After consideration of the papers filed and oppositions thereto, and argument of counsel, 1 the Court declined to find that an automatic stay applied. However, the Court exercised its 2 discretion to grant the Zamarzlas' Motion to Stay the Watermaster's Renewed Motion as to the 3 recovery of Replacement Water Assessments to a date after the Court of Appeal issues its ruling 4 in the pending appeal filed by the Zamrzlas. The Court expressed no opinion on whether to stay 5 any other aspect of the Judgment, including Administrative Assessments. Therefore, the Court 6 makes the following order: 7 The Zamrzlas' Motion for Stay is GRANTED and the Watermaster's Renewed Motion is 8 continued to a date after the Court of Appeal issues its ruling in the pending appeal filed by the Zamrzlas. The Court's Order makes no determination as to the Zamrzlas' responsibility for, or the 10 Watermaster's entitlement to, Administrative Assessments. 11 IT IS SO ORDERED. 12 13 Dated: Du 27, 2023 14 15 Judge of the Superior Court 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Price, Postel & Parma LLP Santa Barbara, Ca