

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

**ANTELOPE VALLEY** )  
**GROUNDWATER CASES** ) Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding  
 ) No. 4408

Included Actions: )

Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. )  
40 v. Diamond Farming Co. Superior Court of )  
California County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC )  
325 201 Los Angeles County Waterworks )  
District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co. )  
Superior Court of California, County of Kern, )  
Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348 Wm. Bolthouse )  
Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster Diamond )  
Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster Diamond )  
Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist. Superior )  
Court of California, County of Riverside, )  
consolidated actions, Case No. RIC 353 840, )  
RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668 )

**Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053**  
Assigned to The Honorable Jack Komar

**[PROPOSED] THIRD AMENDMENT TO  
CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER FOR  
PHASE FOUR TRIAL**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

The Case Management Order for Phase IV Trial (the “CMO”), dated December 12, 2012,  
as first amended by the First Amendment to the CMO, dated January 17, 2013, and as amended  
by the Second Amendment to the CMO, dated February 15, 2013, is further amended as follows:

///

Conduct of Depositions for Phase IV Trial

*Location:* All depositions will occur in Los Angeles County, unless Veritext can confirm a video link and the deposition exhibits can be made available to all interested parties at least 24 hours before the start of the deposition. Upon request of any party, that party's deposition may be taken at a place within the Los Angeles County portion of the Antelope Valley.

2. The Court sets the following schedule for the Phase Four trial and pretrial dates:

DATE	EVENT
2/28/2013	Stipulations or objections to declarations of the parties be filed and served. Any party who wishes to object to a submitted declaration must state good cause why the declaration should not be accepted by the Court without the necessity of deposition or testimony at trial.
03/15/2013	Order to Show Cause re: approval of stipulations of the parties on evidence relevant to the Phase Four Trial. If no party shows good cause why a declaration should not be approved, the Court will approve the declaration as uncontested testimony of the party.

All other portions of the CMO, as amended, remain unchanged.

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Jack Komar  
Judge of the Superior Court

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

**STATE OF CALIFORNIA,  
COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA**

I am employed in the County of Santa Barbara, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is: 21 E. Carrillo Street, Santa Barbara, California 93101.

On February 26, 2013, I served the foregoing document described as:

**[PROPOSED] THIRD AMENDMENT TO CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER FOR  
PHASE IV TRIAL**

on the interested parties in this action.

By posting it on the website by 5:00 p.m. on February 26, 2013.

This posting was reported as complete and without error.

(STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed in Santa Barbara, California, on February 26, 2013.

**LINDA MINKY  
TYPE OR PRINT NAME**

  
**SIGNATURE**