1					
1 2	Joseph D. Hughes, SBN 169375 Ravi S. Patel, SBN 301258 KLEIN, DENATALE, GOLDNER, COOPER, ROSENLIEB & KIMBALL, LLP				
3	4550 California Ave., Second Floor Bakersfield, CA 93309				
4	Telephone: 661-395-1000 Facsimile: 661-326-0418				
5	Email: jhughes@kleinlaw.com rpatel@kleinlaw.com				
6 7	Attorneys for H&N Development, Co. West, Inc.				
8					
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
10	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES				
11	ANTELOPE VALLEY	Judicial Council Coo	ordination No. 4408		
12	GROUNDWATER CASES	CLASS ACTION			
13	Included Actions: Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of	Santa Clara Case No. A-05-CV-049053 Assigned to The Honorable Jack Komar,			
14	California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 325201;	Department I	DDIEE OF CDOSS		
15 16	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of	PROVE-UP TRIAL BRIEF OF CROSS- DEFENDANT H&N DEVELOPMENT CO. WEST, INC.			
17	California, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348;				
18	Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. City of	Phase 6Trial Date: Time:	September 28, 2015 10:00 a.m.		
19	Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist., Superior Court of California,	Dept.: Judge:	1 Hon. Jack Komar		
20	County of Riverside, Case Nos. RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668	tuage.			
21					
22					
23					
24	TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:				
25	The cross-defendant H&N DEVELOPMENT CO. WEST, INC. (H&N				
26	<b>Development</b> ), submits the following Trial Brief for the Phase 6 Trial.				
27	///				
28	///				

1. PARTY: H&N Development is an active California corporation, which was formerly known as H AND N DEVELOPMENT CO. INC. H&N Development is a party to the Stipulation for Entry of Judgment and Physical Solution (Signature Page 59 of 136).

2. PROPERTY: H&N Development's property is composed of about 431.81 acres within the Antelope Valley Area of Adjudication located in the County of Kern and is identified by APNs: 359-031-07 (78.48 acres), 359-032-20 (79.09 acres), 359-032-21 (79.09 acres), 359-032-08 (14.09 acres), 359-032-13 (77.88 acres), and 359-032-14 (103.18 acres) (Property).

3. CLAIMS TO GROUNDWATER PRODUCTION WITHIN THE AREA OF ADJUDICATION: H&N Development claims the right to produce and use groundwater within the boundaries of its Property. The amount of groundwater produced from the Property, measured in acre feet, for the following calendar years is as follows:

Y	ear	Amount (AF)
20	000	2,758
20	001	2,758
20	002	2,758
20	003	2,758
20	004	3,263
20	011	1,695.25
20	012	1,904.25

4. USE: The groundwater produced from the Property for the calendar years listed above was used for the irrigation of annual and permanent crops. APNs 359-032-20 and 359-032-21 were farmed with carrots and/or onions in years 2000-2003. APNs 359-031-07, 359-032-08, 359-032-13 and 359-032-14 were farmed with alfalfa in years 2000-2003. All of the parcels were farmed with alfalfa in year 2004. All the parcels were planted with pistachio trees in years 2011 and 2012.

5. **EVIDENCE:** The Court found in the Phase 4 Trial that H&N Development pumped groundwater for use on the Property in the amount of 1,695.25 acre feet in

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

2011 and 1,904.25 acre feet in 2012. Additionally, H&N Development offered the declarations of Norik Naraghi and Rod Stiefvater (Exhibit 4-H&N-2) and the related stipulation with the Public Water Suppliers (Exhibit 4-H&N-1) during the Phase 4 Trial, both of which were admitted into evidence without objection, to establish H&N Development's ownership of the Property as well as the amount of groundwater produced from the Property and the use to which that groundwater was put during years 2011 and 2012. H&N Development will rely on this evidence for this Phase 6 Trial, absent a direction from the Court, because this evidence has already been received by the Court without objection.

H&N Development posted on December 21, 2012 (Court Website, Document No. 5526) its responses to the Discovery Order for Phase 4 Trial showing, among other things, the amount of groundwater produced from the Property during the years 2000-2004, and the uses to which that groundwater was put. H&N Development will offer a declaration to establish those facts as necessary, subject to proper objection and cross-examination.

6. ADDITIONAL CLAIMS, EVIDENCE AND DEFENSES: H&N Development, like many of the stipulating parties, will not assert certain claims and defenses otherwise available to it during this Phase 6 Trial, including defenses to the claims of prescription made by the Public Water Suppliers, due to the Stipulation for Entry of Judgment and Physical Solution to which H&N Development is a party. H&N Development requests the opportunity and reserves the right to present evidence in support of its additional claims and defenses if the court does not enter the proposed judgment and physical solution as a final judgment in this case.

Dated: September 24, 2015

KLEIN, DENATALE, GOLDNER
COOPER, ROSENLIEB & KIMBALL, LLP

By: Joseph D. Hughes.

Attorneys for H&N Development

3

28

8				
1	Case No. JCCP4408			
2	PROOF OF SERVICE			
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF KERN			
4	I am employed in the County of Kern, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen			
5	years and not a party to the within action; my business address is 4550 California Ave., Second			
6	Floor, Bakersfield, CA 93309. My email address is syates@kleinlaw.com.			
7	On September 24, 2015, I served the following document described as			
8	PROVE-UP TRIAL BRIEF OF CROSS-DEFENDANT H&N DEVELOPMENT CO. WEST, INC.			
10	on the interested parties in this action as follows:			
11	BY ELECTRONIC MAIL Pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 2.251, I posted			
12	the document listed above to the Santa Clara Court website regarding the Antelope Valley			
13	Groundwater matter.			
14	Executed on September 24, 2015, at Bakersfield, California.			
15	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the			
16	foregoing is true and correct.			
17	Shontice Yates			
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				