15

16

 MICHAEL T. FIFE (State Bar No. 203025) BRADLEY J. HERREMA (State Bar No. 228976)
BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP 21 East Carrillo Street
Santa Barbara, California 93101 Telephone No: (805) 963-7000
Facsimile No: (805) 965-4333

5 Attorneys for: Gene T. Bahlman, William R. Barnes & Eldora M. Barnes Family Trust of 1989, Thomas M. and Julie Bookman, B.J. Calandri, John Calandri, John Calandri as Trustee of the John 6 and B.J. Calandri 2001 Trust, Calmat Land Company, Sal and Connie L. Cardile, Consolidated Rock Products, Del Sur Ranch LLC, Forrest G. Godde, Forrest G. Godde as Trustee of the Forrest G. 7 Godde Trust, Lawrence A. Godde, Lawrence A. Godde and Godde Trust, Gorrindo Family Trust, Dennis L. & Marjorie E. Groven Trust, Healy Enterprises, Inc., Hines Family Trust, Habod Javadi, 8 Juniper Hills Water Group, Eugene V., Beverly A., & Paul S. Kindig, Paul S. & Sharon R. Kindig, 9 Kootenai Properties, Inc., Gailen Kyle, Gailen Kyle as Trustee of the Kyle Trust, James W. Kyle, James W. Kyle as Trustee of the Kyle Family Trust, Julia Kyle, Wanda E. Kyle, Malloy Family 10 Partners, Maritorena Living Trust, Jose Richard H. Miner, Barry S. Munz, Terry A. Munz and Kathleen M. Munz, Eugene B. Nebeker, R and M Ranch, Inc., John and Adrienne Reca, Edgar C. 11 Ritter, Paula E. Ritter, Paula E. Ritter as Trustee of the Ritter Family Trust, Sahara Nursery, Marygrace H. Santoro as Trustee for the Marygrace H. Santoro Rev Trust, Marygrace H. Santoro, 12 Mabel Selak, Jeffrey L. & Nancee J. Siebert, Leo L. Simi, Helen Stathatos, Savas Stathatos, Savas 13 Stathatos as Trustee for the Stathatos Family Trust, Tierra Bonita Ranch Company, Beverly Tobias, collectively known as the Antelope Valley Ground Water Agreement Association ("AGWA") 14

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

1718ANTELOPE VALLEYGROUNDWATER CASES

19 Included Actions:

20	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co. Superior Court of
21	California County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC
~~	325 201 Los Angeles County Waterworks
22	District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co.
23	Superior Court of California, County of Kern,
	Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348Wm. Bolthouse
24	Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster Diamond
	Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster Diamond
25	Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist. Superior
	Court of California, County of Riverside,
26	consolidated actions, Case No. RIC 353 840,
27	RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668
	·

Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408

Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 Assigned to The Honorable Jack Komar

AGWA'S OPPOSITION TO UNITED STATES' MOTION TO AMEND EXPERT WITNESS DECLARATION

Date: December 15, 2010 Time: 9:00 am Dept.: 1

28

1	The Antelope Valley Groundwater Agreement Association ("AGWA") opposes the United
2	States' Motion to Amend Expert Witness Declaration ("Motion"), filed December 14, 2010 on the
3	same grounds as those contained in AGWA's Motion in Limine No. 4 to Exclude Extensometer
4	Data, filed December 14, 2010. The United States moves to file a second amendment to Dr. June
5	Oberdorfer's July 2010 declaration (filed July 15, 2010), which introduces evidence of land
6	subsidence following 1992. To allow the introduction of evidence related to such data when it has
7	only been disclosed at this late date constitutes unfair surprise, would be prejudicial to AGWA, and
8	it should therefore be excluded. The Motion of the United States must therefore be denied.
9	In the proposed second amendment to Dr. June Oberdorfer's July 2010 declaration, attached
10	to the Motion ("Second Amendment"), Dr. Oberdorfer indicates she will introduce a variety of
11	evidence on land subsidence and interpretation of extensometer data. Dr. Oberdorfer states,
12	"Subsequent studies[citations omitted] indicate that subsidence continued after 1992 over much of
13	the same area" (Second Amendment, at p. 2.) Dr. Oberdorfer then states:
14	
15	Data on land subsidence are available for much of the last decade for EAFB. Sneed <i>et al.</i> , (2005) showed subsidence
16	rates at the Base for 1990 – 2004 that I calculated to be about 15 mm per year. More recent extensioneter data
17	provided by the U.S. Geological Survey (Michelle Sneed, personal communication) show subsidence that I have
18	calculated to be about 11 mm/year at portions of EAFB for the period Sept. 2004 to Sept. 2009. (Second Amendment,
19	at p. 2.)
20	These statements contrast with statements made at Dr. Oberdorfer's November 4, 2010
21	deposition. At Dr. Oberdorfer's deposition on November 4, 2010, the following exchange took
22	place with Dr. Oberdorfer:
23	Q: Are you aware of any locations that currently are
24	experiencing subsidence?
25	A: I don't think I've seen any data since the 90s since USGS looked up through that period. (Oberdorfer
26	Deposition Transcript (Nov. 4, 2010) 69:8-11 (a true and correct copy of pages 69-70 attached hereto as "Exhibit
27	A".).)
28	Furthermore, when Dr. Oberdorfer was asked about her knowledge of any work done at
	OPPOSITION TO UNITED STATES' MOTION TO AMEND EXPERT WITNESS DECLARATION 2

007966\0001\567017.1

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Edwards Air Force Base, she replied, "I haven't really worked down in that area, so no."
(Oberdorfer Deposition Transcript (Nov. 4, 2010) 69:19-25 (pages 69-70 attached hereto as "Exhibit
A".).) Now, the United States seeks at the last minute to introduce data on subsidence and
extensometer data that was apparently obtained from personal communications with an individual at
USGS.

It is unclear from the Second Amendment when Dr. Oberdorfer made her extensometer data calculations, but to amend her July 10, 2010 declaration to allow her to offer evidence about subsidence data at this late stage in the proceedings would be prejudicial to AGWA. AGWA did not retain an expert in subsidence or extensometer data in part because Dr. Oberdorfer and Public Water Supplier experts had previously indicated that they had not done any work on such data.

A party's failure to timely disclose changed or new testimony of an expert witness is grounds for excluding that testimony due to the prejudice to the other side for "unfair surprise." (*Dickison v. Howen* (1990) 220 Cal.App.3d 1471, 1478). Thus here, AGWA opposes the United States' Motion.

Dated: December 14, 2010

BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP

Theel it Bv:

MICHAEL T. FIFE BRADLEY J. HERREMA ATTORNEYS FOR AGWA

1	PROOF OF SERVICE
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
3	COUNTY OF SANTA BARBÁRA
4	I am employed in the County of Santa Barbara, State of California. I am over the age of 18
5 6	California 93101.
7	On December 14, 2010, I served the foregoing document described as:
8	AGWA'S OPPOSITION TO UNITED STATES' MOTION TO AMEND EXPERT WITNESS DECLARATION
9	on the interested parties in this action.
10 11	By posting it on the website at 6:00 p.m. on December 14, 2010. This posting was reported as complete and without error.
12	(STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.
13	Executed in Santa Barbara, California, on December 14, 2010.
14	
15	
16 17	A.
18	Mh
19	MARIA KLACHKO-BLAIR TYPE OR PRINT NAME SIGNATURE
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
20	
28	
_	OPPOSITION TO UNITED STATES' MOTION TO AMEND EXPERT WITNESS DECLARATION
	4 007966\0001\567017.1

BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP 21 East Carrillo Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101