Case No. Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408

(For Filing Purposes Only:. Santa Clara County Case No.: 1-05-CV-049053)

PHELAN PIÑON HILLS COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT'S OBJECTIONS TO PROPOSED JUDGMENT

[Filed concurrently with: 1) Phelan Piñon Hills' Objections to Proposed Statement of Decision; and, 2) [Proposed] Statement of Decision]

Date: December 23, 2015

10:00 a.m.

Room 222, 2nd Floor Location/Dept.:

Stanley Mosk Courthouse

111 N. Hill Street Los Angeles, CA 90012

Assigned for All Purposes to: Hon. Jack Komar

Date/Time/Loc: 12/23/15, 10:00 a.m., Rm. 222 (Hearing on Objections to Proposed Statement of Decision)

28

01133.0012/278274.1

PHELAN PIÑON HILLS COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT'S OBJECTIONS TO PROPOSED JUDGMENT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

TO THE HONORABLE COURT AND ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD HEREIN:

Pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.1590(g), Phelan Piñon Hills Community Services District ("Phelan") hereby submits its objections to the Proposed Judgment filed by Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 on December 4, 2015.

Phelan objects to the language at page 2, lines 22-23, of the Proposed Judgment stating that Phelan has "no right to pump groundwater from the Antelope Valley Adjudication Area except under the terms of the Physical Solution" because the Physical Solution as currently written fails to recognize Phelan's appropriative right to pump groundwater from the Adjudication Area. Phelan would find this language acceptable if the Physical Solution were revised to provide for pumping of up to 630 acre-feet a year without payment of a replenishment assessment.

Phelan also requests that the ambiguous language ", except to the extent required by the terms of an instrument, contract, or other agreement" be striken from page 3, lines 24-25.

Phelan incorporates its concurrently filed Objections to the Proposed Statement of Decision, and its previously filed Objections to [Proposed] Statement of Decision for Trial Related to Phelan Piñon Hills Community Services District filed on December 18, 2014.

Phelan respectfully requests that the Court revise the Proposed Judgment with the above proposed modifications.

DATED: December 14, 2015

ALESHIRE & WYNDER, LLP JUNE S. AILIN MILES P. HOGAN

By:

JUME S. AILIN

Aftorneys for Defendant and Cross-Complainant Phelan Piñon Hills Community Services District

28 ||

27

01133.0012/278274.1



Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408 For Filing Purposes Only: Santa Clara County Case No.: 1-05-CV-049053

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE

I, Linda Yarvis,

I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 18881 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 1700, Irvine, CA 92612.

On December 14, 2015, I served the within document(s) described as PHELAN PIÑON HILLS COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT'S OBJECTIONS TO PROPOSED JUDGMENT on the interested parties in this action as follows:

BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE: By posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Court website in regard to Antelope Valley Groundwater matter pursuant to the Court's Clarification Order. Electronic service and electronic posting completed through www.scefiling.org.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on December 14, 2015, at Irvine, California.

Linda Yarvis

01133.0012/208312.1