenses thereto." (2) (Proposed CMO, p. 2:16-17.) Neither Phase 5 Alternatives 1 and 2, nor Phase 6 Alternative 2, address when non-prescriptive rights will be tried. Only Phase 6 Alternative 1 broadens the scope for determining "claims to a prescriptive right and all remaining claims to groundwater." (Proposed CMO, p. 2:14-15 [emphasis added].) So unless the Court adopts Phase 6 Alternative 1, 28 | it is unclear whether the Court recognizes that non-prescriptive claims exist, and, when such claims

1	1 may be offered to the Court for determination. Accord	lingly, if the Court does not adopt Phase 6	
2	Alternative 1 which presumably includes non-prescriptive claims, then PPHCSD respectfully		
3	requests the Court reflect in the CMO that the Court recognizes that non-prescriptive claims exist		
4	(even if by a public water supplier) and that such claims are for determination in proceedings		
5	5 following Phase 6.		
6	Incorporating the two items set forth above into the CMO will help provide the structure		
7	necessary for effective case management, as well as enhance the ability of the parties - including		
8	PPHCSD - to properly prepare for trial.		
9	9		
10	Dated: October 1, 2013 Respectfull	y submitted,	
11	11 ALESHIRE	E & WYNDER, LLP	
12	12 By:	103	
13	Wesle Wesle	ey A. Miliband neys for Cross-Defendant and	
14	14 Cross	-Complainant, n Piñon Hills Community	
15		ces District	
16	16		
17	17		
18	18		
19	19		
20	20		
21	21		
22	22		
23	23		
24	24		
25			
26			
27	•		
28	28		

1 2	Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408 For Filing Purposes Only: Santa Clara County Case No.: 1-05-CV-049053		
3	PROOF OF SERVICE		
4	I, Linda Yarvis,		
5	not a party to the within action. My business address is 18881 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 1700		
6			
7 8	PHELAN PIÑON HILLS COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT TO [PROPOSED] CASE		
9 10	County Superior Court website in regard to Antelope Valley Groundwater matter pursuant to the		
1112	(BY MAIL) By placing a true copy of the foregoing document(s) in a sealed envelope		
13 14	ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this Firm's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, the correspondence would be deposited with the United States Postal Service on that same day, with postage thereon fully		
15			
161718	by Overnight Express, an express service carrier, or delivered to a courier or driver authorized by		
19	Executed on October 1, 2013, at Irvine, California.		
20	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.		
21			
22	Linda Yarvis (Type or print name) (Signature)		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	_1-		

PROOF OF SERVICE

001628

01133/0012/93114.01