EXHIBIT A

BEST BEST & KRIEGER B

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

INDIAN WELLS (760) 568-2611

LOS ANGELES (213) 617-8100

ONTARIO (909) 989-8584 —

PIVERSIDE (951) 686-1450 5 Park Plaza, Suite 1500 Irvine, California 92614 (949) 263-2600 (949) 260-0972 Fax BBKlaw.com SACRAMENTO (916) 325-4000

SAN DIEGO (619) 525-1300

WALNUT CREEK (925) 977-3300

Stefanie D. Hedlund

(949) 263-6593 Stefanie.Hedlund@bbkiaw.com File No. 26345,00001

May 16, 2007

Larry Day 3536 Concours Street, Suite 300 Ontario, California 91764

Re:

Antelope Valley Groundwater Adjudication

Judicial Council Coordination No. 4408

Dear Mr. Day:

Enclosed are a Notice and Acknowledgment of Receipt and a copy of the First-Amended Cross-Complaint of Public Water Suppliers For Declaratory And Injunctive Relief And Adjudication Of Water Rights, for Anaverde, LLC. Our office will be filing an Amendment to Complaint altering your previous Doe 214 designation to the correct name of Anaverde, LLC.

This will confirm that you agreed to accept service on behalf of Anaverde, LLC. Please date and sign the Notice and Acknowledgment of Receipt and return it to our office. Thank you for your attention to this matter. Should you have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to contact this office.

Sincerely,

Stefanie D. Hedlund

for BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP

Enclosures

cc: Claire Hervey Collins, Esq., w/enclosures

ORANGE\36201.1

	POS-01
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address);	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Jeffrey V. Dunn, SBN 131926; Stefanie D. Hedlund, SBN 239787	
Best Best & Krieger, LLP	
5 Park Plaza, Suite 1500	
Irvine, California 92614	
TELEPHONE NO: (949) 263-2600 FAX NO. (Optional): (949) 260-0972	
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional):	
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): L.A. County Waterworks Dist. No. 40 & Rosamond CSD	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
STREET ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street	
MAILING ADDRESS:	
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles, California 90012 BRANCH NAME: Central Branch	
BRANCH NAME: Central Branch	
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40; et al.	
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Diamond Farming Company; et al.	
MOTICE AND ACKNOWN EDGMENT OF DEGENT OF	CASE NUMBER:
NOTICE AND ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT—CIVIL	4408
TO (insert name of party being served): Anaverde, LLC	***************************************
NOTICE	
The summons and other documents identified below are being served pursuant to secti	
on you in any other manner permitted by law. If you are being served on behalf of a corporation, an unincorporated association (included form must be signed by you in the name of such entity or by a person authorized to recentity. In all other cases, this form must be signed by you personally or by a person authorized to recentify. In all other cases, this form to the sender, service of a summons is deemed compliance.	eive service of process on behalf of such horized by you to acknowledge receipt of
Date of mailing: May 16, 2007	
Date of Mailing. Way to, 2007	111.
Stefanie D. Hedlund	- H. II.
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME) (SIGNATUR	E OF SENDER—MUST NOT BE A PARTY IN THIS CASE)
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	· · · · · ·
ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT	
This acknowledges receipt of (to be completed by sender before mailing):	
 A copy of the summons and of the complaint. Other (specify): First-Amended Cross-Complaint of Public Water Supplie and Adjudication of Water Rights 	rs For Declaratory And Injunctive Relief
·	
(To be completed by recipient):	
Date this form is signed: MAY 21, 2007	
ANAVERE, LLC	
BY: EMPIRE LAND, LLG, its Managing Member Staw	
	EVP
TYPE OR PRINT YOUR NAME AND NAME OF ENTITY, IF ANY, ON WHOSE BEHALF THIS FORM IS SIGNED) ACKNOWLED MAIN BY EMPIRE PARTILERS THE HARDS AND ACKNOWLED MEN	EVP P PPRSON ANKNOWLEDGING RECEIPT, WITH TITLE IF NT IS MADE ON BEHALF OF ANOTHER PERSON OR ENTITY)
TYPE OR PRINTY YOUR NAME AND NAME OF ENTITY, IF ANY. ON WHOSE BEHALF THIS FORM IS SIGNED) BY: EMPIRE PARTHERS, I.I.C., it's Manging Member BY: LARRY R. 704	DE PERSON ANKNOW! EDGING RECEIPT WITH TITLE IE

Page 1 of 1

1 BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES ERIC L. GARNER, Bar No. 130665 UNDER GOVERNMENT CODE 2 JEFFREY V. DUNN, Bar No. 131926 SECTION 6103 STEFANIE D. HEDLUND, Bar No. 239787 3 5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE 1500 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614 4 TELEPHONE: (949) 263-2600 TELECOPIER: (949) 260-0972 5 Attorneys for Cross-Complainants ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES 6 DISTRICT and LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40 7 OFFICE OF COUNTY COUNSEL COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 8 RAYMOND G. FORTNER, JR., Bar No. 42230 9 COUNTY COUNSEL FREDERICK W. PFAEFFLE, Bar No. 145742 10 PRINCIPAL DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL 500 WEST TEMPLE STREET 11 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012 TELEPHONE: (213) 974-1901 12 TELECOPIER: (213) 458-4020 Attorneys for Cross-Complainant LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40 13 [See Next Page For Additional Counsel] 124 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 15 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - CENTRAL DISTRICT 16 17 ANTELOPE VALLEY 18 Judicial Council Coordination No. 4408 **GROUNDWATER CASES** 19 Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 Included Actions: Assigned to The Honorable Jack Komar Los Angeles County Waterworks District 20 No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior [Code Civ. Proc., § 382] Court of California, County of Los 21 Angeles, Case No. BC 325201; FROPOSEDI FIRST-AMENDED CROSS-22 COMPLAINT OF PUBLIC WATER SUPPLIERS Los Angeles County Waterworks District FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior 23 RELIEF AND ADJUDICATION OF WATER Court of California, County of Kern, Case RIGHTS No. S-1500-CV-254-348: 24 25 Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. 26 Palmdale Water Dist., Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, Case Nos. 27 RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668 28

28

4.

Cross-Complainants California Water Service Company, City of Laneaster, City of Palmdale, Littlerock Creek Irrigation District, Los Angeles County Water Works District No. 40, Palmdale Water District, Rosamond Community Services District, Palm Ranch Irrigation District and Quartz Hill Water District (collectively, the "Public Water Suppliers") allege:

INTRODUCTION

1. This cross-complaint seeks a judicial determination of rights to all water within the adjudication area of the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin as determined by the Court's Orders in this case (the "Basin"). An adjudication is necessary to protect and conserve the limited water supply that is vital to the public health, safety and welfare of all persons and entities that depend upon water from the Public Water Suppliers. For these reasons, the Public Water Suppliers file this cross-complaint to promote the general public welfare in the Antelope Valley; protect the Public Water Suppliers' rights to pump groundwater and provide water to the public; protect the Antelope Valley from a loss of the public's water supply; prevent degradation of the quality of the public groundwater supply; stop land subsidence; and avoid higher water costs to the public.

CROSS-COMPLAINANTS

- 2. California Water Service Company is a California corporation which extracts groundwater from the Basin to serve customers within the Basin.
- 3. The City of Lancaster is a municipal corporation located in the County of Los Angeles, and which produces and receives water for reasonable and beneficial uses, including overlying uses. The City of Lancaster further provides ministerial services to mutual water companies that produce groundwater from the Basin.
- 4. The City of Palmdale is a municipal corporation in the County of Los Angeles.

 The City of Palmdale receives water from the Basin.

12.

21.

5.	Littlerock Creek Irrigatio	n District is a public	agency which	extracts	groundwa	ter
from the Basin	to serve customers within	the Basin.				

- 6. Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 is a public agency governed by the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors. District 40 has been lawfully organized to perform numerous functions, including providing Basin groundwater to the public in a large portion of the Antelope Valley. To this end, District 40 has constructed, maintained and operated a public waterworks system to supply water to the public.
- 7. Palmdale Water District is an irrigation district organized and operating under Division 11 of the California Water Code. Palmdale Water District extracts groundwater from the Basin for delivery to customers.
- 8. Palm Ranch Irrigation District Palm Ranch Irrigation District is a public agency which extracts groundwater from the Basin to serve customers within the Basin.
- 9. Rosamond Community Services District provides water to more than 3,500 residents of Kern County for domestic uses, fire protection, and irrigation. Rosamond has drilled and equipped wells to pump groundwater from the Basin. Rosamond has constructed, maintained and operated a public waterworks system to supply water to the public.
- 10. Quartz Hill Water District is a county water district organized and operating under Division 12 of the California Water Code. Quartz Hill extracts groundwater from the Lancaster Sub-basin of the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin for delivery to customers.

CROSS-DEFENDANTS

11. The following persons and/or entities are the owners of, and/or are beneficial interest holders in real property within the geographic boundaries of the Basin. These persons

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

and/or entitles claim overlying rights to extract water from the Basin, whether or not they have heretofore exercised such overlying rights: ABC Williams Enterprises LP, ACEH Capital, LLC Jacqueline Ackermann, Cenon Advincula, Oliva M. Advincula, Mashallah Afshar, Antonio U. Agustines, Airtrust Singapore Private Limited, Marwan M. Aldais, Allen Alevy, Allen Alevy and Alevy Family Trust, Georgine J. Archer, Georgine J. Archer as Trustee for the Georgine J. Archer Trust, A V Materials, Inc., Guss A. Barks, Jr., Peter G. Barks, Ildefonso S. Bayani, Nilda V. Bayani, Big West Corp, Randall Y. Blayney, Melody S. Bloom, Bolthouse Properties, Inc., David L. Bowers, Ronald E. Bowers, Leroy Daniel Bronston, Marilyn Burgess, Laverne C. Burroughs, Laverne C. Burroughs, Trustee of the Burroughs Family Irrevocable Trust Dated August 1, 1995, Bruce Burrows, John and B. Calandri 2001 Trust, California Portland Cement Company, Calmat Land Co., Melinda E. Cameron, Castle Butte Dev Corp, Catellus Development Corporation, Bong S. Chang, Jeanna Y. Chang, Moon S. Chang, Jacob Chetrit, Frank S. Chiodo, Lee S. Chiou, M S Chung, City of Los Angeles, Carol K. Claypool, Clifford N. Claypool, W. F. Chmen, Jr., W. F. Clunen, Jr. as Trustee for the P C Rev Inter Vivos Trust, Consolidated Rock Products Co., County Sanitation District No. 14 of Los Angeles County, County Sanitation District No. 20 of Los Angeles County, Ruth A. Cumming, Ruth A. Cumming as Trustee of the Cumming Family Trust, Catharine M. Davis, Milton S. Davis, Del Sur Ranch LLC, Diamond Farming Company, Sarkis Djanibekyan, Hong Dong, Ying X Dong, Dorothy Dreier, George E. Dreier, Morteza M. Foroughi, Morteza M. Foroughi as Trustee of the Foroughi Family Trust, Lewis Fredrichsen, Lewis Fredrichsen as Trustee of the Priedrichsen Family Trust, Joan A. Funk, Eugene Gabrych, Marian Gabrych, Aurora P. Gabuya, Rodrigo L. Gabuya, GGF LLC, Genus LP, Betty Gluckstein, Joseph H. Gluckstein, Forrest G. Godde, Forrest G. Godde as Trustee of the Forrest G. Godde Trust, Lawrence A. Godde, Lawrence A. Godde and Godde Trust, Maria B. Gorrindo, Maria B. Gorrindo as Trustee for the M. Gorrindo Trust, Wendell G. Hanks, Andreas Hauke, Marilyn Hauke, Healy Enterprises, Inc., Walter E. Helmick, Donna L. Higelmire, Michael N. Higelmire, Davis L. and Diana D. Hines Family Trust, Hooshpack Dev Inc., Chi S. Huang, Suchu T. Huang, John Hui, Hypericum Interests LLC, Daryush Iraninezhad, Minoo Iraninezhad, Esfandiar Kadivar, Esfandiar Kadivar as Trustee of the Kadivar Family Trust, A. David Kagon, A. David

1.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Kagon as Trustee for the Kagon Trust, Jack D. Kahlo, Cheng Lin Kang, Herbert Katz, Herbert Katz as Trustee for the Katz Family Trust, Marianne Katz, Lilian S. Kauffman, Lilian S. Kaufman as Trustee for the Kaufman Family Trust, Kazuko Yoshimatsu, Barbara L. Keys, Barbara L. Keys as Trustee of the Barbara L. Keys Family Trust, Billy H. Kim, Illy King, Illy King as Trustee of the Illy King Family Trust, Kootenai Properties, Inc., Kutu Investment Co., Gailen Kyle, Gailen Kyle as Trustee of the Kyle Trust, James W. Kyle, James W. Kyle as Trustee of the Kyle Family Trust, Julia Kyle, Wanda E. Kyle, Fares A. Lahoud, Eva Lai, Paul Lai, Ying Wah Lam, Land Business Corporation, Richard E. Landfield, Richard E. Landfield as Trustee of the Richard E. Landfield Trust, Lawrence Charles Trust, William Lewis, Mary Lewis, Pei Chi Lin, Man C. Lo, Shiung Ru Lo, Lyman C. Miles, Lyman C. Miles as Trustee for the Miles Family Trust, Malloy Family Partners LP, Mission Bell Ranch Development, Barry S. Munz, Kathleen M. Munz, Terry A. Munz, M.R. Nasir, Souad R. Nasir, Eugene B. Nebeker, Simin C. Neman, Henry Ngo, Frank T. Nguyen, Juanita R. Nichols, Oliver Nichols, Oliver Nichols as Trustee of the Nichols Family Trust, Owl Properties, Inc., Palmdale Hills Property LLC, Norman L. Poulsen, Marilyn J. Prewoznik, Marilyn J. Prewoznik as Trustee of the Marilyn J. Prewoznik Trust, Elias Qarmout, Victoria Rahimi, R and M Ranch, Inc., Patricia A. Recht, Veronika Reinelt, Reinelt Rosenloecher Corp. PSP, Patricia J. Riggins, Patricia J. Riggins as Trustee of the Riggins Family Trust, Edgar C. Ritter, Paula E. Ritter, Paula E. Ritter as Trustee of the Ritter Family Trust, Roman Catholic Archbishop of Los Angeles, Romo Lake Los Angeles Partnership. Rosemount Equities LLC Series, Royal Investors Group, Royal Western Properties LLC, Osear Rudnick, Rebecca Rudnick, Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy, Marygrace H. Santoro, Marygrace H. Santoro as Trustee for the Marygrace H. Santoro Rev Trust, San Yu Enterprises, Inc., Daniel Saparzadeh, Helen Stathatos, Savas Stathatos, Savas Stathatos as Trustee for the Stathatos Family Trust, Seven Star United LLC, Mark H. Shafron, Robert L. Shafron, Kamram S. Shakib, Donna L. Simpson, Gareth L. Simpson as Trustee of the Simpson Family Trust, Soaring Vista Properties, Inc., State of California, George C. Stevens, Jr., George C. Stevens, Jr. as Trustee of the George C. Stevens, Jr. Trust, George L. Stimson, Jr., George L. Stimson, Jr. as Trustee of the George L. Stimson, Jr. Trust, Tejon Ranch, Mark E. Thompson A P

9.

21.

C Profit Sharing Plan, Tierra Bonita Ranch Company, Tiong D. Tiu, Beverly J. Tobias, Beverly J. Tobias as Trustee of the Tobias Family Trust, Jung N. Tom, Wilma D. Trueblood, Wilma D. Trueblood as Trustee of the Trueblood Family Trust, Unison Investment Co., LLC, Delmar D. Van Dam, Gertrude J. Van Dam, Keith E. Wales, E.C. Wheeler LLC, William Bolthouse Farms, Inc., Alex Wodchis, Elizabeth Wong, Mary Wong, Mike M. Wu, Mike M. Wu as Trustee of the Wu Family Trust, State of California 50th District and Agricultural Association, and U.S. Borax, Inc.

12. The Public Water Suppliers are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that cross-defendant Roes 1 through 100,000 are the owners, lessees or other persons or entities holding or claiming to hold ownership or possessory interests in real property within the boundaries of the Basin; extract water from the Basin; claim some right, title or interest to water located within the Basin; or that they have or assert claims adverse to the Public Water Suppliers' nights and claims. The Public Water Suppliers are presently unaware of the true names and capacities of the Roe cross-defendants, and therefore sue those cross-defendants by fictitious names. The Public Water Suppliers will seek leave to amend this cross-complaint to add names and capacities when they are ascertained.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 13. The Public Water Suppliers bring this action against all persons similarly situated. The class will be composed of all owners of land within the adjudication area that is not within the service area of a public entity, public utility, or mutual water company. The persons in this class are so munerous, consisting of approximately 65,000 parcels, that the joinder of all such persons is impracticable and that the disposition of their claims in a class action rather than in individual actions will benefit the parties and the court.
- 14. There is a well-defined community of interests in the questions of law and fact affecting the defendant class members in that they each allege an identical overlying right to take

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10:

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

native groundwater from a common supply for their reasonable and beneficial use. As they each seek a common right, they have predominantly common issues of fact and law. Additionally, each class member will have common defenses against competing water rights including a claim by the United States that it has a Federal Reserved right. These questions of law and fact predominate over questions that affect only the individual class members. The claims and defenses of the class members and the class representative are typical of those of the class and the class representative will fairly and adequately represent the interests of the class.

THE UNITED STATES IS A NECESSARY PARTY TO THIS ACTION

- 15. This is an action to comprehensively adjudicate the rights of all claimants to the use of a source of water located entirely within California, i.e., the Basin, and for the ongoing administration of all such claimants' rights.
- 16 The Public Water Suppliers are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that the United States claims rights to the Basin water subject to adjudication in this action by virtue of owning real property overlying the Basin, including Edwards Air Force Base.
- For the reasons expressed in this cross-complaint, the United States is a necessary party to this action pursuant to the McCarran Amendment, 43 U.S.C. § 666.
- Under the McCarran Amendment, the United States, as a necessary party to this action, is deemed to have waived any right to plead that the laws of California are not applicable, or that the United States is not subject to such laws by virtue of its sovereignty.
- 19. Under the McCarran Amendment, the United States, as a necessary party to this action, is subject to the judgments, orders and decrees of this Court.

Ţ

Ö

P.

HISTORY OF THE ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER BASIN

- 20. For over a century, California courts have used the concept of a groundwater basin to resolve groundwater disputes. A groundwater basin is an alluvial aquifer with reasonably well-defined lateral and vertical boundaries.
- The Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin is located in an arid valley in the Mojave Desert, about 50 miles northeast of the City of Los Angeles. The Basin encompasses about 1,000 square miles in both Los Angeles and Kern Counties, and is separated from the northern part of the Antelope Valley by faults and low-lying hills. The Basin is bounded on the south by the San Gabriel Mountains and on the northwest by the Tehachapi Mountains. The Basin generally includes the communities of Lancaster, Palmidale and Rosamond as well as Edwards Air Force Base.
- 22. Various investigators have studied the Antelope Valley and some have divided the Basin into "sub-basins." According to the Public Water Suppliers' information and belief, to the extent the Antelope Valley is composed of such "sub-basins," they are sufficiently hydrologically connected to justify treating them as a single source of water for purposes of adjudicating the parties' water rights.
- 23. Before public and private entities began pumping water from the Basin, its natural water recharge balanced with water discharged from the Basin. Its water levels generally remained in a state of long-term equilibrium. In approximately 1915, however, agricultural uses began to pump groundwater and since then, greatly increased agricultural pumping has upset the Basin's groundwater equilibrium causing a continuous decline in the Basin's groundwater storage.
- 24. Although private agricultural entities temporarily curtailed their pumping activities when groundwater levels were extremely low, agricultural pumping has increased overall during

4.

the past decade. During the same time, urbanization of the Antelope Valley has resulted in increased public demand for water.

- 25. Groundwater pumping in the Basin has never been subject to any limits. This lack of groundwater management caused the Basin to lose an estimated eight million acre feet of water over the past eighty years.
- 26. Uncontrolled pumping caused repeated instances of land subsidence. It is the sinking of the Earth's surface due to subsurface movement of earth materials and is primarily caused by groundwater pumping. The Public Water Suppliers are informed and believe, and thereupon allege, that portions of the Basin have subsided as much as six feet because of chronically low groundwater levels caused by unlimited pumping. The harmful effects of land subsidence observed in the Basin include loss of groundwater storage space, cracks and fissures on the ground's surface, and damage to real property. Land subsidence problems continue and will continue because of unlimited pumping.
- 27. The declining groundwater levels, diminished groundwater storage, and land subsidence damage the Basin, injure the public welfare, and threaten communities that depend upon the Basin as a reliable source of water. These damaging effects will continue, and likely worsen until the court establishes a safe yield for the Basin and limits pumping to the safe yield.

PUBLIC WATER SUPPLIERS SUPPLEMENT AND COMMINGLE THEIR SUPPLEMENTAL SUPPLY OF WATER WITH BASIN WATER

28. Due to the shortage of water in the Basin, certain Public Water Suppliers purchase State Water Project water from the Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency. State Project water originates in northern California and would not reach the Basin absent the Public Water Suppliers purchases.

- 29. Public Water Suppliers purchase State Project water each year. They deliver the State Project water to their customers through waterworks systems. The Public Water Suppliers' customers use the State Project water for irrigation, domestic, municipal and industrial uses. After the Public Water Suppliers' customers use the water, some of the imported State Project water commingles with other percolating groundwater in the Basin. In this way, State Project water augments the natural supply of Basin water.
- 30. Public Water Suppliers depend on the Basin as their source of water. But for the Public Water Suppliers' substantial investment in State Project water, they would need to pump additional groundwater each year. By storing State Project water or other imported water in the Basin, Public Water Suppliers can recover the stored water during times of drought, water supply emergencies, or other water shortages to ensure a safe and reliable supply of water to the public.

THE BASIN HAS BEEN IN A STATE OF OVER-DRAFT FOR OVER FIVE YEARS

- 31. The Public Water Suppliers are informed and believe, and upon that basis allege, that the Basin is and has been in an overdraft condition for more than five (5) consecutive years before the filing of this cross-complaint. During these time periods, the total annual demand on the Basin has exceeded the supply of water from natural sources. Consequently, there is and has been a progressive and chronic decline in Basin water levels and the available natural supply is being and has been chronically depleted. Based on the present trends, demand on the Basin will continue to exceed supply. Until limited by order and judgment of the court, potable Basin water will be exhausted and land subsidence will continue.
- 32. Upon information and belief, the cross-defendants have, and continue to pump, appropriate and divert water from the natural supply of the Basin, and/or claim some interest in the Basin water. The Public Water Suppliers are informed and believe, and upon that basis allege, that cross-defendants' combined extraction of water exceeds the Basin's safe yield.

Upon information and belief, each cross-defendant claims a right to take water and threatens to increase its taking of water without regard to the Public Water Suppliers' rights.

Cross-defendants' pumping reduces Basin water tables and contributes to the deficiency of the Basin water supply as a whole. The deficiency creates a public water shortage.

- 34. Cross-defendants' continued and increasing extraction of Basin water has resulted in, and will result in a diminution, reduction and impairment of the Basin's water supply, and land subsidence.
- 35. Cross-defendants' continued and increasing extraction of Basin water has and will deprive the Public Water Suppliers of their rights to provide water for the public health, welfare and benefit.

THERE IS A DISPUTE AMONG THE PARTIES REGARDING THE EXTENT AND PRIORITY OF THEIR RESPECTIVE WATER RIGHTS

- 36. The Public Water Suppliers are informed and believe, and thereon allege, there are conflicting claims of rights to the Basin and/or its water.
- 37. The Public Water Suppliers are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that cross-defendants who own real property in the Basin claim an overlying right to pump Basin water. The overlying right is limited to the native safe yield of the Basin. The Public Water Suppliers allege that, because subsidence is occurring in the Basin, cross-defendants have been pumping, and continue to pump water in amounts greater than the Basin's safe yield.
- 38. The Public Water Suppliers are informed and believe, and thereon allege, they have appropriative and prescriptive rights to groundwater in the Antelope Valley Basin. The Public Water Suppliers are informed and believe, and thereon allege, they and/or their predecessors-in-interest, have pumped water from the Antelope Valley Basin for more than five

17.

years prior to the filing of this cross-complaint.

39. The Public Water Suppliers have pumped water from, and/or stored water in the Antelope Valley Basin, by reasonable extraction means. They have used the Basin and/or its water for reasonable and beneficial purposes; and they have done so under a claim of right in an actual, open, notorious, exclusive, continuous, uninterrupted, hostile, adverse use and/or manner for a period of time of at least five years and before filing this cross-complaint.

- 40. To provide water to the public, the Public Water Suppliers have and claim the following rights:
- (A) The right to pump groundwater from the Antelope Valley Groundwater

 Basin in an annual amount equal to the highest volume of groundwater extracted by each of the

 Public Water Suppliers in any year preceding entry of judgment in this action;
- (B) The right to pump or authorize others to extract from the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin an amount of water equal in quantity to that amount of water previously purchased by each of the Public Water Suppliers from the Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency; and which has augmented the supply of water in the Basin in any year preceding entry of judgment in this action.
- (C) The right to pump or authorize others to extract from the Antelope Valley
 Groundwater Basin an amount of water equal in quantity to that amount of water purchased in the
 future by each of the Public Water Suppliers from the Antelope Valley-Bast Kern Water Agency
 which augments the supply of water in the Basin; and
- (D) The right to pump or authorize others to extract from the Antelope Valley
 Basin an amount of water equal in quantity to that volume of water injected into the Basin or
 placed within the Basin by each of the Public Water Suppliers or on behalf of any of them.

23:

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Declaratory Relief - Prescriptive Rights - Against All Cross-Defendants Except the United States And Other Public Entity Cross-Defendants)

- 41. The Public Water Suppliers re-allege and incorporate by reference each and all of the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 42. For over fifty years, the California Supreme Court has recognized prescriptive water rights. The Public Water Suppliers allege that, for more than five years and before the date of this cross-complaint, they have pumped water from the Basin for reasonable and beneficial purposes, and done so under a claim of right in an actual, open, notorious, exclusive, continuous, hostile and adverse manner. The Public Water Suppliers further allege that each cross-defendant had actual and/or constructive notice of these activities, either of which is sufficient to establish the Public Water Suppliers' prescriptive rights.
- 43. Public Water Suppliers contend that each cross-defendant's rights to pump water from the Basin are subordinate to the Public Water Suppliers' prescriptive rights and to the general welfare of the citizens, inhabitants and customers within the Public Water Suppliers' respective service areas and/or jurisdictions.
- 44. An actual controversy has arisen between the Public Water Suppliers and cross-defendants, and each of them. Public Water Suppliers allege, on information and belief, that each cross-defendant disputes the Public Water Suppliers' contentions, as described in the immediately preceding paragraph.
- 45. Public Water Suppliers seek a judicial determination as to the correctness of their contentions and a finding as to the priority and amount of water they and each cross-defendant are entitled to pump from the Basin.

Ţ

б

9.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Declaratory Relief - Appropriative Rights - Against All Cross-Defendants)

- 46. The Public Water Suppliers re-allege and incorporate by reference each and all of the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 47. Public Water Suppliers allege that, in addition or alternatively to their prescriptive rights, they have appropriative rights to pump water from the Basin.
 - 48. Appropriative rights attach to surplus water from the Basin.
- 49. Surplus water exists when the pumping from the Basin is less than the safe yield. It is the maximum quantity of water which can be withdrawn annually from a groundwater Basin under a given set of conditions without causing an undesirable result. "Undesirable results" generally refer to gradual lowering of the groundwater levels in the Basin, but also includes subsidence.
- 50. Persons and/or entities with overlying rights to water in the Basin are only entitled to make reasonable and beneficial use of the Basin's native safe yield.
- 51. An actual controversy has arisen between the Public Water Suppliers and cross-defendants, and each of them. The Public Water Suppliers allege, on information and belief, that all cross-defendants, and each of them, seek to prevent the Public Water Suppliers from pumping surplus water.
- 52. The Public Water Suppliers seek a judicial determination as to the Basin's safe yield, the quantity of surplus water available, if any, the correlative overlying rights of each cross-defendant to the safe yield and a determination of the rights of persons an/or entities with

overlying, appropriative and prescriptive rights to pump water from the Basin.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Declaratory Relief - Physical Solution - Against All Cross-defendants)

- 53. The Public Water Suppliers re-allege and incorporate by reference each and all of the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 54. Upon information and belief, the Public Water Suppliers allege that cross-defendants, and each of them, claim an interest or right to Basin water, and further claim they can increase their pumping without regard to the rights of the Public Water Suppliers. Unless restrained by order of the court, cross-defendants will continue to take increasing amounts of water from the Basin, causing great and irreparable damage and injury to the Public Water Suppliers and to the Basin. Money damages cannot compensate for the damage and injury to the Basin.
- 75. The amount of Basin water available to the Public Water Suppliers has been reduced because cross-defendants have extracted, and continue to extract increasingly large amounts of water from the Basin. Unless the court enjoins and restrains cross-defendants, and each of them, the aforementioned conditions will worsen. Consequently, the Basin's groundwater supply will be further depleted, thus reducing the amount of Basin water available to the public.
- 56. California law makes it the duty of the trial court to consider a "physical solution" to water rights disputes. A physical solution is a common-sense approach to resolving water rights litigation that seeks to satisfy the reasonable and beneficial needs of all parties through augmenting the water supply or other practical measures. The physical solution is a practical way of fulfilling the mandate of the California Constitution (Article X, section 2) that the water resources of the State be put to use to the fullest extent of which they are capable.

57. This court must determine, impose and retain continuing jurisdiction in order to enforce a physical solution upon the parties who pump water from the Basin, and thereby prevent irreparable injury to the Basin. Available solutions to the Basin problems may include, but are not limited to, the court appointment of a watermaster, and monetary and metering and assessments upon water extraction from the Basin. Such assessments would pay for the purchase, delivery of supplemental supply of water to the Basin.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(For Declaratory Relief - Municipal Priority - Against All Cross-Defendants)

- 58. The Public Water Suppliers re-allege and incorporate by reference each and all of the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 59. The Public Water Suppliers have rights to pump water from the Basin to meet existing public water needs, and also to take increased amounts of Basin water as necessary to meet future public needs. The Public Water Suppliers' rights to Basin water exist both as a result of the priority and extent of their appropriative and prescriptive rights, and as a matter of law and public policy of the State of California: "It is hereby declared to be the established policy of this State that the use of water for domestic purposes is the highest use of water and that the next highest use is for irrigation." (Water Code §106.)
- 60. Water Code Section 106.5 provides: "It is hereby declared to be the established policy of this State that the right of a municipality to acquire and hold rights to the use of water should be protected to the fullest extent necessary for existing and future uses. ..."
- 61. Under Water Code sections 106 and 106.5, the Public Water Suppliers have a prior and paramount right to Basin water as against all non-municipal uses.

- 62. An actual controversy has arisen between the Public Water Suppliers and cross-defendants. The Public Water Suppliers allege, on information and belief, that cross-defendants dispute the contentions in Paragraphs 1 through 43, inclusive, of this cross-complaint. The Public Water Suppliers are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that the majority of the cross-defendants pump groundwater from the Basin for agricultural purposes.
- 63. The Public Water Suppliers seek a judicial determination as to the correctness of their contentions and to the amount of water the parties may pump from the Basin. The Public Water Suppliers also seek a declaration of their right to pump water from the Basin to meet their reasonable present and future needs, and that such rights are prior and paramount to the rights, if any, of cross-defendants to use Basin water for irrigation purposes.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Declaratory Relief - Storage Of Imported Water - Against All Cross-defendants)

- 64. The Public Water Suppliers re-allege and incorporate by reference each and all of the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- The Public Water Suppliers purchase and use water from the State Water Project. State Project water is not native to the Basin. Importing State Project water decreases the Public Water Suppliers' need to pump water from the Basin. The Public Water Suppliers' purchase and delivery of State Project water is the reason it has been brought to the Basin. The Public Water Suppliers pay a substantial annual cost to import State Project water; this amount is subject to periodic increases.
- 66. The Public Water Suppliers allege there is underground space available in the Basin for storing imported State Project water.

6	7.	As importers of State Project water, the Public Water Suppliers have the right to
store imp	orted	State Project water underground in the Basin, and also have the sole right to pump
or otherv	vise n	se such stored State Project water. The rights of cross-defendants, if any, are
limited to	o the	native supply of the Basin and to their own imported water. Cross-defendants'
rights, if	any, c	lo not extend to water imported into the Basin by the Public Water Suppliers.

- 68. An actual controversy has arisen between the Public Water Suppliers and crossdefendants. The Public Water Suppliers allege, on information and belief, that cross-defendants dispute their contentions in Paragraphs 1 through 39, of this cross-complaint.
- 69. The Public Water Suppliers seek a judicial determination as to the correctness of their contentions that they may store imported State Project water in the Basin, recapture such imported State Project water, and that they have the sole right to pump or otherwise use such imported State Project water.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Declaratory Relief - Recapture Of Return Flows

From Imported Water Stored in The Basin - Against All Cross-defendants)

- 70. The Public Water Suppliers re-allege and incorporate by reference each and all of the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 71. Some of the State Project water typically returns and/or enters the Basin, and will continue to do so. This water is commonly known as "return flows." These return flows further augment the Basin's water supply.
- 72. The Public Water Suppliers allege there is underground space available in the Basin to store return flows from imported State Project water.

б

	73.	The Public Water Suppliers have the sole right to recapture return flows
attribut	able to	their State Project water, or such water imported on their behalf. The rights of
cross-d	efendar	its, if any, are limited to the Basin's native supply and/or to their imported water,
and do	notext	end to groundwater attributable to the Public Water Suppliers' return flows.

- 74. An actual controversy has arisen between the Public Water Suppliers and cross-defendants. The Public Water Suppliers allege, on information and belief, that cross-defendants dispute their contentions in Paragraphs 1 through 43 of this cross-complaint.
- 75. The Public Water Suppliers seek a judicial determination as to the correctness of their contentions, and that they have the sole right to recapture return flows in the Basin, both at present and in the future.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Unreasonable Use Of Water - Against All Cross-Defendants Except Public Entity Cross-Defendants)

- 76. The Public Water Suppliers re-allege and incorporate by reference each and all of the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 77. The California Constitution (Article X, Section 2) provides the cardinal principle of California water law, superior to any water rights priorities and requires that water use not be unreasonable or wasteful. The reasonable use of water depends on the facts and circumstances of each case; what may be reasonable in areas of abundant water may be unreasonable in an area of scarcity; and, what is a beneficial use at one time may become a waste of water at a later time.
- 78. The Public Water Suppliers are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that some cross-defendants' use of water is unreasonable in the arid Antelope Valley and therefore

constitutes waste, unreasonable use or an unreasonable method of diversion or use within the meaning of the California Constitution (Article X, section 2). Such uses are thereby unlawful.

- 79. An actual controversy has arisen between the Public Water Suppliers and crossdefendants. The Public Water Suppliers allege, on information and belief, that the crossdefendants dispute their contentions in Paragraphs 1 through 43 of this Cross-Complaint.
- 80. The Public Water Suppliers seek a judicial declaration that cross-defendants have no right to any unreasonable use, unreasonable methods of use, or waste of water. Cross-defendants' rights, if any, must be determined based on the reasonable use of water in the Antelope Valley rather than upon the amount of water actually used.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Declaratory Relief Re Boundaries Of Basin)

- 91. The Public Water Suppliers re-allege and incorporate by reference each and all of the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 92. An actual controversy has arisen between the Public Water Suppliers and cross-defendants, and each of them, regarding the actual physical dimensions and description of the Basin for purposes of determining the parties rights to water located therein. The Public Water Suppliers allege, on information and belief, that cross-defendants dispute the Public Water Suppliers' contentions, as set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 38, inclusive, of this cross-complaint.
- 93. The Public Water Suppliers seek a judicial determination as to the correctness of their contentions and a finding as to the actual physical dimensions and description of the Basin.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Public Water Suppliers pray for judgment as follows:

1. Judicial declarations consistent with the Public Water Suppliers' contentions in the

- 11		
1	First, Second	Third, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Seventh and Eighth Causes of Action in this cross-
2	complaint;	
3		
4	2.	For preliminary and permanent injunctions which prohibit cross-defendants, and
5	each of them,	from taking, wasting or failing to conserve water from the Basin in any manner
6	which interfe	res with the rights of the Public Water Suppliers to take water from or store water in
7	the Basin to r	neet their reasonable present and future needs;
8:		
9	3.	For prejudgment interest as permitted by law;
10		
11	4.	For attorney, appraisal and expert witness fees and costs incurred in this action;
12	and	
13		
14	5.	Such other relief as the court deems just and proper.
15	Dated: Janua	ry 10, 2007 BEST & KRIEGER LLP
16	Datou. Janua	y 10, 2007 DEGI DEGI & RELEGIA LEI
17		By A Day Will
18		HRIVAL GARBER VERTREY V. DUNN
19		STEFANIE D. HEDLUND Attorneys for Cross-Complainants
20		ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT and LOS ANGELES
21		COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40
22		is Traper or or or.
23	ORANGE32819.1	
24		
25		
26		
27	•	
28		22 .

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Kerry V. Keefe, declare:

I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is Best Best & Krieger LLP, 5 Park Plaza, Suite 1500, Irvine, California 92614. On January 10, 2007, I served the within document(s):

[PROPOSED] FIRST-AMENDED CROSS-COMPLAINT OF PUBLIC WATER SUPPLIERS FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND ADJUDICATION OF WATER RIGHTS

×	by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Cour website in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater matter.
	by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereofully prepaid, in the United States mail at Irvine, California addressed as set fortibelow.
	by causing personal delivery by ASAP Corporate Services of the document(s listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
	by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
	I caused such envelope to be delivered via overnight delivery addressed as indicated on the attached service list. Such envelope was deposited for delivery by Federal Express following the firm's ordinary business practices.
responde vice on tl	I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing are for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal pat same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I

COL Ser am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on January 10, 2007, at Irvine, California.

Fally Kerry W. Keefe

ORANGE/KKEEFE/24201.1

EXHIBIT B

28

4824-0045-9778.1

ANAVERDE'S FIRST SET OF REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED ON EACH PUBLIC WATER SUPPLIER PROVIDED IN EXHIBIT 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

PROPOUNDING PARTY: ANAVERDE LLC

RESPONDING PARTY: **EVERY PUBLIC WATER SUPPLIER LISTED ON EXHIBIT 1**

SET: ONE

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 2030.010, et. seq., Anaverde, LLC ("Anaverde") hereby requests that responding party designate and product for inspection and copying the documents and video recording described below that are in or under its possession, custody, or control, or that of its attorneys, agent, or representatives or to which it has access, within thirty (30) days from the date of service of this request. Alternatively, copies may be made of all of the requested documents and forwarded to the offices of Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP, 221 N. Figueroa Street, Suite 1200, Los Angeles, CA 90012. If you fail to comply with the provisions of California Code of Civil Procedure section 2033.210 with respect to this Request for Admissions, each of the matters to which an admission is requested will be deemed admitted.

Anaverde, LLC further requests that responding parties respond in writing to this document request within thirty (30) days from the date of service of these requests as required by section 2031.210 of the Code of Civil Procedure. Section 2031.210 requires Defendants to state, in writing, the following:

- (1) A statement that the party will comply with the particular demand for inspection by the date set for inspection pursuant to paragraph (2) of subdivision (c) of Section 2031.030 and any related activities;
- (2) A representation that the party lacks the ability to comply with the demand for inspection of a particular item or category of item; and,
- (3) An objection to the particular demand.

With respect to each document, if any, that you withhold from production, please state the basis of your refusal to produce it by:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(1)	Identifying with particularity any document falling within any category of time	in the
	demand to which an objection is being made; and,	

(2) Setting forth clearly the extent of, and the specific ground for, the objection. If an objection is based on a claim of privilege, the particular privilege invoked shall be stated. If an objection is based on a claim that the document sought is protected work product, that claim shall be expressly asserted.

If any document is withheld under a claim of privilege or work product, please set forth the following information, pursuant to section 2031.230 of the Code of Civil Procedure, with respect to each document:

- (a) The identify of the person who created the document, and to the extent it differs, the identity of any person who signed the document or over whose name it was sent or issues;
- (b) The identity of the person or entity to whom the document was sent or directed;
- (c) A description of the type and the subject matter of the document with sufficient particularity to enable the court and the parties to identify the document;
- (d) The date of the document;
- (e) The identity of the person or persons who has or have custody, possession, or control of the original of the document and each copy thereof;
- (f) The identity of the person that received a copy of the document and his or her employer and job title at the time he or she obtained the document;
- (g) The number of pages of the document;
- (h) The basis for the claim of privilege; and,
- (i) Whether any non-privileged matter is include din the document and, if so, a description of the nature of the non-privileged matter and an identification of the portion of the document that contains such non-privileged matter.

To ensure maximum usability, please provide responsive information in electronic files and records, in any of the following formats: standard database format, such as DBF; Microsoft Access; or any other ODBC compatible format.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

27

28

DEFINITIONS

A. The term "DOCUMENTS" shall include all "writings," "recordings," and
"photographs" whether "originals" or "duplicates" as those terms are defined in Sections 250 to
260 of the California Evidence Code and includes, but is not limited to, "handwritings," "type
writings," "printings," "photostats," "photocopies," and "drawings," "drafts," "charts,"
"photographs," "x-rays," "phonographic" and "tape recordings," "diaries," "calendars," "video
recordings," and every other means of recording upon any tangible thing, any form of
communication or representation, including "letters," "words," "pictures," "sounds," or "symbols,
which are in your possession, custody, or control, and from which information can be obtained or
translated, including the originals, or if the originals are not available, duplicates of said
DOCUMENTS for which originals are not available.

- B. The term "RECORDS" is defined as information or data on a particular subject collected or preserved.
- C. WRITING includes the original or a copy of handwriting, typewriting, printing, photocopying, and every other means of recording upon any tangible thing any form of communication or representation including letters, words, pictures, sounds, and symbols, or combinations thereof. (Cal. Evidence Code §250.)
- D. The term "RELATE TO" means concerning, referring to, describing, discussing, supporting, characterizing, delineating, depicting, evidencing, constituting, or pertaining to in any way.
- E. The terms "AND" and "OR" shall be construed conjunctively and disjunctively so as to acquire the broadest of all possible meanings.
- F. "YOU" or "YOUR" includes you, your agents, your attorneys, your consultants, your accountants, your investigators, and anyone else acting on YOUR behalf.
- G. "BASIN" means the area located within the jurisdictional boundary of the Antelope Valley Groundwater Adjudication as defined by the Revised Order After Hearing on Jurisdictional Boundary, dated March 12, 2007.

NIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP	221 NORTH FIGUEROA STREET, SUITE 1200 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012 TELEPHONE 213.250.1800	
BRISB(NORTH FI LOS ANG TELE	
Ş	23	

2

3

4

6

7

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 1:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that refer or RELATE TO GROUNDWATER WELLS within the BASIN, including the GROUNDWATER WELL identification, owner well name, and United States Geological Survey ("USGS") well identification.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 2:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that refer to or RELATE TO the Universal Transverse Mercador ("UTM") coordinates of GROUNDWATER WELLS within the BASIN in North America Datum ("NAD") 1983 UTM Zone 11N coordinates or any other georeferenced coordinate system.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 3:

Any and all DOCUMENTS where GROUNDWATER WELL elevation within the BASIN is referenced, including, but not limited to, vertical data.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 4:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that refer, RELATE TO, or identify the type of GROUNDWATER WELL within the BASIN. Such well classifications may include municipal supply wells, injection wells, monitoring wells, agricultural irrigation wells, or other well types such as geotechnical.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 5:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that refer or RELATE TO the depth of each GROUNDWATER WELL within the BASIN, including unit of measure.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 6:

Any and all documents that refer to or RELATE TO the casing diameters of each GROUNDWATER WELL within the BASIN.

25 | | / / /

26 | | / / /

27 ///

221 NORTH FIGUEROA STREET, SUITE 1200 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012 TELEPHONE 213,250,1800	
221 NORTH FIGUES LOS ANGELES TELEPHON	

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

REQUEST	FOR	PRODUCTION NO.	7.
	1 011		

Any and all documents that refer to or RELATE TO information on GROUNDWATER WELL construction within the BASIN (e.g., materials of construction, methodology of construction, etc.).

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 8:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that refer or RELATE TO the length of screen interval(s) for each GROUNDWATER WELL within the BASIN.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 9:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that refer or RELATE TO the depth of screen interval(s) for each GROUNDWATER WELL within the BASIN.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 10

Any and all DOCUMENTS that refer or RELATE TO the date of each GROUNDWATER WELL installation within the BASIN.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 11:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that refer or RELATE TO the drilling methods utilized in the construction of each GROUNDWATER WELL within the BASIN.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 12:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that refer or RELATE TO each GROUNDWATER PRODUCTION WELL within the BASIN area identified in YOUR response to Anaverde's Special Interrogatory No. 2, served concurrently with this Request for Production of Documents.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 13:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that refer or RELATE TO the RATED FLOW CAPACITY of the downhole GROUNDWATER PUMP for each GROUNDWATER PRODUCTION WELL within the BASIN identified in YOUR response to Anaverde's Special Interrogatory No. 2, served concurrently with this Request for Production of Documents.

26 | 1///

27

2

3

6

7

8

11

12

13

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Any and all DOCUMENTS that refer or RELATE TO the ACTUAL FLOW CAPACITY and/or production rate of water for each GROUNDWATER PRODUCTION WELL in the BASIN identified in YOUR response to Anaverde's Special Interrogatory No. 2, served concurrently with this Request for Production of Documents, along with the date of such records.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 15:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that refer or RELATE TO each GROUNDWATER
PRODUCTION WELLS identified in YOUR response to Special Interrogatory No. 2, served
concurrently with this Request for Production of Documents, including but not limited to
information on the depth and size of the GROUNDWATER PUMP.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 16:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that refer or RELATE TO each GROUNDWATER

PRODUCTION WELL in the BASIN identified in YOUR response to Special Interrogatory No.

2, served concurrently with this Request for Production of Documents, including but not limited to information on the expected use of water from the GROUNDWATER PRODUCTION WELL, such as domestic or municipal supply water, agricultural irrigation, livestock watering, as well as other uses identified.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 17:

ANY and ALL documents that RELATE TO or refer to water elevation measurements over time for each GROUNDWATER PRODUCTION WELL identified in YOUR response to Special Interrogatory No. 2, served concurrently with this Request for Production of Documents.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 18:

ANY and ALL documents that RELATE TO or refer to the depth to water over time for each GROUNDWATER PRODUCTION WELL identified in YOUR response to Special Interrogatory No. 2, served concurrently with this Request for Production of Documents.

26 ///

27 11 / / /

28 4824-0045-9778.1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

7

8

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 19:

ANY and ALL documents RELATING TO or referencing the method for measurement of depth to groundwater in each GROUNDWATER PRODUCTION WELL as identified in YOUR response to Special Interrogatory No. 2, served concurrently with this Request for Production of Documents.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 20:

ANY and ALL documents that RELATE or refer to the elevation of each GROUNDWATER PRODUCTION WELL identified in YOUR response to Special Interrogatory No. 2, served concurrently with this Request for Production of Documents.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 21:

ANY and ALL documents that RELATE or refer to the temperature of water, over time, for each GROUNDWATER PRODUCTION WELL identified in YOUR response to Special Interrogatory No. 2, served concurrently with this Request for Production of Documents.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 22:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that refer or RELATE TO the date when water was first extracted from any and each GROUNDWATER PRODUCTION WELL identified in YOUR response to Special Interrogatory No. 2, served concurrently with this Request for Production of Documents.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 23:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that refer or RELATE TO the quantity of water extracted from each GROUNDWATER PRODUCTION WELL identified in YOUR response to Special Interrogatory No. 2, served concurrently with this Request for Production of Documents, for the past 50 years, on an annualized basis.

24 11///

25 177

26

27 III

2

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 24: Any and all DOCLIMENTS that refer

Any and all DOCUMENTS that refer or RELATE TO total duration, in days or hours, of the operation of each GROUNDWATER PRODUCTION WELL, including the water produced from each GROUNDWATER PRODUCTION WELL identified in YOUR response to Special Interrogatory No. 2, served concurrently with this Request for Production of Documents, on an annualized basis.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 25:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that refer or RELATE TO the specific date(s) and time(s) for pump start and pump stop for each GROUNDWATER PRODUCTION WELL for the past 50 years.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 26:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that refer or RELATE TO the GROUNDWATER ionic chemistry measured at each identified GROUNDWATER WELL, over the last fifty years, along with the sampling date.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 27:

Any and all DOCUMENTS that refer or RELATE TO the total dissolved solids ("TDS") measured at each identified GROUNDWATER WELL, over the last fifty years, along with the sampling date.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 28:

Any and all DOCUMENTS relating to the pH levels of the surface water measured at each identified GROUNDWATER WELL, over the last fifty years, along with the sampling date.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 29:

Any and all DOCUMENTS relating to the pH levels of the GROUNDWATER measured at each identified GROUNDWATER WELL over the last fifty years, along with the sampling date.

26 1///

27 11 / / /

28 | 4824-0045-9778.1

10

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 30: Any and all DOCUMENTS relating to

Any and all DOCUMENTS relating to individual mineral constituents contained in each GROUNDWATER WELL for the past fifty years, including the following: sodium (Na), calcium (Ca), potassium (K), iron (Fe), magnesium (Mg), manganese (Mn), arsenic (As), selenium (Se), boron (B), chloride (Cl), sulfate (SO₄), bicarbonate (HCO₃), carbonate (CaCO₃), nitrate (NO₃), silica (SiO₂), nitrogen (N), and TKN (Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen).

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 31:

Any and all DOCUMENTS relating to the date and time of sampling and/or testing of individual mineral constituents as requested in Request for Production Nos. 26, 27, 28, 29, and 30.

DATED: August 5, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

KIMBERLY A. HUANGFU LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

By: Kimberly A. Heangfu
Attorneys for Anaverde LLC

PROOF OF SERVICE

I declare that:

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 221 North Figueroa Street, Suite 1200, Los Angeles, California 90012.

On August 5, 2008, I served ANAVERDE LLC'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED ON EACH PUBLIC WATER

SUPPLIER LISTED ON EXHIBIT 1 by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara Superior Court website in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater matter.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct, executed on August 5, 2008.



4840-7385-4722.1

EXHIBIT 1

1		EXHIBIT 1
2		
3	Cross-C	omplaining Public Water Suppliers:
4		
5	1.	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40;
6	2.	City of Lancaster;
7	3.	City of Los Angeles;
8	4.	City of Palmdale;
9	5.	Palmdale Water District;
10	6.	Littlerock Creek Irrigation District;
11	7.	Palm Ranch Irrigation District;
12	8.	Quartz Hill Water District;
13	9.	Antelope Valley Water Co.;
14	10.	Rosamond Community Service District;
15	11.	Mojave Public Utilities District; and
16	12.	California Water Service Company.
17		•
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

THE SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA **ELECTRONIC FILING - WWW.SCEFILING.ORG** c/o Glotrans 2915 McClure Street Oakland, CA94609 TEL: (510) 208-4775 2 FAX: (510) 465-7348 EMAIL: Info@Glotrans.com 3 4 THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 5 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA 6 Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases (JCCP 4408) Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases (JCCP 4408) Plaintiff. Lead Case No.1-05-CV-049053 7 VŞ. Hon. Jack Komar 8 Defendant. PROOF OF SERVICE AND RELATED ACTIONS **Electronic Proof of Service** 9 I am employed in the County of Alameda, State of California. 10 I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 2915 McClure Street, Oakland, CA 94609. 11 The documents described on page 2 of this Electronic Proof of Service were submitted via the 12 worldwide web on Tue. August 5, 2008 at 3:43 PM PDT and served by electronic mail notification. I have reviewed the Court's Order Concerning Electronic Filing and Service of Pleading Documents and 13 am readily familiar with the contents of said Order. Under the terms of said Order, I certify the above-described 14 document's electronic service in the following manner: The document was electronically filed on the Court's website, http://www.scefiling.org, on Tue. August 5, 15 2008 at 3:43 PM PDT 16 Upon approval of the document by the Court, an electronic mail message was transmitted to all parties on the electronic service list maintained for this case. The message identified the document and provided 17 instructions for accessing the document on the worldwide web. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and 18 correct. Executed on August 5, 2008 at Oakland, California. 19 For WWW.SCEFILING.ORG Dated: August 5, 2008 Andy Jamieson 20 21 22

23

1	
1 ,	THE SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA ELECTRONIC FILING SYSTEM - WWW.SCEFILING.ORG
2	Electronic Proof of Service Page 2
3	Document(s) submitted by Kimberly Huangfu of Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP on Tue. August 5, 2008 at 3:43 PM PDT
4	1. Discovery (e-service only): ANAVERDE LLC FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PROPOUNDED ON EACH PUBLIC WATER SUPPLIER LISTED ON EXHIBIT 1
5	
6	
7	
. 8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	