

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

**ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER  
CASES**

Included Consolidated Actions:

Los Angeles County Waterworks District No.  
40 v. Diamond Farming Co.  
Superior Court of California  
County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 325 201

Los Angeles County Waterworks District No.  
40 v. Diamond Farming Co.  
Superior Court of California, County of Kern,  
Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348

Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster  
Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster  
Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist.  
Superior Court of California, County of  
Riverside, consolidated actions, Case Nos.  
RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668

Rebecca Lee Willis v. Los Angeles County  
Waterworks District No. 40  
Superior Court of California, County of Los  
Angeles, Case No. BC 364 553

Richard A. Wood v. Los Angeles County  
Waterworks District No. 40  
Superior Court of California, County of Los  
Angeles, Case No. BC 391 869

Judicial Council Coordination  
Proceeding No. 4408

Lead Case No. BC 325 201

 **[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING  
ZAMRZLA MOTION**

Judge: Honorable Jack Komar, Ret.

**This Document Pertains to Add-On Case:**

Little Rock Sand and Gravel, Inc., a California corporation v. Granite Construction Company  
Superior Court of California  
County of Los Angeles, Case No. MC026932

The Motion by the Antelope Valley Watermaster against the Zamrzla parties, seeking the cost of replacement water assessments, was heard telephonically on March 4, 2022 at 9:00 a.m. Craig Parton, Esquire, appeared for the Watermaster and Robert Brumfield, Esquire., appeared for the Zamrzla parties, Respondents in the motion. Other parties appearing are shown in the court's minutes of the hearing.

The Zamrzla parties are alleged by the watermaster to be members of the small pumper class by the watermaster. The Zamrzla parties contend that the watermaster or the judgment is in error and that they are not members of the small pumper class and assert that their entitlement to a water allocation is considerably more than those of the small pumper class, thereby reducing the amount of any replacement water assessment.

The motion by agreement was continued several times since its filing to permit the parties to address the Zamrslas' status in the judgment and in attempt to come to a settlement of the issue by stipulating to a process to resolve the issues. To date, the parties have not so stipulated. The Watermaster Motion is therefore continued for further hearing to May 3, 2022 at 9:00 a.m. The motion is tentatively set for telephonic hearing but if evidence is presented, it may be heard as a virtual or in person hearing, a venue to be designated. Issues to be heard include the following:


1. Status of the Zamrzla parties in the judgment as small pumper class members;
2. A process to determine the amount of water right allocation to which the Zamrzla parties may be entitled;

Counsel are urged to meet and confer and come to agreement on a stipulation setting forth a process, including motions necessary to resolve the above. Counsel should confer to the extent possible with the Public Water Producers and Land Owner parties who have appeared and

participated in the hearings on the motion to date as well as any others with an interest in the matter.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 3-7-2022

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Jack Komar (Ret.)  
Judge of the Superior Court