2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- B. The parties have an overriding interest in maintaining the confidentiality of such information and protecting such information from unrestricted disclosure which overcomes the right of the public access to the entire record in this coordinated and consolidated proceeding.
- C. A substantial probability exists that the overriding interest will be prejudiced if certain information is not kept confidential and certain court records are not kept sealed.
- D. On March 8, 2007 the Court entered a Protective Order Re Disclosure of Private Information Other Than Well Report (the March 8, 2007 Order.) This Order supersedes and replaces the May 8, 2007 Order.
- E. This protective is narrowly tailored to protect the overriding interest while ensuring public access.

# П. ORDER

NOW THEREFORE, the Court Orders as follows:

# 1. Definitions:

The following definitions shall govern the construction of this Order unless the context otherwise requires.

- (a) CONFIDENTIAL information. "CONFIDENTIAL information" means any information regardless of format or medium that a party has a right to protect from unrestricted disclosure.
- (b) Disclosing party. "Disclosing party" means the party who discloses CONFIDENTIAL information.
- (c) Information. "Information" means discovery information obtained through court ordered discovery, the use of interrogatories, requests for admission, requests for production of documents and things, depositions, subpoenas or other

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

information obtained under the California Civil Discovery Act.

- (d) Notice. "Notice" means reasonable notice under the circumstances. The manner and amount of notice shall be governed by the particular circumstances.
  - (e) Person. "Person" means person as defined in Evidence Code § 175.
- (f) Trade secret. "Trade secret" means trade secret as defined by Civil Code § 3426.1(d)(1).

# 2. Scope and Intent.

- This Order shall be binding on all current and future parties to these coordinated and consolidated proceedings.
- (b) All information designated "CONFIDENTIAL" by the disclosing party shall be subject to this Order.
- (c) The purpose and intent of this Order is to protect CONFIDENTIAL information. Nothing in this Order shall be construed to change existing law or shift existing burdens.
- (d) As a general proposition, all information that is relevant to proving the hydrology, geology, water use, and water quality of the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin is discoverable unless privileged.

# 3. Designation of CONFIDENTIAL Information.

- (a) Any disclosing party may designate information, or any portion of such information. CONFIDENTIAL by placing the word "CONFIDENTIAL" conspicuously on the information.
- **(b)** Any disclosing party may designate information, or any portion of such information, as "CONFIDENTIAL - COUNSEL ONLY" on the information. designating information as "CONFIDENTIAL - COUNSEL ONLY, the disclosing party

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

warrants that the designation is made in good faith and on reasonable belief that the information so designated is CONFIDENTIAL as defined above and that disclosure of such information to another party or party representative would reveal or jeopardize extremely sensitive information.

# 4. Oral Deposition Testimony.

A party may designate as CONFIDENTIAL, information disclosed during oral deposition by stating so on the record or in writing within 10 days from receipt of the transcript. Where CONFIDENTIAL documents are entered into the record of a deposition or where CONFIDENTIAL information is disclosed during deposition, such portion of the deposition containing CONFIDENTIAL information shall be separately bound and marked "CONFIDENTIAL" or "CONFIDENTIAL - COUNSEL ONLY" as the case may be, in a conspicuous place.

# 5. Objection To Designation.

A party may at any time object to the designation of information as CONFIDENTIAL and move the Court for an order declaring that such information not be designated CONFIDENTIAL.

# 6. Information Which Is Not CONFIDENTIAL.

Notwithstanding the designation of information as CONFIDENTIAL, such information shall not be CONFIDENTIAL, nor shall disclosure be limited in accordance with this Order where such information is:

- (a) At the time of disclosure, lawfully in the public domain by publication or otherwise;
- (b) Subsequent to disclosure, through no act or failure to act on the part of the receiving party, lawfully entered the public domain by publication or otherwise;

(c)	Provided to a party by a third party who obtained the information by lega	
means and without any obligation of confidence to the disclosing party; or		
(d)	Developed by employees or agents of the receiving party independently of	

# (d) Developed by employees or agents of the receiving party independently of and without reference to any information communicated by the disclosing party.

# 7. CONFIDENTIAL Information Obtained From Third Parties.

If CONFIDENTIAL information is obtained from a third party, the third party may adopt the benefits and burdens of this Order. Likewise, any party may designate material or information obtained from a third party as CONFIDENTIAL.

# 8. Inadvertent Disclosure.

A party does not waive its right to designate as CONFIDENTIAL information which is inadvertently disclosed without the appropriate designation.

# 9. Documents Filed With The Court.

If a party files documents with the Court which contain material designated CONFIDENTIAL, such documents shall be filed in a sealed envelope or other appropriate sealed container on which shall be the case caption and the words "SEALED BY COURT ORDER" in a conspicuous place.

# 10. Dissemination to Third Parties.

(a) CONFIDENTIAL information shall be disclosed only to the parties to this proceeding, their experts, consultants and counsel. Information designated as "CONFIDENTIAL – COUNSEL ONLY" shall only be disclosed to counsel of record, and their retained, non-party consultants. Before any person receives access to information designated CONFIDENTIAL - COUNSEL ONLY, each shall execute a copy of the form attached hereto as Exhibit A.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

# Use of CONFIDENTIAL Information During Trial. 11.

If, at the time of trial, a party intends to introduce into evidence any CONFIDENTIAL information, notice of that intention must be given to the Court and to counsel for the disclosing party. The Court may then take such steps as it deems reasonable and necessary to maintain the proprietary and/or confidential nature of such information. A party may offer into evidence CONFIDENTIAL information necessary for rebuttal or impeachment only after giving notice to the disclosing party.

# 12. Duty of Care.

- All persons bound by this Order shall have the duty to use reasonable care (a) and precaution to prevent violations thereof.
- If CONFIDENTIAL information is disclosed to any person other than as (b) allowed by this Order, the person responsible for the disclosure must immediately bring all pertinent facts relating to such disclosure to the attention of counsel for the aggrieved party and make every effort to prevent further disclosure. \

## 13. Return/Destruction of Documents.

Within six months after final termination of this proceeding, including all appeals, each party shall be responsible for either destroying or returning to the disclosing party all original and/or copies of all CONFIDENTIAL information produced during the course of this action and subject to this Order, including all excerpts thereof.

## 14. Duration of Order.

The terms of this Order shall survive and remain in full force and effect after the termination of this proceeding, and the Court shall retain jurisdiction over the parties and their attorneys for the purpose of enforcing the terms of this Order.

111

# NO PARKER ATTORNEYS AT LAW P. O. BOX 2205 BAKERSFIELD, CALIFORNIA 93303 (@61) 322-4004 · FAX (661) 322-2906

# 15. Order to the Clerk.

The Clerk of the Court is ordered to show a copy of this Order to anyone desiring access to any of the papers on file with the Court and to deny access to all information marked "CONFIDENTIAL."

Dated: 3 25, 2013

Judge of the Superior Court

# KUHS & PARKER ATTORNEYS AT LAW P. O. BOX 2205 BAKERSFIELD, CALIFORNIA 93303 (661) 322-4004 • FAX (661) 322-2906

# SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - CENTRAL DISTRICT

ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES

Included Actions:

Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 325201;

Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of California, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348; and

Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist., Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, Case No. RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668.

Judicial Council Coordination No. 4408

Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 Assigned to Hon. Jack Komar

# FIRST AMENDED PROTECTIVE ORDER

[CRC Rules 2.550; 2.551]

Phase 4 Trial Date: May 28, 2013

# EXHIBIT A

i, the undersigned,	, hereby acknowledge that I have read the
attached First Amended Protective	Order in the these consolidated and coordinated proceedings
generally referred to as ANTELOF	PE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES, Judicial Council
Coordinated Proceeding No. 4408 ar	nd I understand and agree to be bound by the terms thereof.
I further agree to submit to	the jurisdiction of the Superior Court for the County of Los
Angeles - Central District for resolution	tion of any dispute(s) concerning information received under
the Order.	
Dated:, 2013	Signature:
	Retained By:
F1919.39 - Granite v Amelope Valley Groundwater.ABC Williams Ext/Stipulation for I	Protective Order and Order Thereon, does
	8

1 NOSSAMAN, GUTHNER, KNOX & ELLIOTT, LLP FRED A. FUDACZ (SBN 050546) HENRY S. WEINSTOCK (SBN 089765) 445 S. Figueroa Street, 31st Floor 3 Los Angeles, California 90071-1602 Telephone: (213) 612-7800 4 Facsimile: (213) 612-7801 5 Attorneys for Defendant and Cross-Complainant Tejon Ranchcorp 6 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA ġ FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 10 ANTELOPE VALLEY Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. **GROUNDWATER CASES** 4408 Included Actions: Assigned to The Honorable Jack Komar Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 12 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of PROTECTIVE ORDER REDISCLOSURE California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC OF PRIVATE INFORMATION OTHER 13 325 201; Los Angeles County Waterworks THAN WELL REPORTS District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior) 14 Court of California, County of Kern, Case No. S-Hearing Date: December 15, 2006 1500-CV-254-348; Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. Time: 9:00 a.m. v. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. Department: 1 City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist., Superior Court of 17 California, County of Riverside, Case Nos. RIC 353840, RIC 344436, RIC 344668 18 19 RECITALS 20 In order to fairly conduct trials, discovery, and settlement negotiations in this groundwater adjudication, it is necessary for the parties to disclose and exchange many types of private 21 22 and confidential information, including without limitation: well level data, pumping records, land use 23 information, groundwater chemistry data, etc. The above information is necessary to resolve 24 hydrological, geological, and other issues central to these cases. 25 B. Some requested documents and information may be confidential, private, a trade 26 secret, or subject to other objections and limitations on disclosure. 27 C. Such information must be disclosed to advance the litigation and sculement 28 negotiations, but its use and disclosure should be limited as set forth herein. PROTECTIVE ORDER RE DISCLOSURE OF PRIVATE INFORMATION OTHER THAN WELL REPORTS

Exhibit B\_\_\_\_

10

12 13

15

16

14

17

18 19

21

20

22 23

24 25

cases.

26

27 28 NOW, THEREFORE, the Court Orders as follows:

- i. All documents and data that are relevant to proving the hydrology, geology, water use, and water quality of the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin shall be discoverable in these consolidated cases, notwithstanding any objections based on privacy, confidentiality, or other similar limitations.
- 2. Any party may mark any documents or data that it produces as "CONFIDENTIAL" on the front page of each such document.
  - 3. Any documents or data marked "CONFIDENTIAL" shall be treated as follows:
- (a) the documents or data may be used only for purposes of this litigation;
- (b) they may not be disclosed to anyone who is not a party to this litigation, an expert or a consultant retained by a party to this litigation, an attorney for a party, or an employee of one of the above, notwithstanding a request under a Freedom of Information law.
- 4. Upon motion of any party, the Court will determine whether documents or data marked "CONFIDENTIAL" should be deemed confidential and restricted in the manner set forth above.
- 5. In accordance with the "Protective Order Re Confidentiality Of Settlement Discussions" dated March 24, 2006, the parties and their experts/consultants may freely discuss the confidential documents and data described above in their settlement discussions and communications; and such discussions and communications shall continue to be non-discoverable, inadmissible, and subject to all protections and privileges accorded settlement discussions by California law.
- 6. This Order has no effect on the discoverability of any document withheld based on the attorney-client privilege or work-product protection; and it shall not preclude objections based on the form of any document request, unreasonable burden, or other objections unrelated to privacy, trade secrets, and confidentiality.
  - 7. This Protective Order shall be binding on all current and future parties to these

Date: February \_\_\_\_\_\_ 2007

The Honorable Jack Komer Judge of the Superior Court