EXHIBITA

Hearing Transcript from 07 09 12.txt

1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
3	DEPARTMENT 1		HON. JACK KOMAR, JUDGE
4	COORDINATION BROC	FEDING	A TURTOTAL COUNCIL
5	COORDINATION PROCEEDING SPECIAL TITLE (RULE 1550(B)) JUDICIAL COUNCIL) COORDINATION NO) JCCP4408
6	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES)) SANTA CLARA CASE NO.
7) 1-05-CV-049053
8	DALMDALE WATER DT	CTRICT AND OUARTZ	
9	PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT AND QUARTZ HILL WATER DISTRICT,		}
10	CROSS-COMPLAINANTS,		{
11	vs.		₹·
12	LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40, ET AL.,		Š
13			{
14	CRUSS-	DEFENDANTS.	{
15	***************************************		_)
16	DEDORTE	DIC TRANSCRIPT OF	DROCEEDINGE
17	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS		
18		MONDAY, JULY 9,	2012
19	APPEARANCES:		
20			
21	FOR ANTELOPE VALLEY	BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK BY: MICHAEL FIFE, ESQ. 21 EAST CARRILLO STREET SANTA BARBARA, CALIFORNIA 93101	
22	GROUNDWATER ASSOCIATION:		
23		(805) 882-1453	
24	FOR QUARTZ HILL WATER DISTRICT:	CHARLTON WEEKS LLP BY: BRADLEY T. WEEKS, ESQ.	
25		1031 WEST AVENU PALMDALE, CALIF	E M-14, STE. A
26		(661) 265-0969	
27	(APPEARANCES CONTINUED OF		N NEXT PAGE.)
28			
1	FOR PALMDALE	LAGERLOF SENECA Page 1	L GOSNEY & KRUSE LLP

17	Hearing Transcript from 07 09 12.txt THAT WILL MOVE THE BALL FORWARD IN THIS CASE SO THAT WE		
18	CAN PUT THE CASE IN A POSTURE WHERE WE KNOW WE HAVE TO		
19	TRY THE REST OF IT, OR WE CAN RESOLVE THE ISSUES.		
20	AND THE SERIOUS ISSUES THAT REQUIRE A LEGAL		
21	DECISION IN ORDER TO GO FORWARD ARE THE ISSUES THAT YOU		
22	REALLY SHOULD BE FOCUSING ON.		
23	BECAUSE ONCE YOU GET A DETERMINATION OF		
24	THOSE, YOU KNOW WHERE YOU HAVE TO GO NEXT.		
25	AND IT SEEMS TO ME THAT THEN YOU CAN		
26	EVALUATE FURTHER SETTLEMENT. ASSUMING THAT YOU HAVEN'T		
27	REACHED YOUR SETTLEMENT BY THE 11TH.		
28	AND I DON'T MEAN TO SUGGEST THAT YOU SHOULD 70		
1	DEFER THIS SERIOUS ATTEMPT TO SETTLE THIS CASE BY THE		
2	TIME OF THE MEETING WITH JUSTICE ROBIE OR SHORTLY		
3	THEREAFTER.		
4	I THINK IF YOU CAN AVOID REQUIRING A LEGAL		
5	DECISION, YOU'RE FAR BETTER OFF.		
. 6	FAR BETTER OFF.		
7	JUST LIKE ANY OTHER CASE. CASES ARE BEST		
8	SETTLED.		
9	OKAY. SO WHAT DAY IS THE 30TH OF		
10	SEPTEMBER?		
11	A VOICE: SUNDAY, YOUR HONOR.		
12	THE COURT: HOW ABOUT HAVING SOMETHING ON FILE BY		
13	THE 28TH OF SEPTEMBER WITH PROPOSALS.		
14	AND TO THE EXTENT THAT YOU CAN AGREE AS TO		
15	THOSE, *FINE. OTHERWISE WELL, I'M GOING TO ORDER YOU		
16	TO AGREE. HOW'S THAT?		
17	MR. JOYCE: CASE OVER.		
18	. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. NOW, ANYTHING FURTHER THAT		
19	WE SHOULD DO WITH REGARD TO THAT? Page 67		

Hearing Transcript from 07 09 12.txt AND MR. WEEKS, YOU REMIND ME, AS YOU STAND 20 21 UP, THE DISCOVERY CONCERNS THAT MR. ZIMMER HAS. 22 I DON'T WANT DISCOVERY TO INTERFERE WITH 23 YOUR SETTLEMENT PROCESS. 24 AND I THINK THAT TO THE EXTENT THAT YOU 25 WANT TO REINITIATE AND SEEK RESPONSES TO YOUR 26 INTERROGATORIES, I THINK YOU SHOULD WAIT UNTIL AFTER THAT 27 MEETING WITH JUSTICE ROBIE. MR. WEEKS: YOUR HONOR, THAT WOULD GIVE US FOUR 28 1 MONTHS. THE COURT: I WOULD THINK THAT'S ENOUGH. 2 YOU KNOW WHAT YOU NEED TO HAVE. SO IT 3 SEEMS TO ME THAT THAT'S WHAT WE'RE GOING TO DO. 4 MR. WEEKS: THE TIME FOR THE PRETRIAL AND TRIAL IS 5 6 NINE O'CLOCK? 7 THE COURT: YES. MR. WEEKS: LOCATION? 9 THE COURT: TO BE DETERMINED. WHEREVER THEY WILL 10 ACCOMMODATE US. ALL RIGHT. THAT CONCLUDES THE CASE 11 12 MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE. NOW, THERE ARE TWO MOTIONS. 13 14 THERE'S A MOTION TO AUTHORIZE FURTHER WORK BY THE COURT EXPERT. AND THAT IS A COURT EXPERT. 15 I HAVE A COUPLE OF THOUGHTS ABOUT THAT. 16 AND ONE OF MY THOUGHTS RELATES TO THE 17 EARLIER SETTLEMENT THAT WAS ENTERED INTO THAT THE COURT 18 DID NOT APPROVE WITH SOME VERY SPECIFIC DIRECTIONS AS TO 19 20 THAT. 21 AND AS I UNDERSTAND IT, NOTHING HAS

71

Page 68

22	Hearing Transcript from 07 09 12.txt HAPPENED WITH REGARD TO TRYING TO MEET THE CONCERNS THE
23	COURT HAD BY WAY OF ENTERING INTO THE SETTLEMENT.
	,
24	IS THAT RIGHT, MR. MC LACHLAN?
25 ·	MR. MC LACHLAN: NO, YOUR HONOR. THAT'S NOT RIGHT
26	ACTUALLY.
27	AS I STATED IN MY DECLARATION, REPLY BRIEF,
28	AFTER THAT HEARING, THAT SAME DAY, I MET WITH MR. *WAHLIN 72
1	AND MR. DUNN AND MY CLIENT. I DID HAVE FURTHER
2	DISCUSSIONS.
3,	AND THEN I SPENT A CONSIDERABLE AMOUNT OF
4	TIME GOING THROUGH THE PRIOR VERSION AND ADDRESSING YOUR
5	HONOR'S ENUMERATED CONCERNS.
6	AND ESSENTIALLY STRIPPING DOWN THE
7	AGREEMENT SO THAT IT MORE OR LESS TRACKED THE WILLIS
8	AGREEMENT.
9	AND AT THAT POINT, IT WENT INTO THE
10	FREEZER, SO TO SPEAK.
11	THE COURT: I THINK THAT'S WHAT I WAS SAYING.
12	MR. MC LACHLAN: OKAY.
13	THE COURT: I DID READ YOUR DECLARATION.
14	MR. MC LACHLAN: ALL RIGHT. I JUST WANTED TO MAKE
15	SURE THAT WAS CLEAR.
16	WE DID MAKE AN ATTEMPT.
17	THE COURT: SO I'D LIKE TO HAVE SOME INPUT FROM
18	THE PUBLIC WATER PRODUCERS REGARDING THAT SETTLEMENT
19	PROPOSAL AND WHETHER OR NOT THERE IS ANY HOPE THAT IF IT
20	CONFORMED TO THE WILLIS SETTLEMENT, THAT IT WAS SOMETHING
21	THAT THE PARTIES COULD DISCUSS.
22	MR. DUNN: MR. DUNN ON BEHALF OF WATERWORKS
23	DISTRICT NO. 40.
24	I THINK I'VE GIVEN THIS EXPLANATION BEFORE, Page 69