Santa Barbara, CA 93101-2706

The Antelope Valley Groundwater Agreement Association ("AGWA") respectfully submits this supplemental reply in response to the Public Water Suppliers' ("PWS") Opposition to Motion in Limine of AGWA for Order Excluding Evidence of Modeling by Designated Expert Dennis Williams ("PWS Opposition"), filed on January 31, 2014.

The PWS Opposition states that the "MODFLOW electronic files comprise an estimated seventeen (17) gigabytes of data." (PWS Opposition, at 3:5-6.) The PWS Opposition further states that on January 30, 2014, Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 sent Dr. Williams' modeling files to AGWA via overnight mail. (PWS Opposition, at 3:17-18.)

AGWA received the modeling files on January 31, 2014. The files received contain 13.5 gigabytes of data, not 17 gigabytes. (Declaration of Ryan C. Drake filed concurrently with this Supplemental Reply ("Drake Decl."), at ¶ 3.) Upon receiving the files, counsel for AGWA sought out a groundwater hydrologist to review the modeling files. (Drake Decl., at ¶ 4.) On February 5, 2014, counsel for AGWA transmitted the files to hydrologist Todd Umstot, senior hydrologist for Daniel B. Stephens & Associates, Inc., and asked Mr. Umstot to review whether the modeling files were complete in order to review and run the model utilized by Dr. Williams. (Drake Decl., at ¶ 5.)

Mr. Umstot reviewed the modeling files given to AGWA, and noted multiple files missing. (See Email from Todd Umstot to Ryan C. Drake, attached as Exhibit "A" to Drake Decl.") For example, Mr. Umstot explained that the USGS model included in the files only contains input data, and no output data. (See Exhibit "A" to Drake Decl.) Mr. Umstot stated there was no documentation that relates to certain calibration files, "and there are many PEST input and output files missing." (Exhibit "A" to Drake Decl.) Mr. Umstot also noted additional files missing and the lack of documentation describing the model files. (Exhibit "A" to Drake Decl.)

AGWA has acted with the utmost diligence to attempt to review the modeling performed by Dr. Williams and cannot yet even determine whether it has all of the relevant files. In light of this, the assertion by the PWS in their Opposition that AGWA has been given sufficient time to analyze the model and ask meaningful questions in a follow-up deposition is absurd. Under these

facts, AGWA would be unduly prejudiced by the introduction of evidence of the modeling and
testimony related to the modeling performed by Dr. Williams, and the Court should grant
AGWA's Motion in Limine to exclude such evidence.

Dated: February 6, 2014

BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP

By: MICHAEL T. FIFE
BRADLEY J. HERREMA
ATTORNEYS FOR AGWA

## BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP 1020 State Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101-2706

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

### Declaration of Ryan C. Drake

I, Ryan C. Drake, hereby declare as follows:

- I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law in the State of California, and am an 1. associate in the law firm of Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck LLP, counsel of record for the Antelope Valley Groundwater Agreement Association ("AGWA") in the above-entitled matter. I make this declaration of my own personal knowledge, except for those matters stated on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true.
- AGWA received a set of modeling files on a cd and flash drive from Los Angeles 2. County Waterworks District No. 40 on January 31, 2014.
- 3. Upon examining the files, I and my firm's IT department determined the files contained 13.5 gigabytes of data.
- Immediately upon receiving the modeling files, I inquired whether any of 4. AGWA's prior hydrologist experts could review the modeling files on short notice.
- On February 5, 2014, I transmitted the files via Federal Express to hydrologist 5. Todd Umstot, senior hydrologist for Daniel B. Stephens & Associates, Inc., and asked Mr. Umstot to review whether the modeling files were complete in order to review and run the model utilized by Dr. Dennis Williams.
- 6. Mr. Umstot reviewed the modeling files given to AGWA, and noted multiple files missing in an email response to me dated February 6, 2014, at approximately 3:33 pm. (See Email from Todd Umstot to Ryan C. Drake, attached hereto as Exhibit "A."
- In his email discussing his preliminary review of the modeling files, attached as 7. Exhibit "A," Mr. Umstot explained that the USGS model included in the files only contains input data, and no output data. Mr. Umstot stated there was no documentation that relates to certain calibration files, "and there are many PEST input and output files missing." Mr. Umstot also noted additional files missing and the lack of documentation describing the model files.

### BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP 1020 State Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101-2706

1	
2	
3	
4	
- 5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated this 6th day of February, 2014, at Santa Barbara, California.

Ryan C. Drake

### EXHIBIT A TO THE DECLARATION OF RYAN C. DRAKE

### Drake, Ryan

From: Umstot, Todd <tumstot@dbstephens.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 06, 2014 3:33 PM

**To:** Drake, Ryan **Subject:** GSSI Model Files

### Ryan,

- I received five MODFLOW models. One appears to be from the USGS and the other four are from Geoscience Support Services, Inc. (GSSI).
- The four models from GSSI have both input and output files for MODFLOW
- The USGS model only has the input files. The directory for the USGS output files (AVAA GW MODEL\Received USGS 17 Mar-2012\AV05\output\) is empty.
- I can run all five of the models but I get slightly different results at a few cells of 10 or more feet for the GSSI models. I don't have the USGS output files to make a comparison.
- GSSI used the program PEST to calibrate their models and provided one of the output files in the directory AVAA\_GW\_MODEL\_2\PEST Record Files\. Each "REC" file represents a different model calibration. However, there is no documentation that relates the PEST calibration files to the model files and there are many PEST input and output files missing. For example, if you open the text file "AV05\_PEST\_R1.REC" there are references on lines 20, 26, 34, 36, 6738, 11633 and 11635 to the files pestgv.bat, PVAL.TPL, TARGPEST.INS, TARGPEST.OUT, AV05.SEN, AV05.RES and AV05.SEO, respectively, that have not been provided. There should be at least a separate set of "SEN", "RES" and "SEO" output files for each "REC" file. Additionally, each "REC" file represents a model calibration and so there should be a set of MODFLOW input and output files for each calibration attempt.
- The MODFLOW-NWT program used to run the models in the "AVAA\_GW\_MODEL\Received\_USGS\_17\_Mar-2012\AV05\bin" is missing. The program was blocked by an antivirus program. I obtained a version of MODFLOW-NWT from the USGS website and it runs the models but I'm not sure if the Antelope Valley MODFLOW-NWT version is different as the USGS often modifies MODFLOW for each project.
- There is no documentation describing the model files.

Kind Regards,

Todd

### **Todd Umstot**

Senior Hydrogeologist

### Daniel B. Stephens & Associates, Inc.

Hydrology | Engineering | Geoscience

6020 Academy Road NE, Suite 100 | Albuquerque, New Mexico 87109 T (505) 822-9400 | D (505) 353-9109 | F (505) 822-8877 www.dbstephens.com

FaceBook | LinkedIn | YouTube | Google+

# BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1020 State Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101-2706

### PROOF OF SERVICE

### STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

I am employed in the County of Santa Barbara, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is: 1020 State Street, Santa Barbara, California 93101.

On February 6, 2014, I served the foregoing document described as:

SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION IN LIMINE OF AGWA FOR ORDER EXCLUDING EVIDENCE OF MODELING BY DESIGNATED EXPERT DENNIS WILLIAMS; DECLARATION OF RYAN C. DRAKE

on the interested parties in this action.

By posting it on the website by 5:00 p.m. on February 6, 2014.

This posting was reported as complete and without error.

(STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed in Santa Barbara, California, on February 6, 2014.

LINDA MINKY TYPE OR PRINT NAME

**SIGNATURE**