1 2	Ralph B. Kalfayan, SBN133464 David B. Zlotnick, SBN 195607 KRAUSE, KALFAYAN, BENINK	
3	& SLAVENS LLP 625 Broadway, Suite 635	
4	San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: (619) 232-0331	
5	Fax: (619) 232-4019	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class	
7		
8		
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
11		HIDIOIAL COLDICH COORDILETON
12	ANTELOPE VALLEY OROUNDWATER CASES O	JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION PROCEEDING NO. 4408
13	Included Actions: Los Angeles County) Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond)	Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 Honorable Jack Komar, Presiding
14	Farming Co., Superior Court of California,) County of Los Angeles, No. BC 32520;	
15	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No.	PLAINTIFF WILLIS' POST HEARING CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT
16 17	40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of) California, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-) CV-254-348;	
18	We. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. V. City of)	
19	Lancaster; Diamond Farming Co. V. City of) Lancaster; Diamond Framing Co. V. Palmdale)	Date: November 5, 2007 Time: 10:00 a.m.
20	Water District; Superior Court of California,) County of Riverside, Cases No. RBC 353 840,)	Dept.: 1 Judge: Honorable Jack Komar
21	RBC 344 436, RBC 344 668;)	Coordination Trial Judge
22	This Document Relates To:	
23	REBECCA LEE WILLIS, on behalf of herself)	
24	and all others similarly situated, Plaintiff,	
25	VS.)	
26	LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS) DISTRICT NO. 40, et al; Defendants.	
27	Case No. BC 364 553	
28	<i>)</i>	
	Willis' Suppl. Case Mgt Statement	JCCP No. 4408

I. SUPPLEMENTAL CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT

At the November 5, 2007 Case Management Conference, undersigned counsel for Plaintiff Willis and the Class of non-pumping landowners agreed to attempt to bring about the filing of a new Complaint and Class Certification Motion by this date on behalf of Antelope Valley Basin landowners who are presently pumping water on their properties or who have done so in the recent past. Regrettably, although counsel has attempted in good faith to do so, a number of unexpected complications regarding client representation have delayed the filing of such a complaint and class certification motion. Counsel will continue to pursue those efforts as expeditiously as possible and hopes and expects that such papers will be filed by the end of this month and set for Hearing as soon thereafter as is possible under the Code of Civil Procedure and the Court's schedule.

Dated: November 16, 2007

KRAUSE KALFAYAN BENINK & SLAVENS LLP

Ralph B. Kalfayan, Esq. David B. Zlotnick, Esq.

Attorneys for Plaintiff Willis and the Class

• •

PROOF OF SERVICE

1

2

3

5

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

2021

22

23

2425

26

27

28

I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is 625 Broadway, Suite 635, San Diego,

Californai, 92101. On November 16, 2007, I served the within document(s):

I. Aimee Vignocchi, declare:

PLAINTIFF WILLIS' POST HEARING CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT

- [X] by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Court website in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater matter.
- [] by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at San Diego, California addressed as set forth below:
- [] by causing personal delivery by Cal Express of the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
- [] by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
- [] I caused such envelope to be delivered via overnight delivery addressed as indicated on the attached service list. Such envelope was deposited for delivery by UPS following the firm's ordinary business practices.

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with the postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on November 16, 2007, at San Diego, California.

Aimee Vignocchi