2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ANTELOPE VALLEY

MICHAEL T. FIFE (State Bar No. 203025) BRADLEY J. HERREMA (State Bar No. 228976) BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP 21 East Carrillo Street Santa Barbara, California 93101 Telephone No: (805) 963-7000 Facsimile No: (805) 965-4333

Attorneys for: B.J. Calandri, John Calandri, John Calandri as Trustee of the John and B.J. Calandri 2001 Trust, Forrest G. Godde, Forrest G. Godde as Trustee of the Forrest G. Godde Trust, Lawrence A. Godde, Lawrence A. Godde and Godde Trust, Kootenai Properties, Inc., Gailen Kyle, Gailen Kyle as Trustee of the Kyle Trust, James W. Kyle, James W. Kyle as Trustee of the Kyle Family Trust, Julia Kyle, Wanda E. Kyle, Eugene B. Nebeker, R and M Ranch, Inc., Edgar C. Ritter Paula E. Ritter, Paula E. Ritter as Trustee of the Ritter Family Trust, Trust, Hines Family Trust, Malloy Family Partners, Consolidated Rock Products, Calmat Land Company, Marygrace H. Santoro as Trustee for the Marygrace H. Santoro Rev Trust, Marygrace H. Santoro, Helen Stathatos, Savas Stathatos, Savas Stathatos as Trustee for the Stathatos Family Trust, Dennis L. & Marjorie E. Groven Trust, Scott S. & Kay B. Harter, Habod Javadi, Eugene V., Beverly A., & Paul S. Kindig, Paul S. & Sharon R. Kindig, Jose Maritorena Living Trust, Richard H. Miner, Jeffrey L. & Nancee J. Siebert, Barry S. Munz, Terry A. Munz and Kathleen M. Munz, Beverly Tobias, Leo L. Simi, White Fence Farms Mutual Water Co. No. 3., William R. Barnes & Eldora M. Barnes Family Trust of 1989, Healy Enterprises, Inc., John and Adrienne Reca, Sahara Nursery, Sal and Connie L. Cardile, Gene T. Bahlman, collectively known as the Antelope Valley Ground Water Agreement Association ("AGWA")

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

GROUNDWATER CASES Included Actions: Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co. Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 325 201 Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co. Superior Court of California, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist. Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, consolidated actions, Case No. RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668

Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408

Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 Assigned to The Honorable Jack Komar

DECLARATION OF MICHAEL T. FIFE IN SUPPORT OF EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR RELIEF FROM EXPERT DISCLOSURE DEADLINE

Date: June 11, 2008 8:15 a.m. Time:

Department: 17

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I, Michael T. Fife, declare as follows:

- I am an attorney licensed to practice law before the courts of the State of California. I 1. am employed by the law firm of Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP, counsel of record for the Antelope Valley Groundwater Agreement Association (AGWA) in the above-entitled matter. I have personal knowledge of the following, and, if called as a witness, I would and could testify competently to the following:
- At present, AGWA is the only group of local landowners actively participating in this 2. litigation.
- 3. On behalf of AGWA, I actively participated in the Court's process certifying the Non-Pumpers Class. AGWA will similarly participate in the court's proceedings for the certification of a Small Pumpers Class, as the landowners that will make up this proposed class are similar to and will be aligned with the members of AGWA.
- 4. As in all groundwater basin adjudications, I expect that the private landowners in this litigation will band together to counter the purveyors' claims of prescription.
- 5. The Court's May 23 Amended Order After Case Management Conference sets a hearing for the certification of the Small Pumpers Class for August 11, 2008 and a Phase 2 trial date of October 6, 2008. I do not believe there will be time, in the less than two months between these two dates, to provide notice to the members of Small Pumpers Class and to have named and served those private landowners that fall outside the Class definition.
- 6. The private landowners in this litigation are prejudiced by the Court's setting of an October Phase 2 trial date less than two months after the date for the certification of a Small Pumpers Class, as it will preclude a significant number of additional private landowners, whose interests are aligned with AGWA, from meaningfully participating – if they are able to participate at all – in the Phase 2 trial, the most crucial phase of trial in this litigation. The private landowners in this case will be irreparably harmed should this occur.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 7. AGWA has waited to retain an expert consultant for analysis of the matters at issue in the Phase 2 trial, as it did not want to expend its limited resources if such hiring were to prove unnecessary. The purveyors ceased service of aligned private landowners, it was unclear whether a Small Pumpers Class would be formed and whether any additional private landowners would be individually named and served, and counsel for the prospective Small Pumpers Class has indicated that he will petition the court to appoint an expert consultant for the benefit of the class.
- 8. At its core, this case is about prescriptive rights allegations by the purveyors against the landowners. Until very recently, the Court's discovery stay precluded AGWA from investigation of the purveyor's claims as to overdraft, other than speculation through the retention of its own expert consultant. AGWA could not reasonably expend the money necessary for such an analysis and then later find out, as a result of discovery as to the purveyors' position, that it had been unnecessary.
- 9. While it was reasonable for AGWA to delay in retaining an expert pending the resolution of the issues of class certification and party service and the lifting of the Court's discovery stay, the Court's setting of Phase 2 trial for October necessitates the retaining and disclosure or an expert witness in such a short time so as to harm AGWA.
- 10. AGWA and many of the other parties have participated in settlement negotiations. AGWA believes that the settlement process has been positive and productive, and it looked forward to continued participation in that process. However, the Court's designation of an October Phase 2 trial date causes the parties advantaged by the short time until trial to prefer trial over continued settlement negotiations. Additionally, the time between now and trial is so short that it is not possible that the parties could reach settlement in that time, leaving the parties with no choice put to prepare for trial.
- 11. Based on the short time between now and an October Phase 2 trial date, AGWA believes that the settlement process is now at risk, and that the scheduling of the Phase 2 trial in October has accordingly caused harm to all parties in the case.

I declare under a penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the forgoing is true and correct, and that this declaration is executed this 6th day of June, 2008 at Santa Barbara, California.

Dated: June 6, 2008

MICHAEL T. FIFE

21 East Carrillo Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, **COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA**

I am employed in the County of Santa Barbara, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is: 21 E. Carrillo Street, Santa Barbara, California 93101.

On June 6, 2008, I served the foregoing document described as:

DECLARATION OF MICHAEL T. FIFE IN SUPPORT OF EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR RELIEF FROM DISCLOSURE DEADLINE

on the interested parties in this action.

By posting it on the website at <u>3.00</u> (a.m. on June 6, 2008. This posting was reported as complete and without error.

(STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed in Santa Barbara, California, on June 6, 2008.