1	BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP	EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES
2.0	ERIC L. GARNER, Bar No. 130665	UNDER GOVERNMENT CODE
2	JEFFREY V. DUNN, Bar No. 131926	SECTION 6103
-	STEFANIE D. HEDLUND, Bar No. 239787	
3	5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE 1500	
4	IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614 TELEPHONE: (949) 263-2600	
	TELECOPIER: (949) 260-0972	
5	Attorneys for Cross-Complainants	
5	ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES	
6	DISTRICT and LOS ANGELES COUNTY	
	WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40	
7		
	OFFICE OF COUNTY COUNSEL	
8	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
0	RAYMOND G. FORTNER, JR., Bar No. 42230	
9	COUNTY COUNSEL	
10	FREDERICK W. PFAEFFLE, Bar No. 145742 PRINCIPAL DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL	
10	500 WEST TEMPLE STREET	
11	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012	
	TELEPHONE: (213) 974-1901	
12	TELECOPIER: (213) 458-4020	
uie I	Attorneys for Cross-Complainant LOS ANG	
13	COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO	. 40
14	[See Next Page For Additional Counsel]	
1.7		
15	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
.,	COLINTY OF LOS AND	GELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT
16	COUNTY OF LOS AND	JELES - CENTRAL DISTRICT
17		
*.		
18	ANTELOPE VALLEY	Judicial Council Coordination No. 4408
	GROUNDWATER CASES	ar lan land
19	Total of the Wilderson	CLASS ACTION
20	Included Actions:	Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053
20	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior	Assigned to The Honorable Jack Komar
21	Court of California, County of Los	Assigned to The Honoravic Jack Rollian
21	Angeles, Case No. BC 325201;	[Code Civ. Proc., § 382]
22		2
23	Los Angeles County Waterworks District	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING AN
23	No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior	OPEN EXTENSION OF TIME FOR
	No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of California, County of Kern, Case	OPEN EXTENSION OF TIME FOR PARTIES TO RESPOND TO ALL CROSS-
24	No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior	OPEN EXTENSION OF TIME FOR
24	No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of California, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348;	OPEN EXTENSION OF TIME FOR PARTIES TO RESPOND TO ALL CROSS-
	No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of California, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348; Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of	OPEN EXTENSION OF TIME FOR PARTIES TO RESPOND TO ALL CROSS-
24 25	No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of California, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348; Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. City of	OPEN EXTENSION OF TIME FOR PARTIES TO RESPOND TO ALL CROSS-
24 25 26	No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of California, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348; Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist., Superior Court of	OPEN EXTENSION OF TIME FOR PARTIES TO RESPOND TO ALL CROSS-
24	No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of California, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348; Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist., Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, Case Nos.	OPEN EXTENSION OF TIME FOR PARTIES TO RESPOND TO ALL CROSS-
24 25 26	No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of California, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348; Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist., Superior Court of	OPEN EXTENSION OF TIME FOR PARTIES TO RESPOND TO ALL CROSS-

|PROPOSED| ORDER

LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE 1500 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614

ORDER

The Court hereby grants an open extension of time for all parties to respond to the First-Amended Cross-Complaint by the Public Water Suppliers on the grounds that parties may be eligible for inclusion within a potential class of property owners to be considered by the Court on August 20, 2007. Until further ordered by the Court, no party need answer or otherwise respond to the First-Amended Cross-Complaint.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: JUL 2 4 2007

Judge of the Superior Court
JACK KOMAR

ORANGE\SHEDLUND\38046.1

1 PROOF OF SERVICE 2 I, Karin Nielsen Bonwit, declare: 3 I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is Best Best & Krieger LLP, 5 Park Plaza, Suite 1500, Irvine, California 92614. On July 23, 2007, I served the within document(s): 4 5 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING AN OPEN EXTENSION OF TIME FOR PARTIES TO RESPOND TO ALL CROSS-COMPLAINTS 6 7 X by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Court website in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater matter. 8 by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon 9 fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Irvine, California addressed as set forth below. 10 by causing personal delivery by ASAP Corporate Services of the document(s) 11 listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below. 12 by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the 13 address(es) set forth below. 14 I caused such envelope to be delivered via overnight delivery addressed as indicated on the attached service list. Such envelope was deposited for delivery 15 by Federal Express following the firm's ordinary business practices. 16 17 I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal 18 Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation 19 date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit. 20 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. 21 Executed on July 23, 2007, at Irvine, California. 22 23 24 Karin Nielsen Bonwit 25 26 27 28 ORANGE\KBONWIT\37016.1 - 1 -

PROOF OF SERVICE