1	Ralph B. Kalfayan, SBN133464 David B. Zlotnick, SBN 195607	
2	KRAUSE, KALFAYAN, BENINK & SLAVENS LLP	
3	625 Broadway, Suite 635 San Diego, CA 92101	
$4 \mid$	Tel: (619) 232-0331 Fax: (619) 232-4019	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class	
6		
7		
8		
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
11		
12	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES	JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION PROCEEDING NO. 4408
13	GROUND WATER CASES)
14	This Pleading Relates to Included Action: REBECCA LEE WILLIS, on behalf of herself)	CASE NO. BC 364553
15	and all others similarly situated,	
16	Plaintiff,	PLAINTIFF'S SUBMISSION OF RECENT AUTHORITY RELEVANT TO MOTION
17	vs.	FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES
18	LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS	
19	DISTRICT NO. 40; CITY OF LANCASTER;) CITY OF LOS ANGELES; CITY OF	
20	PALMDALE; PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT; LITTLEROCK CREEK))) Data: March 22, 2011
21	IRRIGATION DISTRICT; PALM RANCH IRRIGATION DISTRICT; QUARTZ HILL) Date: March 22, 2011) Time: 10:00 a.m.) Dept: 15 (CCW)
22	WATER DISTRICT; ANTELOPE VALLEY) WATER CO.; ROSAMOND COMMUNITY)	Judge: Hon. Jack Komar
23	SERVICE DISTRICT; and DOES 1 through 1,000;	Coordination Trial Judge
24	Defendants.	
25		
26	Plaintiff respectively brings to the Court's attention the recent Court of Appeal decision,	
27	In re Tobacco Cases I, 2011 WL 1238248 (4 th Dist. April 5, 2011), a copy of which is submitted	
28		1
	Supplemental Authority re Fee Motion	BC 364553

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7

28

herewith. The Court of Appeal's discussion at page 6 directly supports Plaintiff's argument that the standard for determining whether plaintiff is a prevailing party for purposes of her fee application brought under Section 1021.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure differs from the standard applicable to cases brought under Civil Code Section 1717. In the context of a private attorney general fee application, "'plaintiffs may be considered "prevailing parties" for attorney's fee purposes if they succeed on any significant issue in litigation which achieves some of the benefit the parties sought in bringing suit." *Id.*, quoting *Graciano v. Robinson Ford Sales, Inc.* (2006) 144 Cal. App. 4th 140, 153.

Dated: April 6, 2011

KRAUSE KALFAYAN BENINK & SLAVENS LLP

/s/David B. Zlotnick

Ralph B. Kalfayan, Esq. David B. Zlotnick, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class