## EXHIBITA

1 2	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA		
	FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	ANTELOPE VALLEY ) Santa Clara Case No.		
7	GROUNDWATER CASES, ) 1-05-CV-049053		
8	) VOLUME I		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14	Deposition of JUNE A. OBERDORFER, Ph.D., at		
15	301 North Lake Avenue, 10th Floor, Pasadena,		
16	California, commencing at 11:02 a.m., Thursday,		
17	November 4, 2010, before Janice Schutzman,		
18	CSR No. 9509.		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25	PAGES 1 - 110		
	. Page 1		

1		
1	that there was significant subsidence anywhere in	
2	the Antelope Valley adjudication area?	
3	A. You know, it's continuing, so it would	
4	depend on what your definition of "significant" is.	
5	Most of the subsidence took place, I think,	02:13PM
6	in the '60s and '70s, and it's been tapering off	
7	since then.	
8	Q. Are you aware of any locations that	
9	currently are experiencing subsidence?	
10	A. I don't think I've seen any data since the	02:13PM
11	'90s since USGS looked up through that period. So	
12	for the last 10 or 15 years, I haven't seen they	
13	did some, I think, Lidar studies, so radar studies,	
14	but that was looking more at short term, sort of	
15	seasonal subsidence in response to pumping, not long	02:13PM
16	term.	
17	Q. When you say "they," who do you mean?	
18	A. USGS, I think.	
19	Q. In the work that you've done at the Air	
20	Force Base, have you observed any recent	02:13PM
21	subsidence	
22	A. Haven't	
23	Q say within the past 10 years?	
24	A. I haven't really worked down in that area,	
25	so no.	02:14PM
	Pa	ge 69

_		
1	Q. Has anyone told you that there's been any	
2	subsidence in the past 10 years?	
3	A. Nobody has told me that. I'm trying to	1
4	remember. The USGS did a study I think they	
5	actually put in extensometers, late 1990s, early	02:14PM
6	2000s, and I can't remember there was some small	
7	amount, but I can't remember the quantities or the	
8	exact dates.	·
9	Q. Earlier, you described I believe the	
10	term was "significant negative consequences." This	02:15PM
11	was taken from your first report or negative	
12	consequences. You described them as falling water	
13	levels, pumps going dry, land subsidence and	
14	degradation of water quality.	
15	Do you remember when we discussed that?	02:15PM
16	A. Yes.	
17	Q. Are you aware of any pumps going dry	
18	anywhere in the Antelope Valley adjudication area?	
19	A. No.	
20	Q. Are you aware of any degradation of water	02:15PM
21	quality issues in the Antelope Valley adjudication	
22	area within, say, the past 10 years?	
23	A. No. I guess with the caveat that there's	
24	the whole arsenic level in the north Muroc Basin,	
25	but that's not necessarily degradation. That's	02:16PM
	·	Page 70