

1 2 3 4	ALESHIRE & WYNDER, LLP JUNE S. AILIN, State Bar No. 109498 jailin@awattorneys.com MILES P. HOGAN, State Bar No. 287345 mhogan@awattorneys.com 18881 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 1700 Irvine, California 92612 Talenhone: (949) 223 1170	
5	Telephone: (949) 223.1170 Facsimile: (949) 223.1180	
6	Attorneys for Defendant and Cross-Complainant Phelan Piñon Hills Community Services District	
7	,	
8		
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	COUNTY OF LOS ANGE	LES, CENTRAL DISTRICT
11	î .	
12	Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550(b))	Case No. Judicial Council Coo Proceeding No. 4408
13 14	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES	(For Filing Purposes Only:. Sa County Case No.: 1-05-CV-0
15	Included Actions:	PHELAN PIÑON HILLS C
16	Los Angeles County Waterworks District	SERVICES DISTRICT'S O TO WILLIS CLASS' MOT
17 18	No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., et al. Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC 325 201	COURT ORDER FOR PAY EXPERT WITNESS FEES: WILLIS CLASS FOR PHYS SOLUTION PROCEEDING
19	Los Angeles County Waterworks District	Date: June 15, 2015
20	No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., et al.	Time: 1:30 p.m. Place.: Santa Clara County
21	Kern County Superior Court, Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348	191 N. 1 <sup>st</sup> Street, Sa Hon. Judge Jack Ko
22		Assigned for All Purposes to:
23	Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster	Hon. Jack Komar
24	Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist.	Date/Time: 08/03-04/15, 10:0 (Final Fairness Hearing [Small F Settlement] and Motion to Admi
25	Riverside County Superior Court, Consolidated Action, Case Nos. RIC 353	Proposed Physical Solutions into Date/Time: 08/25-27/15, 10:0
26	840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668	(Hearing on claims by Phelan Pi Date/Time: 09/28-10/16/15, 1
27	AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS	(Prove-up Hearings [evidentiary physical solution])

Case No. Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408

(For Filing Purposes Only:. Santa Clara County Case No.: 1-05-CV-049053)

PHELAN PIÑON HILLS COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT'S OPPOSITION TO WILLIS CLASS' MOTION FOR COURT ORDER FOR PAYMENT OF EXPERT WITNESS FEES FOR THE WILLIS CLASS FOR PHYSICAL SOLUTION PROCEEDINGS

Santa Clara County Superior Court Place .:

191 N. 1<sup>st</sup> Street, San Jose, CA Hon. Judge Jack Komar

Date/Time: 08/03-04/15, 10:00 a.m., LASC (Final Fairness Hearing [Small Pumper/Wood Class Settlement] and Motion to Admit Alternative Proposed Physical Solutions into Evidence)

Date/Time: 08/25-27/15, 10:00 a.m., San Jose (Hearing on claims by Phelan Piñon Hills CSD)

Date/Time: 09/28-10/16/15, 10:00 a.m., TBD (Prove-up Hearings [evidentiary hearing for a physical solution])

01133.0012/254876.1

28

Cross-Defendant and Cross-Complainant, Phelan Piñon Hills Community Services District ("Phelan Piñon Hills"), submits the following opposition to the Willis Class' Motion for Court Order for Payment of Expert Witness Fees for the Willis Class for Physical Solution Proceedings filed in the above-entitled action on May 21, 2015 to the extent payment of expert witness fees is sought from Phelan Piñon Hills.

# I. THE WILLIS CLASS DOES NOT AND CANNOT SEEK EXPERT WITNESS FEES FROM PHELAN PIÑON HILLS.

While the Willis Class seeks payment of expert witness fees from several other parties, the Motion *does not* explicitly seek any fees from Phelan Piñon Hills.

The basis for the Motion is as follows: "This Motion is based on the unique circumstances that Willis Class Counsel have been placed in by the Public Water Suppliers in (1) entering into a physical solution that is not consistent with the Willis Class Judgment, (2) submitting to the Court a Case Management Order which obligates the Willis Class to oppose a prove-up of a physical solution and prove a claim of right to produce groundwater in the future, and (3) failing to negotiate and cooperate with Willis Class counsel in order to arrive at a fair and equitable Physical Solution." (Motion, at 2:19-25.) Phelan Piñon Hills has not entered into the physical solution, did not submit the subject Case Management Order, and is not one of the parties that failed to negotiate with Willis Class counsel.

Later in the Motion, Willis Class argues: "Had the Public Water Suppliers not reneged on their agreement in the Stipulation of Settlement to support the Willis Class' correlative right to share in the Native Safe Yield...then the Willis Class would not need expert witnesses to oppose the SPPS and to support its right to pump groundwater in the future as part of an amended SPPS or as part of an alternative proposed physical solution." (Motion, at 5:19-25.) Again, Phelan Piñon Hills is not one of those Public Water Suppliers that reneged on its agreement with the Willis Class and which is forcing the Willis Class to have to oppose the unacceptable SPPS.

[//,

01133.0012/254876.1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Therefore, Phelan Piñon Hills should not be included as one of the "Public Water Suppliers" from which expert witness fees are ordered.

#### II. PHELAN PIÑON HILLS DOES NOT HAVE TO CONTRIBUTE TO WILLIS CLASS' EXPERT WITNESS FEES.

If the Motion is granted, Phelan Piñon Hills requests that the Court find that other Public Water Suppliers may not seek contribution or similar relief from Phelan Piñon Hills. To allow such would be neither fair nor equitable for Phelan Piñon Hills to be compelled to contribute to those claims.

"Equality of liability among persons whose respective situations are not equal is inequitable." (Jans v. Nelson (2003) 83 Cal. App. 4th 848, 857.) Phelan Piñon Hills situation is not the same as other parties that imposed what the Willis Class purports to be great burdens on Willis Class and its Counsel for which expert witness fees are necessary. Contribution by Phelan Piñon Hills for those fees would be inequitable given that it has not breached or otherwise obstructed the settlement agreement with the Willis Class.

Code of Civil Procedure section 877 (Effect of release, dismissal, or covenant to sue or enforce judgment) allows Phelan Piñon Hills to be released from any such contribution liability. That provision provides:

Where a release, dismissal with or without prejudice, or a covenant not to sue or not to enforce judgment is given in good faith before verdict or judgment to one or more of a number of tortfeasors claimed to be liable for the same tort, or to one or more other co-obligors mutually subject to contribution rights, it shall have the following effect:

- (a) It shall not discharge any other such party from liability unless its terms so provide, but it shall reduce the claims against the others in the amount stipulated by the release, the dismissal or the covenant, or in the amount of the consideration paid for it, whichever is the greater.
- (b) It shall discharge the party to whom it is given from all liability for any contribution to any other parties. [Emph. added.]

Thus, both Code of Civil Procedure section 877 and the 2011 Judgment for the Willis Class discharge Phelan Piñon Hills' liability for contribution to any other parties for Willis Class expert witness fees. Where an alleged joint tortfeasor, prior to judicial determination of his liability, in good faith settles a claim against him, he is forever discharged of further obligation to claimant and to other 01133.0012/254876.1

joint tortfeasors, by way of contribution or otherwise. (*Stambaugh v. Superior Court* (1976) 62 Cal.App.3d 231, 235.) Therefore, Phelan Piñon Hills cannot be obligated to contribute to any expert witness fees awarded to Willis Class Counsel.

#### III. CONCLUSION.

For the foregoing reasons, Phelan Piñon Hills respectfully requests that if the Court is inclined to grant Willis Class' Motion for Court Order for Payment of Expert Witness Fees for the Willis Class for Physical Solution Proceedings, that the Order exclude Phelan Piñon Hills from the list of parties from which expert witness fees or contribution may be sought.

DATED: June 2, 2015

ALESHIRE & WYNDER, LLP JUNE S. AILIN MILES P. HOGAN

By:

JUNE S. AILIN

Attorneys for Defendant and Cross-Complainant Phelan Piñon Hills Community Services District

01133.0012/254876.1

. 23



Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408 For Filing Purposes Only: Santa Clara County Case No.: 1-05-CV-049053

### **PROOF OF SERVICE**

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE

I, Linda Yarvis,

I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 18881 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 1700, Irvine, CA 92612.

On June 2, 2015, I served the within document(s) described as PHELAN PIÑON HILLS COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT'S OPPOSITION TO WILLIS CLASS' MOTION FOR COURT ORDER FOR PAYMENT OF EXPERT WITNESS FEES FOR THE WILLIS CLASS FOR PHYSICAL SOLUTION PROCEEDINGS on the interested parties in this action as follows:

BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE: By posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Court website in regard to Antelope Valley Groundwater matter pursuant to the Court's Clarification Order. Electronic service and electronic posting completed through www.scefiling.org.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on June 2, 2015, at Irvine, California.

Linda Yarvis

01133.0012/208312.1