EXHIBIT A

BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP **EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES** 1 **UNDER GOVERNMENT CODE** ERIC L. GARNER, Bar No. 130665 2 **SECTION 6103** JEFFREY V. DUNN, Bar No. 131926 STEFANIE HEDLUND MORRIS, Bar No. 239787 3 18101 VON KARMAN AVENUE, SUITE 1000 **IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92612** 4 TELEPHONE: (949) 263-2600 TELECOPIER: (949) 260-0972 5 Attorneys for Cross-Complainant LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS 6 DISTRICT NO. 40 SEP 09 2013 7 OFFICE OF COUNTY COUNSEL COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES John A. Glajke Executive Officer/ Clerk 8 JOHN F. KRATTLI, Bar No. 82149 **COUNTY COUNSEL** 9 WARREN WELLEN, Bar No. 139152 PRINCIPAL DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL 10 **500 WEST TEMPLE STREET** LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012 11 TELEPHONE: (213) 974-8407 TELECOPIER: (213) 687-7337 12 Attorneys for Cross-Complainant LOS ANGELES 13 COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 14 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - CENTRAL DISTRICT 15 16 ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408 CASES 17 CLASS ACTION Included Actions: 18 Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court 19 Assigned to the Honorable Jack Komar of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 325201; 20 PECESED] ORDER GRANTING LOS ANGÉLES COUNTY WATERWORKS Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 21 DISTRICT NO. 40'S PETITION TO ADD-40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court ON CASE of California, County of Kern, Case No. S-22 1500-CV-254-348; 23 Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. City of 24 Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist., Superior Court of California, 25 County of Riverside, Case Nos. RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668 26 RICHARD WOOD, on behalf of himself and 27 all other similarly situated v. V. Materials, Inc., et al. Case No. BC509546 28 26345.00000\8210118.1

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40'S PETITION TO ADD-ON CASE

This matter having come before the court for hearing on July 29, 2013 on Cross-Complainant Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40's Petition to Add-On Case; and the Court having considered and reviewed the Petition, the responsive papers filed by other parties, and having considered the file in this matter and the arguments presented at the hearing on the motion, and good cause appearing thereon;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the following case be an "add-on" case to the Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases, Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408: Richard Wood, on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated v. A.V. Materials, Inc., et al., Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BC509546.

Dated: 9 - 6 - 2013

HON. JACK KOMAR
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

26345.00000\82101181

EXHIBIT B

	/ !				
1	WAYNE K. LEMIEUX (SBN 43501)				
2	W. KEITH LEMIEUX (SBN 161850) LEMIEUX & O'NEILL				
3	4165 E. Thousand Oaks Blvd., Suite 350 Westlake Village, CA 91362				
4	Telephone: (805) 495-4770 Facsimile: (805) 495-2787				
5	, ,				
6	Attorneys for Defendants and/or Cross-Complainant LITTLEROCK CREEK IRRIGATION DISTRICT,	PALM RANCH IRRIGATION DISTRICT,			
7	NORTH EDWARDS WATER DISTRICT, DESER LLANO DEL RIO WATER CO., LLANO MUTUA				
8	CO.				
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF TE	IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
10	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LO	S ANGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT			
11					
12	Coordinated Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550(b))	Judicial Council Coordination No. 4408			
13	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER	Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 Assigned to the Honorable Jack Komar – Dept. 12			
14	CASES	·			
15	Included Actions:	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] AMENDED ORDER RE: MOTION FOR AN ORDER AUTHORIZING COURT-			
16	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40	APPOINTED EXPERT WORK			
17	v. Diamond Farming Co. Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BC 325201;				
18	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40				
19	v. Diamond Farming Co., Kern County Superior Court, Case No. S-1500-CV-234348;				
20	Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster				
21	Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster v. Palmdale Water District, Riverside County				
22	Superior Court, Consolidated Actions, Case Nos.				
23	RIC 353840, RIC 344436, RIC 344668				
24	AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS				
25		,			
26					
27	4th Amendedorder Crtapptexpert.Docx 1	OUR ORDER DE MOTTON TON AN ARRES			
28		DED ORDER RE: MOTION FOR AN ORDER PPOINTED EXPERT WORK			

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

Rosamond Community Services District, Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40, Littlerock Creek Irrigation District, Palm Ranch Irrigation District, North Edwards Water District, Desert Lake Community Services District, California Water Service Company, Quartz Hill Water District, the Palmdale Water District, and Phelan Pinon Hills Community Services District (collectively "Stipulating Parties") stipulate to amend the December 11, 2012, the court entered the Order Re: Motion for an Order Authorizing Court Appointed Expert Work and therefore submit this stipulation and proposed order. Stipulating parties stipulate to amend the court's above-referenced order to reallocate the court-appointed expert costs by and among the Stipulating Parties as follows:

Los Angeles County Waterworks District 40: 53.61%

Palmdale Water District: 25.52%

Littlerock Creek Irrigation District: 1.15%

Phelan Pinon Hills CSD: 6.56%

Ouartz Hill Water District: 5.40%

Rosamond Community Service District: 4.34%

Palm Ranch Irrigation District: 1.64%

Desert Lake Community Services Dist.: 0.23%

California Water Service Company: 1.33%

North Edwards Water District: 0.22%

The Stipulating Parties submit the attached [Proposed] Amended Order Re: Motion for an Order

Authorizing Court-appointed Expert Work for Court approval.

So CR DECRED

4th Amendedorder Crtapptexpert.Dock

Afforma (JUDGE OF JUDENIER BOUND) (RET)

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

	DATED: August <u>3</u> , 2013	LEMIEUX & O'NEILL
		Ву:
	en e	W. Keith Lemieux
١		Christine Carson Attorneys for Littlerock Creek Irrigation District, Palm Ranch
		Irrigation District, North Edwards Water District And Desert Community Services District
	DATED: August 23 2013	LAGERLOF, SENECAL, GOSNEY & KRUSE
١		
		By: Thomas of Eum Til.
		Thomas S. Bunn III
I		Attorneys for Palmdale Water District
l	DATED: August 2012	DECE DECT & KOTEGED
l	DATED: August, 2013	BEST BEST & KRIEGER
l		international design of the control
		Ву:
		Jeffrey V. Dunn
ı		Eric L. Garner Stefanie D. Hedlund
		Attorneys for Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 4
ł	•	
ľ		
	DATED: August 2013	MURPHY & EVERTZ
	DATED: August, 2013	MURPHY & EVERTZ
	DATED: August, 2013	
	DATED: August, 2013	By: Douglas J. Evertz
	DATED: August, 2013	By:
		By: Douglas J. Evertz Attorneys for City of Lancaster and Rosamond Community Services District
	DATED: August, 2013 DATED: August, 2013	By: Douglas J. Evertz Attorneys for City of Lancaster and Rosamond Community
		By: Douglas J. Evertz Attorneys for City of Lancaster and Rosamond Community Services District
		By: Douglas J. Evertz Attorneys for City of Lancaster and Rosamond Community Services District ALESHIRE & WYNDER By:
		By: Douglas J. Evertz Attorneys for City of Lancaster and Rosamond Community Services District ALESFIRE & WYNDER By: Wesley Miliband
		By: Douglas J. Evertz Attorneys for City of Lancaster and Rosamond Community Services District ALESFIRE & WYNDER By: Wesley Miliband
		By: Douglas J. Evertz Attorneys for City of Lancaster and Rosamond Community Services District ALESFIRE & WYNDER By: Wesley Miliband
		By: Douglas J. Evertz Attorneys for City of Lancaster and Rosamond Community Services District ALESFIRE & WYNDER By: Wesley Miliband
		By: Douglas J. Evertz Attorneys for City of Lancaster and Rosamond Community Services District ALESFIRE & WYNDER By: Wesley Miliband
		By: Douglas J. Evertz Attorneys for City of Lancaster and Rosamond Community Services District ALESFIRE & WYNDER By: Wesley Miliband
		By: Douglas J. Evertz Attorneys for City of Lancaster and Rosamond Community Services District ALESHIRE & WYNDER By:

1	DATED: August, 2013	LEMIEUX & O'NEILL
2		_
3		By: W. Keith Lemieux
4		Christine Carson Attorneys for Littlerock Creek Irrigation District, Palm Ranch
5	·	Irrigation District, North Edwards Water District And Desert Lake Community Services District
6	DATED: August, 2013	LAGERLOF, SENECAL, BRADLEY, GOSNEY & KRUSE
7		
8	·	By: Thomas Bunn, III
9		Attorneys for Palmdale Water District
10	DATED: August, 2013	BEST BEST & KRIEGER
11		Allen Denne 1
12		By: Jeffrey Dunn /ww. Jeffrey V. Hunn
13		Eric L. Garner
14		Stefanie D. Hedlund Attorneys for Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40
15	DATED: August, 2013	MURPHY & EVERTZ
16		
17		By: Douglas J. Evertz
18		Attorneys for City of Lancaster and Rosamond Community Services District
19	DATED: August, 2013	ALESHIRE & WYNDER
20		
21		Ву:
22		Wesley Miliband Attorneys for Phelan Pinon Hills Community Services District
23		
24		
25		
26		•
27	4th Amendedorder Crtapptexpert (2). Docx	3
28	STIPULATION AND [PROI AUTHORIZ	POSED] AMENDED ORDER RE: MOTION FOR AN ORDER LING COURT-APPOINTED EXPERT WORK

1	DATED: August, 2013	LEMIEUX & O'NEILL
2		_
3		By: W. Keith Lemicux
		Christine Carson
4 5		Attorneys for Littlerock Creek Irrigation District, Palm Ranch Irrigation District, North Edwards Water District And Desert Lake Community Services District
6	DATED: August, 2013	LAGERLOF, SENECAL, BRADLEY, GOSNEY & KRUSE
7		Lioundor, Blivecal, Bladeli, Gobiel & Riobl
ł		Bu
8		By: Thomas Bunn, III
9	<i>'</i>	Attorneys for Palmdale Water District
10	DATED: August, 2013	BEST BEST & KRIEGER
11		
12		Ву:
12		Jeffrey V. Dunn Eric L. Garner
13		Stefanie D. Hedlund
14		Attorneys for Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40
15	DATED: Augus 22 2013	MURPHY & EVERTZ
16		of landing the detta
17		By: Douglas J. Rivertz
18		Attorneys for City of Lancaster and Rosamond Community Services District
19		Services District
20	DATED: August, 2013	ALESHIRE & WYNDER
21		Ву:
22		Wesley Miliband
23		Attorneys for Phelan Pinon Hills Community Services District
24		
25 25		
- 1		
26	4th Amendedorder Otapptexpert.Doox	3
27	STIPULATION AND IPROP	OSED] AMENDED ORDER RE: MOTION FOR AN ORDER
28	AUTHORIZ	ING COURT-APPOINTED EXPERT WORK

1	DATED: August, 2013	LEMIEUX & O'NEILL
2		
3		By: W. Keith Lemieux
		Christine Carson
5		Attorneys for Littlerock Creek Irrigation District, Palm Ranch Irrigation District, North Edwards Water District And Desert Lake Community Services District
6	DATED: August, 2013	LAGERLOF, SENECAL, BRADLEY, GOSNEY & KRUSE
7		
8		By: Thomas Bunn, III
9		Attorneys for Palmdale Water District
10	DATED: August, 2013	BEST BEST & KRIEGER
11		
12		Ву:
13		Jeffrey V. Dunn Eric L. Garner
1		Stefanie D. Hedlund Attorneys for Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40
14		Attorneys for Los Angeles County Waterworks District 140. 40
15	DATED: August, 2013	MURPHY & EVERTZ
16		
17		By: Douglas J. Evertz
18		Attorneys for City of Lancaster and Rosamond Community Services District
19	DATED: Assess 20 2012	ALECHIDE & WANDED
20	DATED: August 28, 2013	ALESHIRE & WYNDER
21		By: /s/ Wesley Miliband
22		Wesley Miliband Attorneys for Phelan Pinon Hills Community Services District
23		Attorneys for Fricial Finon Aids Community Services District
24		
25 26		
	4th.Amendedorder.Crtapptexpert.Docx	3
27 28		POSED] AMENDED ORDER RE: MOTION FOR AN ORDER ZING COURT-APPOINTED EXPERT WORK

1	August <u>24</u> , 2013	CHARLTON WEEKS
2		
3		BRADLEY T. WEEKS
		Attorneys for Cross-Defendant
4		Quartz Hill Water District
5		
6	August, 2013	CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE COMPANY
7		
8		By JOHN TOOTLE
9		Attorneys for Cross-Defendant CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE COMPANY
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26	Ath Amandadadada Chinahan	4
27	4th Amendedorder Crtapptexpert	
28	SITULATION	AND [PROPOSED] AMENDED ORDER RE: MOTION FOR AN ORDER AUTHORIZING COURT-APPOINTED EXPERT WORK

1	August, 2013	CHARLTON WEEKS
2		Ву
3		BRADLEY T. WEEKS Attorneys for Cross-Defendant
4		Quartz Hill Water District
5		
6	August, 2013	CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE COMPANY
7		D 7-41 -
8		By John Joolle JOHN JOOTLE
9		Attorneys for Cross-Defendant CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE COMPANY
10		•
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20 21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		·
27	4th Amendedorder Ortapptexpert	4
28	STIPULATION AND [PROI AUTHORIZ	POSED] AMENDED ORDER RE: MOTION FOR AN ORDER LING COURT-APPOINTED EXPERT WORK

EXHIBIT C

ROBERT G. KUHS BERNARD C. BARMANN, JR. KERI L. BLAND

OF COUNSEL

JAMES R. PARKER, JR. MICHAEL S. ABRIL

Kuhs & Parker

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
P. O. BOX 2205
BAKERSFIELD, CALIFORNIA 93303
(661) 322-4004

September 3, 2013

1200 TRUXTUN AVENUE, SUITE 200 BAKERSFIELD, CALIFORNIA 93301

TELECOPIER NO. (661) 322-2906

OUR FILE NO.

1291.01

VIA POSTING TO THE COURT'S WEBSITE

Re:

Antelope Valley Groundwater Adjudication Judicial Council Coordination No. 4408

Phase 5 Discovery Order

To All Parties and Their Counsel of Record:

During the July 29, 2013 Case Management Conference, Judge Komar directed counsel for Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 (WD 40) to prepare and circulate to the landowners proposed questions relating to the purveyor's time frame for prescription, amount of claimed pumping, return flows and other pumping rights in the basin. WD 40 failed to do so. Accordingly, Tejon Ranch, Granite Construction Co., Diamond Farming Company, Crystal Organic Farms, Grimmway Enterprises, Inc. and Lapis Land Company are posting their proposed Discovery Order for Phase 5 Trial.

The landowners regret that, due to the press of time, they are unable to circulate this draft in advance of posting.

Very truly yours,

Robert G. Kuhs

RGK/lel

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 В 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - CENTRAL DISTRICT

ANTELOPE	VALLEY	GROUNDWATER
CASES		

Included Actions:

Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 325201;

Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of California, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348;

Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist., Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, Case No. RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668 Judicial Council Coordination No. 4408

Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 Assigned to Hon. Jack Komar

[Proposed] DISCOVERY ORDER FOR PHASE 5 TRIAL

Hearing Date(s): Time: Location:

Judge: Honorable Jack Komar

All parties claiming prescriptive rights in the Antelope Valley Adjudication Area (AVAA) are hereby ordered to provide the following information and materials on or before

I. **DEFINITIONS**

- A. "DOCUMENT" has the same meaning as the word "writing" as defined in Evidence Code section 250.
- B. "PERSON" means "person as defined in Evidence Code section 175.

September ___, 2013, by posting the same to the Court's website (www.scefiling.org).

C. "YOU" or "YOUR" means the responding party, its representatives, directors, officers, agents and employees or any other PERSON acting on its behalf.

1 2		D.	"AVAA" means the Antelope Valley Area of Adjudication as defined by court ordered dated March 12, 2007.
3 4		E.	'BOUNDARY" means jurisdictional boundary in the case of a public agency, and service area in the case of a non-public or quasi-public agency.
5	II.	GENE	CRAL
6		A.	State the total number of acres of real property currently within YOUR BOUNDARY.
8 9		B.	State the total number of acres of real property currently within YOUR BOUNDARY that are within the AVAA.
ιο		C.	State the total number of legal parcels currently within YOUR BOUNDARY.
11		D.	State the number of active groundwater wells currently within YOUR BOUNDARY within the AVAA.
13		E.	Produce the most current map or maps of YOUR BOUNDARY on file with the Local Agency Formation Commission.
L4 L5 L6		F.	State the total quantity of water that YOU contend has been pumped from within YOUR BOUNDARY and within the AVAA for each year commencing with 1946.
L7 L8			1. Identify all DOCUMENTS that support YOUR response to the preceding interrogatory.
19			2. Produce all DOCUMENTS that YOU identified in response to the preceding interrogatory.
20		G.	Identify, by name, address, telephone number and Assessor Parcel Number (APN) each PERSON that has operated a well within YOUR BOUNDARY since 1946.
22		H.	Identify by name, address, telephone number and Assessor Parcel Number (APN) each PERSON operating a well within YOUR BOUNDARY that has not filed an appearance as a cross-defendant to YOUR cross-complaint.
24			•
25 26			 For each PERSON identified in the preceding interrogatory, state the year that the well began production, and the quantity of water produced from each well each year during YOUR claimed prescriptive period.
27 28			2. Identify all DOCUMENTS that mention, discuss or refer to each well within YOUR BOUNDARY.

	ľ		
1			3. Produce all DOCUMENTS that YOU identified in response to the preceding interrogatory.
3	<u>.</u>	I.	State what YOU contend to be the safe yield of the AVAA for each year YOU claim the right to pump and use water from the AVAA.
4 5		J.	State the total quantity of water that YOU contend has been pumped from the AVAA in exercise of overlying rights for each year since 1946.
6	 III.	PRES	CRIPTION
7		A	State the data that VOVI first happy numbing aroundumter within the AVAA
8	{	A.	State the date that YOU first began pumping groundwater within the AVAA.
9		B.	State the quantity of water that YOU contend YOU pumped from within the AVAA for each year since YOU began pumping.
10			1. Identify all DOCUMENTS that evidence the quantity of water that YOU
11			contend YOU pumped from within the AVAA for each year since YOU began pumping.
12			
13			Produce all DOCUMENTS identified in response to the preceding interrogatory.
14) 15		C.	Do YOU contend that YOU have joined as a cross-defendant each party that YOU contend YOU have prescribed against?
16 17		D.	Do YOU contend that YOU have joined as a cross-defendant each party that currently owns real property in the AVAA?
18]	E.	Identify each party that YOU contend that YOU have prescribed against.
19		F.	State the quantity of water that YOU contend YOU have prescribed against each
20	! !		party.
21		G.	State the date that YOU contend overdraft first commenced in the AVAA.
22			1. Identify all DOCUMENTS that support YOUR contention as to the date
23			overdraft first commenced in the AVAA.
24			2. Produce all DOCUMENTS identified in response to the preceding
25	}		interrogatory.
26		H.	State each year that YOU contend overdraft occurred in the AVAA since
27	[overdraft first commenced.
28			 For each year that YOU contend overdraft occurred in the AVAA, identify all DOCUMENTS that support YOUR contention.
Į			2

- 1	,	
1		year and state the quantity of surplus water that YOU contend YOU pumped.
2	_	
3 4	2.	If YOU contend that YOU pumped surplus water from the AVAA, then for each year that YOU contend YOU pumped surplus water, identify all DOCUMENTS that support such contention.
	}	
5	3.	Produce all DOCUMENTS identified in response to the preceding interrogatory.
7		YOU contend that YOU pumped groundwater from the AVAA in any year t was not surplus water?
8	_	
9	1.	If YOU contend that YOU pumped groundwater from the AVAA in any year that was not surplus water, then for each such year identify the quantify of non-surplus groundwater that YOU pumped.
ļ		
l1 l2	2.	If YOU contend that YOU pumped groundwater from the AVAA in any year that was not surplus water, then for each such year identify all DOCUMENTS supporting your contention.
		DOCOMENTS supporting your contention.
l3 l4	3.	Produce all DOCUMENTS identified in response to the preceding interrogatory.
اء		Providence di AMONI de l'AMONI de la
L5 L6	4.	For each year that YOU contend YOU pumped groundwater from the AVAA that was not surplus groundwater, state what YOU contend was the safe yield of the AVAA for that year.
17		
18	5.	For each year that YOU contend YOU pumped groundwater from the AVAA that was not surplus groundwater, identify each PERSON that
9		YOU contend pumped groundwater from the AVAA, and the quantity of water that YOU contend that PERSON pumped.
20	IV. NON-PRI	ESCRIPTIVE RIGHTS
21	A. Do	YOU contend that YOU have an overlying right to pump groundwater from
22		AVAA?
23	_	
24	1.	If YOU contend that YOU have an overlying right to pump groundwater from the AVAA, state the quantity of water that YOU contend YOU have
25		a right to pump annually based on YOUR overlying right.
26	2.	If YOU contend that YOU have an overlying right to pump groundwater
27		from the AVAA, state the quantity of water that YOU contend YOU have pumped annually in exercise of YOUR claimed overlying right.
8 8		LL
.		
- 1		

1	{		
		13.	Produce all DOCUMENTS identified in response to the preceding
1 2]	13.	interrogatory.
3	<u> </u> 	14.	Produce a map or maps showing the location and designation of each well YOU have operated in the AVAA.
4	ļ	15.	For each well YOU have operated, state the amount of water produced for
5 {	{	15.	each year of operation.
6	DATED:		, 2013
7 (
8 9			Hon, Jack Komar Judge of the Superior Court
10	{		
11			
12			
13			
14	{		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19)		
20 21			
22			
23			
24	-		
25			
26			
27			•
28			
}	}		11
			DISCOVERY ORDER FOR PHASE S TRIAL