1	Ralph B. Kalfayan, SBN133464	
2	David B. Zlotnick, SBN 195607 KRAUSE, KALFAYAN, BENINK & SLAVENS LLP	
3	625 Broadway, Suite 635 San Diego, CA 92101	
4	Tel: (619) 232-0331 Fax: (619) 232-4019	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
6	Rebecca Lee Willis and the Willis Class	
7		
8		
9 10	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	FOR THE COUNTY (
12		of Los Andeles
13	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES	 RELATED CASE TO JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION PROCEEDING NO. 4408
14	This Pleading Relates to Included Action:) VERIFIED PETITION FOR WRIT OF
15 16	REBECCA LEE WILLIS, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,	 MANDATE AGAINST LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT
10	Plaintiff,	NO. 40, CITY OF PALMDALE,
18	vs.	DISTRICT, NORTH EDWARDS WATER DISTRICT, DESERT LAKE
19	LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40; CITY OF LANCASTER;	COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT, PALM RANCH IRRIGATION
20	CITY OF PALMDALE; PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT; LITTLEROCK CREEK	 DISTRICT, AND QUARTZ HILL WATER DISTRICT; NOTICE OF
21	IRRIGATION DISTRICT; PALM RANCH IRRIGATION DISTRICT; QUARTZ HILL	MOTION AND MOTION FOR WRIT OF MANDATE; MEMORANDUM OF
22	WATER DISTRICT; ANTELOPE VALLEY WATER CO.; ROSAMOND COMMUNITY) POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR WRIT
23	SERVICE DISTRICT; PHELAN PINON HILL COMMUNITY SERVICE DISTRICT; and DOES 1 through 1 000:	OF MANDATE; DECLARATION OF DAVID B. ZLOTNICK; NOTICE OF
24	DOES 1 through 1,000; Defendants.) LODGMENT
25	Derendants.) Date: August 30, 2011) Time: 10:00 a.m.
26		Judge: Hon. Jack Komar
27		
28		

1		
2	PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDATE	
3	Pursuant to Section 1085 of the Code of Civil Procedure, Petitioners Rebecca Lee Willis	
4	("Willis") and Krause Kalfayan Benink & Slavens LLP ("KKBS") request that this Court issue a	
5	writ of mandate against Respondents Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40; City Of	
6 7	Palmdale; Littlerock Creek Irrigation District; Palm Ranch Irrigation District; Desert Lake	
8	Community Services District; North Edwards Water District; and Quartz Hill Water District; and	
9	in support thereof allege as follows:	
10	1. Petitioner Willis is an individual and is now and at all relevant times has been a	
11	resident of Los Angeles County, California. Petitioner Willis was the Plaintiff and Class	
12	Representative in civil action BC 364553 (the "Action"), a case coordinated as part of the	
13	Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases, JCCP No. 4408, pursuant to the April 10, 2007 Order of	
14	this Court.	
15	2. Petitioner KKBS is a limited liability partnership located in San Diego County,	
16 17	California. Petitioner KKBS was and is counsel for Plaintiff Willis and the Class she represents.	
17	3. In or about September 2010, Plaintiff Willis entered into a Stipulation of	
19	Settlement (the "Stipulation") with Defendants Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40;	
20	City Of Palmdale; Palmdale Water District; Littlerock Creek Irrigation District; Palm Ranch	
21	Irrigation District; Quartz Hill Water District; Desert Lake Community Services District; North	
22	Edwards Water District; California Water Service, Co.; Rosamond Community Service District;	
23	and Phelan Pinon Hill Community Service District. A true and correct copy of the Stipulation is	
24	attached to the accompanying Notice of Lodgment (the "Lodgment") as Exhibit A.	
25	4. On or about February 24, 2011, this Court held a fairness hearing and approved	
26	the Stipulation. On or about May 12, 2011, this Court entered Judgment (the "Judgment")	
27 28	approving the Stipulation and dismissing this action, but reserving jurisdiction over related	
20	1	

1	proceedings. A true and correct copy of the Judgment is attached to the Lodgment as Exhibit B.
2	5. No appeal has been filed from the Court's Order approving the Stipulation or
3	from the entry of Judgment.
4	6. On or about May 4, 2011, this Court entered an Order awarding attorneys' fees
5 C	and costs in the amount of \$1,904,551.68 to Petitioners (the "Fee Order"). A true and correct
6 7	copy of the Fee Order is attached to the Lodgment as Exhibit C. No appeal has been taken from
8	the Fee Order.
9	7. The Stipulation provides in pertinent part as follows:
10 11	"The Settling Parties understand that Willis Class counsel intend to seek an award of their fees and costs from the Court If Willis Class Counsel obtain an award of fees, Settling Defendants agree to exercise their best efforts to pay any fee award within a reasonable period of time or as required pursuant to Court order."
12 13	Stipulation at p.17, ¶VIII.D. The Stipulation further provides that this Court "shall retain
13	jurisdiction over the implementation, enforcement, and performance of this Stipulation"
15	Similarly, The Judgment (the terms of which Respondents also agreed upon) provides similarly.
16 17	"The Court retains jurisdiction to consider an application by Plaintiff and Class Counsel for an award of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of costs, as well as any other collateral matters."
18	Judgment at p. 6, ¶¶ 20-21.
19	8. On July 19, 2011, after the Judgment and fee order were final, Petitioners made
20	written demand that Respondents pay the fees and costs awarded by the Court in its May 4, 2011
21 22	Order.
22	9. Defendants Palmdale Water District ("PDW"), Phelan Pinon Hills Community
24	Services District ("PPCSD"), "Rosamond Community Services District ("Rosamond") ¹ have
25	either paid or agreed to pay their respective shares of the fee award; but Respondents Los
26	
27 28	¹ Defendant Rosamond has not formally approved payment, but is going to consider the matter at its next Board meeting.
	2

1	Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40, the City Of Palmdale, Littlerock Creek Irrigation		
2	District, Palm Ranch Irrigation District, Quartz Hill Water District, Desert Lake Community		
3	Services District, and North Edwards Water District have failed to pay any portion of the fee		
4	award and have refused to even agree to make payment of the award.		
5	10. As a direct and proximate result of respondents' failure to perform their		
6 7	obligations under the Fee Order, Petitioners have been damaged and should be awarded interest		
8	in the amount of 10% as well as the fees and costs they incurred in bringing this Petition.		
9	11. The issuance of this writ is indispensable to the enforcement of petitioners' rights,		
10	and petitioners have no plain, speedy, or adequate remedy in the ordinary course of law other		
11	than the issuance by the Court of a writ of mandate.		
12	WHEREFORE, Petitioners request that the Court:		
13	1. Issue a peremptory writ of mandate in the first instance commanding respondents		
14	to pay the full amount still due Petitioners under the Fee Order, as well as interest thereon, or to		
15	make payment as provided by sections 970 et seq. of the Government Code.		
16	2. Issue an alternative writ of mandamus commanding respondents to cause their		
17	representatives to appear before this Court and show cause why they have not paid or agreed to		
18 19	pay the fees and costs allowed by the Fee Order and, after hearing, issue a peremptory writ of		
19 20	mandate commanding respondent to comply with the Fee Order.		
20 21	3. Award Petitioners the costs of this proceeding and the reasonable attorneys fees		
22	they incurred in bringing this Petition; and		
23	4. Award Petitioners such further relief as may be just and proper.		
24	Dated: August 5, 2011 KRAUSE, KALFAYAN, BENINK &		
25	SLAVENS, LLP		
26	/s/ David P. Zlatnick		
27	<u>/s/ David B. Zlotnick</u> Ralph B. Kalfayan, Esq.		
28	David B. Zlotnick, Esq. Attorneys for Petitioners		
	3		

1	VERIFICATION
2	I have read the foregoing Verified Petition for Writ of Mandate and know the contents
3	thereof. The matters stated therein are true and correct of my own knowledge.
4	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the
5	foregoing is true and correct
6	Executed on August 5, 2011 in San Diego, CA.
7	
8	/s/ David B. Zlotnick David B. Zlotnick on behalf of Petitioner KKBS
9	
10 11	
12 13	
15 14	
14 15	
16	
10	
18	
10	
20	
2 1	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	4 Petition for Writ of Mandate BC 364553