SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550(b))

ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES

Included Actions:

Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co.
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 325 201

Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co. Superior Court of California, County of Kern,

Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348

Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist. Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, consolidated actions, Case Nos. RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668

Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408

For Court's Use Only: Santa Clara County Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 (for E-Posting/E-Service Purposes Only)

Date/Time: Monday, September 29, 2008 (8:15 am)

Location: Santa Clara County Superior Court

191 North First Street, Department 17

San Jose, CA 95113

Present: Hon. Jack Komar, Judge Robert Gutierrez, Clerk

Heather Gorley, Reporter Janel Karrle, Deputy

MINUTE ORDER RE: MEDIA REQUEST BY COURTROOM VIEW NETWORK

The attached media request from Courtroom View Network is pending before the Court. The Court invites comments from the parties, to be submitted by Friday, October 3, 2008. Trial is currently set for October 6, 2008 at 9:00 a.m. in Department 1, Los Angeles County Superior Court. This matter was not reported.

ATTACHMENTS TO THIS MINUTE ORDER:

MEDIA REQUEST BY COURTROOM VIEW NETWORK

PARTIES/ATTORNEYS OF RECORD: AS LISTED ON WWW.SCEFILING.ORG

END



September 26, 2008

The Honorable Jack Komar
State of California, Superior Court, Los Angeles County
Dept. 1
Stanley Mosk Courthouse
111 North Hill Street
Los Angeles, California 90012

Re: Anielope Valley Groundwater Cases (JCCP4408)

By Fax: (213 621 7642)

Dear Your Honor:

Courtroom View Network (CVN), a subsidiary of Courtroom Connect, formally requests your honor's permission to video record the October 6, 2008 trial in the above matter. This application is made according to California Rules of Court Rule 1.150 and Form MC-500 is enclosed.

CVN intends to record the entire trial without interruption. There are no commercials or commentary. To view the proceedings, CVN subscribers, the overwhelming majority of whom are attorneys, will log-in to our secure web site. They will have the ability to watch the complete trial "on-demand." In addition, CVN will sell DVD-ROM recordings of the trial.

CVN's equipment is unobtrusive. In addition to one compact, stationary video camera on a tripod, CVN's equipment consists of a DVD recorder, microphones and an audio mixer.

With the exception of the small video camera, all of CVN's equipment can fit on a small-sized table. It usually takes about two hours for CVN to install and test its equipment. CVN's crew will consist of a maximum of two people whose dress and decorum will be appropriate.

CVN has a substantial track record of webcasting legal proceedings in both state and federal courts. CVN strictly adheres to all local rules pertaining to cameras in a courtroom. In the course of over 300 events, CVN has never been found in violation of any judicial order or rule. Among the matters CVN has webcast are:

 Genesco v. Finish Line: Tennessee Court of Chancery, Metro Courthouse, Nashville, Hon. Ellen Hobbs Lyle

75 Maiden Lane * Ste. 804 * New York * NY * 10038 * 212.367.0937



- In Re: Zyprexa, United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York, Hon. Jack Weinstein
- Jose Adolfo Tellez et al v. Dole Food Company Inc et al; Los Angeles Superior Court, Hon. Hon. Victoria Chancy
- State of Alaska v. Eli Lilly & Co; Anchorage, Alaska State Court; Hon. Mark Ridner
- Stewart Grossberg v. Merck & Co., Inc.; Los Angeles Superior Court, Hon. Victoria Chaney

CVN will cooperate with other video outlets who wish to cover this proceeding. CVN has acted as "pool" on many occasions. If necessary, CVN will also work with relevant court authorities to provide an overflow room for members of the public and the media if the courtroom cannot accommodate them. CVN will wire the overflow room at our own expense to receive an audio-visual feed from the courtroom. However, CVN will need advance notice if it is to provide equipment for such a room.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter. Should you have any questions, please contact me at the number below.

Respectfully yours.

Gretchen Correa Trial Coordinator (347) 649-9112

gcarres@courtroomview.com

75 Maiden Lane * Ste. 804 * New York * NY * 10038 * 212.367.0937 info@courtroomview.com * www.courtroomview.com

						MC-500
MEDIA AGÊNCY (nema): (CHANNEL/FREQUENCY NO.:	Courtroon View Network			FOR COURT USE	OMY	
PERSON SUBMITTING REQUEST (name):	Gretchen Correa		· .			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
75 Maiden Lane Suite 804, New Y	ork, NY 10038 TELEPHONE NO.: 347-649-9112		<u> </u>			
Superior Court of California, Los A	Angeles County			***		eny e
TITLE OF CASE. Antelope Valley Groundwater Cas	A F		!			
NAME OF JUDGE: Jack Komar			:			
MEDIA REQUEST TO	PHOTOGRAPH, RECORD, DADCAST	CASE	HUM DEP	JCCP44	n R	
PORTION OF THE PROCEEDINGS TO Trial	BE COVERED (e.g., particular witnesses a	et trial, th	e senter			
 DATE OF PROPOSED COVERAGE (sp proposed coverage date. If not legisible, 		(File this	form at	least five cou	urt days	before the
		;	1	•	`	
3. TYPE OF COVERAGE a. TV carners and recorder b. Still carners c. Motion picture carners	d. Audio e. V Other (specify): Videorec	ording	Procee	dings in d	igital 1	format.
4. SPECIAL REQUESTS OR ANTICI (specify):	PATED PROBLEMS	:				
5. INCREASED COSTS, This agency resulting from this media coverage Amount unknown	y acknowledges that it will be responsible for (estimate): \$	' Incréas :	ed court	incurred cos	its, if an	у,
 PROPOSED ORDER. A completed, pro Court, rule 1.150). 	posed order on Judicial Council form MC-5 CERTIFICATION	10 is atti	ched (n	equired by C	al Rule	E of
l centry that if the court permits media cove will abide by the provisions of California Ru imposed by the court.	rage in this case, all perticipating personnel les of Court, rule 1.150, the provisions of the	in this m	ider, and	ancy will be in I any addition	nformed nal resti	i of and rictions
Date: September 26, 2008	\mathbf{A}	4	:			
Gretchen A Correa (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		<u></u>	SIGNATI	AE)		·
Telephone No.: 347-649-9112	Trial Coordin		POSITION	IN MEDIA AGENC	(A)	
NO A HEARING w柳 be held as follows:	OTICE OF HEARING (A hearing is options				<u>·</u>	
Date: Time:	Dept./Div.:	Roc	rrj:			
Address of the Court:		·				
	Clerk, by		 -			_ , Daputy
	EQUEST TO PHOTOGRAPH, RECOR BROADCAST	D, QR		Cal		Court, rule 1.150 pourlinfo, cauges
AC-500 (Rev. Junuary 1, 2007)	PRVADVAGI				merican L	egelHet, Inc. Wantlow.com

	NC-510
MEDIA AGENCY (name): Courtroom View Network	FOR COURT USE ONLY
CHANNEL/FREQUENCY NO.:	e de la companya de l
PERSON SUBMITTING REQUEST (name): Gretchen Correa	
ADDRESS: 75 Maiden Ln Suite 804, New York, NY, 10038	
TELEPHONE NO.: 347-649-9112	
Insert name of court and name of judicial district and branch court, if any:	
Superior Court of California, Los Angeles County	
TITLE OF CASE: Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases	
NAME OF JUDGE;	
Jack Komar	CASE NUMBER
ORDER ON MEDIA REQUEST TO PERMIT COVERAGE	JCCP4408
AGENCY MAKING REQUEST (name):	
1. a. Det of hearing: Time: Dept./Div.:	Reom:
b. Date of hearing: Time: Dept./Div.: 2. The court considered all the relevant factors listed in subdivision (e)(3) of California	
3. THE COURT FINDS (findings or a statement of decision are optional):	Attached As follows:
THE COURT ORDERS	
4. The request to photograph, record, or broadcast is	! 1
a. The reducation protographs, recover, or produces in	
a. 🔛 deniad.	ND the following:
a. denied. b. granted subject to the conditions in rule 1.150, California Rules of Court, A (1) The local rules of this court regulating media activity outside the c (2) The order of the presiding or supervising judge regulating media (3) Payment to the clerk of increased court-incurred costs of (specification) (4) The media agency shall demonstrate to the court that the propose California Rules of Court, rule 1.150, and any local rule or order.	countroom (copy stached). activity outside the countroom (copy attached). y): \$ to be determined.
a. denied. b. granted subject to the conditions in rule 1.150, California Rules of Court, A (1) The local rules of this court regulating media activity outside the c (2) The order of the presiding or supervising judge regulating media (3) Payment to the clerk of increased court-incurred costs of (specification) (4) The media agency shall demonstrate to the court that the propose California Rules of Court, rule 1.150, and any local rule or order. (5) Personnel and equipment shall be placed as directed	courtroom (copy stached). activity outside the courtroom (copy attached). y): \$ to be determined. ed personnel and equipment comply with as indicated in the attachment as approved, the court shall be filed before
a denied. b granted subject to the conditions in rule 1.150, California Rules of Count, A	courtroom (copy attached). activity outside the courtroom (copy attached). y): \$
a denied. b. granted subject to the conditions in rule 1.150, California Rules of Court, A. (1)	courtroom (copy attached). activity outside the courtroom (copy attached). y): \$
a denied. b granted subject to the conditions in rule 1.150, California Rules of Court, A	courtroom (copy attached). activity outside the courtroom (copy attached). y): \$

MC-510 CASE NAME: GASE NUMBER Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases **JCCP4408**

FACTORS CONSIDERED BY THE JUDGE IN MAKING THIS ORDER (Rule 1.150)

- 1. Importance of maintaining public trust and confidence in the judicial system
- 2. Importance of promoting public access to the judicial system
- 3. Parties' support of or opposition to the request
- 4. Nature of the case
- 5. Privacy rights of all participants in the proceeding, including witnesses, jurors, and victims
- 6. Effect on any minor who is a party, prospective witness, victim, or other participant in the proceeding
- 7. Effect on the parties' ability to select a fair and unbiased luny
- 8. Effect on any ongoing law enforcement activity in the case
- 9. Effect on any unresolved Identification issues
- 10. Effect on any subsequent proceedings in the case

- 11. Effect of coverage on the willingness of witnesses to cooperate, including the risk that coverage will engender tiveats to the health or safety of any witness
- 12. Effect on excluded withesess who would have access to the televised testimony of prior witnesses
- 13. Scope of the coverage and whether partial coverage might unfairly influence or distract the jury
- 14. Difficulty of jury selection if a mistrial is declared
- 15. Security and dignity of the court
- 16. Undue administrative or financial burden to the court or participants
- 17, Interference with rieighboring countrooms
- 18. Maintaining orderly conduct of the proceeding
- 19. Any other factor the judge deems relevant

PROHIBITED COVERAGE (Rule 1.150)

This order dose not permit photographing, recording, or broadcasting of the following in the court:

- 1. The jury or the speciators
- 2. Jury selection
- 3. A conference between an attorney and a client, witness, or aide
- 4. A conference between attorneys

- 5. A conference between counsel and the judge at the bench ("sidebars")
- 6. A proceeding close to the public
- 7. A proceeding held in chambers

MEDIA PERSONNEL AND EQUIPMENT (Rule 1.150)

NOTE: These requirements apply unless the judge orders otherwise. Refer to the order for additional requirements.

- 1. No more than one television camera
- 2. No more than one still photographer
- 3. No more than one microphone operator and no obtrusive microphones or wiring
- 4. No operator entry or exit or other distraction when the court is in session
- 5. No moving equipment when the court is in session
- 6. No distracting sounds or lights 7. No visible signal light or device that shows when equipment la operating
- 8. No disruption of proceedings, nor public expense, to install, operate, or remove modifications to existing sound and lighting systems
- 9. No media agency ir signia or marking on equipment or clothing

SANCTIONS FOR VIOLATING THIS ORDER (Rule 1.150)

Any violation of this order or rule 1.150 is an unlawful interference with the proceedings of the court. The violation may result in an order terminating media coverage, a citation for contempt of court, or an order imposing monetary or other sanctions.