5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ANTELOPE VALLEY

GROUNDWATER CASES

1 MICHAEL T. FIFE (State Bar No. 203025) BRADLEY J. HERREMA (State Bar No. 228976) 2 BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP 21 East Carrillo Street 3 Santa Barbara, California 93101 Telephone No: (805) 963-7000 4 Facsimile No: (805) 965-4333

Attorneys for: B.J. Calandri, John Calandri, John Calandri as Trustee of the John and B.J. Calandri 2001 Trust, Forrest G. Godde, Forrest G. Godde as Trustee of the Forrest G. Godde Trust, Lawrence A. Godde, Lawrence A. Godde and Godde Trust, Kootenai Properties, Inc., Gailen Kyle, Gailen Kyle as Trustee of the Kyle Trust, James W. Kyle, James W. Kyle as Trustee of the Kyle Family Trust, Julia Kyle, Wanda E. Kyle, Eugene B. Nebeker, R and M Ranch, Inc., Edgar C. Ritter Paula E. Ritter, Paula E. Ritter as Trustee of the Ritter Family Trust, Trust, Hines Family Trust, Malloy Family Partners, Consolidated Rock Products, Calmat Land Company, Marygrace H. Santoro as Trustee for the Marygrace H. Santoro Rev Trust, Marygrace H. Santoro, Helen Stathatos, Savas Stathatos, Savas Stathatos as Trustee for the Stathatos Family Trust, Dennis L. & Marjorie E. Groven Trust, Scott S. & Kay B. Harter, Habod Javadi, Eugene V., Beverly A., & Paul S. Kindig, Paul S. & Sharon R. Kindig, Jose Maritorena Living Trust, Richard H. Miner, Jeffrey L. & Nancee J. Siebert, Barry S. Munz, Terry A. Munz and Kathleen M. Munz, Beverly Tobias, Leo L. Simi, White Fence Farms Mutual Water Co. No. 3., William R. Barnes & Eldora M. Barnes Family Trust of 1989, Del Sur Ranch, LLC, Healy Enterprises, Inc., John and Adrienne Reca, Sahara Nursery, Sal and Connie L. Cardile, Gene T. Bahlman, collectively known as the Antelope Valley Ground Water Agreement Association ("AGWA")

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

Included Actions:
Los Angeles County Waterworks District No.
40 v. Diamond Farming Co. Superior Court of
California County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC
325 201 Los Angeles County Waterworks
District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co.
Superior Court of California, County of Kern,
Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348Wm. Bolthouse
Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster Diamond
Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster Diamond
Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist. Superior
Court of California, County of Riverside,
consolidated actions, Case No. RIC 353 840,

Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408

Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 Assigned to The Honorable Jack Komar

AGWA'S JOINDER IN DIAMOND FARMING COMPANY'S OBJECTION TO HEARING ON MOTION TO TRANSFER AND TO CONSOLIDATE; LIMITED JOINDER IN FEDERAL DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE; RESPONSE TO MOTION TO **CONSOLIDATE**

Date: August 17, 2009 Time: 10:00 AM

Department: Santa Clara Superior Court,

Dept. 17C

AGWA'S JOINDER

RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The Antelope Valley Groundwater Agreement Association ("AGWA") hereby joins in Diamond Farming Company's Objection to Hearing on Motion to Transfer and to Consolidate for All Purposes.

AGWA also joins in the United States' Federal Defendants' Response to Motion to Transfer and Consolidate to the limited extent that the United States takes the position that ". . . the present coordination of complex cases may lead to separate and non-mutually binding determination of rights and interests . . . " (US Response 1:12-14.)

AGWA notes the obvious point that to remedy this situation, either through consolidation or otherwise, will lead to a comprehensive adjudication of all rights and interests, as between the parties. In particular, it will lead to an adjudication where the correlative rights and interests of the classes must be adjudicated as to all the other landowners in the case. In AGWA's view, this calls into question the efficacy of the separate settlement process currently getting underway between the classes and the purveyors.

Even if that process were to result in an agreement between the classes and the purveyors, the classes will still be required to fully participate in the case because their correlative rights and interests will still need to be adjudicated as to all the other landowners in the case. That is, even if the purveyors should purport to desist from formally claiming prescriptive rights as to the classes, the rights and interests of the classes will still be correlative with all other landowners and will thus be affected by any successful assertion of prescriptive rights by the purveyors against the landowners as if prescription was asserted against the classes.

In such a situation, it is difficult to see how any separate settlement will have any meaningful impact.

BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP Dated: August 4, 2009

BRADLEY J. HERREMA

ATTORNEYS FOR AGWA

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

I am employed in the County of Santa Barbara, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is: 21 E. Carrillo Street, Santa Barbara, California 93101.

On August 4, 2009, I served the foregoing document described as:

AGWA'S JOINDER IN DIAMOND FARMING COMPANY'S OBJECTION TO HEARING ON MOTION TO TRANSFER AND TO CONSOLIDATE FOR ALL PURPOSES

on the interested parties in this action.

By posting it on the website at 4:00 p.m. on August 4, 2009. This posting was reported as complete and without error.

(STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed in Santa Barbara, California, on August 4, 2009.

MARIA KLACHKO-BLAIR
TYPE OR PRINT NAME

SIGNAPURE