Exempt from Filing Fees CRAIG A. PARTON, State Bar No. 132759 1 Government Code § 6103 TIMOTHY E. METZINGER, State Bar No. 145266 2 CAMERON GOODMAN, State Bar No. 307679 PRICE, POSTEL & PARMA LLP 3 200 East Carrillo Street, Fourth Floor Santa Barbara, California 93101 4 Telephone: (805) 962-0011/Fax: (805) 965-3978 E-Mail: cap@ppplaw.com 5 tem@ppplaw.com 6 cg@ppplaw.com 7 Attorneys for Antelope Valley Watermaster SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - CENTRAL DISTRICT 10 11 Judicial Council Coordination Coordination Proceeding, 12 Proceeding No. 4408 Special Title (Rule 1550(b)) 13 LASC Case No.: BC 325201 ANTELOPE VALLEY 14 Santa Clara Court Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 **GROUNDWATER CASES** Assigned to the Hon. Jack Komar, Judge of 15 the Santa Clara Superior Court 16 WATERMASTER'S RENEWED MOTION FOR MONETARY, 17 DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AGAINST ZAMRZLAS; 18 REQUEST TO SET HEARING; AND ALL RELATED ACTIONS **DECLARATION OF CRAIG A. PARTON;** 19 EXHIBITS A & B 20 Date: Time: 21 Dept.: 22 TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR RESPECTIVE COUNSEL OF RECORD: 23 The Antelope Valley Watermaster ("Watermaster") hereby renews its motion for 24 monetary, declaratory and injunctive relief against Johnny Zamrzla and Pamella Zamrzla,1 25 individually and as Trustees of the Johnny and Pamella Zamrzla 1999 Family Trust created u/d/t 26 27 ¹ The Watermaster is informed and believes that Pamella Zamrzla passed away on May 21, 2023. The Motion originally named Pamella and Johnny, although the Watermaster is informed and 28 believes that Johnny is the sole remaining Party and Trustee involved in the present dispute.

RENEWED MOTION; REQUEST TO SET HEARING; DECLARATION

PRICE, POSTEL & PARMA LLP

Santa Barbara, Ca

2.0

2.1

dated April 30, 1999 ("J&P"), and against John Lee Zamrzla and Jeanette Zamrzla ("J&J", and collectively with J&P, the "Zamrzlas") filed with this Court on September 29, 2021 (the "Watermaster Motion"), and requests that the Court set a hearing on the Watermaster Motion to award the injunctive relief requested therein, and to liquidate all past-due RWAs, AAs, interest thereon, and attorneys' fees requested therein.

I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The Watermaster filed the Watermaster Motion on September 29, 2021, seeking: (1) \$28,755.35 in delinquent Replacement Water Assessments ("RWAs") for the year 2018, plus accrued interest of \$2,875.54 as to J&P; (2) \$6,415.90 in delinquent RWAs for the year 2018, plus accrued interest of \$641.59 as to J&J; (3) attorneys' fees of \$7,437 as to the Zamrzlas jointly and severally; and (4) for such declaratory and injunctive relief as is necessary to prohibit the Zamrzlas from producing any further groundwater from the Basin until all such delinquent 2018 RWAs with interest and fees are paid in full, the Zamrzlas each install water flow meters on all of their respective wells, submit Annual Water Production Reports for years 2016 through 2020, and pay RWAs and Administrative Assessments ("AAs") for their respective annual production for the years 2016 through 2020, plus accrued interest thereon.

The Watermaster Motion sought this relief on the basis that the Zamrzlas are members of the Small Pumper Class under the Judgment and subject to the Jurisdiction of this Court, self-reported production in excess of the Small Pumper Class allowance in the year 2018, and failed to provide production reports for other years.

Opposition and Reply papers were filed. Among other arguments, the Zamrzlas alleged that they are not properly named as members of the Small Pumper Class, and that they are not subject to the Court's jurisdiction under the Judgment. A hearing was held on January 5, 2022, at which time the Court directed the parties to discuss options for potential resolution of the dispute. On February 28, 2022, the Court issued a Notice of Hearing, directing that the Watermaster Motion "is reset for jurisdiction hearing on March 4, 2022," and that the parties were to meet and confer "and establish an agreement whereby the court has jurisdiction to consider the water entitlement of the Zamrzla parties and to set a hearing for an [sic] evidentiary hearing to

determine such water entitlement."

At the March 4, 2022 hearing, the Court clarified that the Watermaster Motion "is pending" and has "not been denied," and recommended that if the Zamrzlas dispute their status as Small Pumper Class members, they should file "a motion or a petition to the Court to modify that finding." (March 4, 2022 hearing Transcript at 10:15-11:16; 14:13-20.)

Counsel for the Zamrzlas at the time, Robert Brumfield, then suggested that "we could hold [the Watermaster Motion] in abeyance and just leave it on calendar until such time as the Zamrzla motion [to modify or set aside the Judgment] is filed and just track along with whatever dates we're doing without it being ruled upon and no further briefing argument [sic] really necessary—we've already done that—and just let it track along." (March 4, 2022 hearing Transcript at 12:24-13:8 (emphasis added).) The Court agreed with Mr. Brumfield's recommendation, and noted that the Watermaster would not need to re-file the Watermaster Motion after the Zamrzlas' motion to modify or set aside the Judgment is ruled upon. (March 4, 2022 hearing Transcript at 19:19-20:2.) The Court noted further that if the Zamrzlas are unsuccessful in their attempts to remove themselves from the Small Pumper Class and the jurisdiction of the Court, "the next consequence is going to be that I am going to make a finding that the assessment is going to be based upon the replacement water assessment in excess of the small pumper class entitlement." (March 4, 2022 hearing Transcript at 21:1-13.)

In summary, the Court determined that it would grant the Watermaster Motion in the event the Zamrzlas failed to extricate themselves from the Small Pumper Class, without the necessity of the Watermaster re-filing the Watermaster Motion or any further briefing on the matter. The Court concluded the March 4, 2022 hearing as follows:

"And let me put it this way. As I said to you earlier, the evidence that I have before me establishes [the Zamrzlas] are members of the small pumper class. And that means that the replacement water assessment would be predicated upon pumping in excess of the allocated amounts for that class so that if Mr. Brumfield and his clients wish to not have that assessment, they need to file appropriate papers." (March 4, 2022 hearing Transcript at 23:14-22.)

1 | T | A | A | m | 4 | m | 5 | a | 4 | 2 |

2.7

Those papers were filed by the Zamrzlas in the form of two motions each titled "Motion to Set Aside or Modify Judgment," filed on or about April 11, 2022, challenging the Zamrzlas' status as members of the Small Pumper Class and the Court's jurisdiction over them (collectively, the "Zamrzla Motions"). Discovery was completed, Opposition, Reply and Trial Briefs were filed, an in-person hearing was held on March 15-16, 2023, followed by Closing Briefs, and on June 9, 2023, the Court entered an Order Denying the Zamrzlas' Motions (the "Order"). The Zamrzlas have appealed the Order, which appeal is currently pending.

II. ARGUMENT

At the March 4, 2022 hearing, the Court stated that the Watermaster Motion was "pending" and "has not been denied," and that the Court was offering the Zamrzlas a chance to restructure their argument in order to avoid the relief sought by the Watermaster Motion. (March 4, 2022 hearing Transcript at 14:13-20). Then for over a year the Zamrzlas litigated and eventually lost their argument that this Court lacks jurisdiction over them as Small Pumper Class Members. Pursuant to the Order, the Court found that the Zamrzlas are Small Pumper Class members subject to the Court's jurisdiction, and the Watermaster is now entitled to a ruling on the Watermaster Motion to liquidate the monetary amounts sought therein, as amended hereby.

A. Past-Due RWAs; Interest

As set forth in detail in the Watermaster Motion, because the Zamrzlas are members of the Small Pumper Class, any production above 3 acre-feet per year per parcel improved with a well will be subject to RWAs. The Zamrzlas each submitted production reports for the years 2018, and do not dispute that they produced the amounts set forth in their respective production reports. None of the Zamrzlas' briefing ever disputed the Watermaster's calculations of the RWAs owed by the Zamrzlas for the year 2018 (assuming the Zamrzlas are members of the Small Pumper Class). The Watermaster is also entitled to recover a 10% penalty for past-due RWAs, in the amount and for the reasons set forth in the Watermaster Motion. No further briefing or evidence is necessary on this topic, and the Court should enter judgment in favor of the Watermaster and against the Zamrzlas for past-due RWAs and interest thereon as set forth in the Watermaster Motion.

B. Attorneys' Fees

As set forth in detail in the Watermaster Motion, the Judgment and the Watermaster Rules and Regulations authorize the Watermaster to recover its "costs of suit, attorneys fees and reasonable costs of collection" in any action to collect delinquent assessments. (Judgment at ¶ 18.4.12; R&Rs at § 19.g.) The Watermaster Motion originally sought a total of \$7,437 in attorneys' fees incurred to recover the delinquent assessments from the Zamrzlas. Since filing the Watermaster Motion in the fall of 2021, the Watermaster has incurred significantly more attorneys' fees defeating the Zamrzlas' attempts to thwart collection efforts by extricating themselves from the Small Pumper Class Members subject to the Court's jurisdiction. The Zamrzlas' efforts to modify or set aside the Judgment in this regard have failed, but the Watermaster's fees incurred to defeat the Zamrzla Motions were a necessary component of its efforts to recover the assessments sought in the Watermaster Motion. Therefore the Watermaster hereby requests attorneys' fees in the total amount of \$147,675.00. (Parton Decl. at ¶4-7, Exh. B.)

Attached to the Declaration of Craig A. Parton as Exhibit "B" is a compilation of the Watermaster's billing records from November 4, 2021 through September 1, 2023, reflecting all legal expenses the Watermaster has incurred in seeking to collect the Zamrzlas' delinquent assessments, including but not limited to defeating the Zamrzlas' efforts to set aside or modify the Judgment in order to avoid their obligation to pay the assessments demanded in the Watermaster Motion. The Declaration of Mr. Parton establishes the reasonableness of the fees sought, as more particularly set forth in the Watermaster Motion.

C. The Zamrzlas' Appeal of the Order Does Not Stay Enforcement of the Judgment

As the Court is probably aware, on June 23, 2023, the Zamrzlas filed a notice of appeal from the Order. The Zamrzlas' appeal does not, however, stay enforcement of the Judgment, for two reasons. First, judgments and orders that are self-executing (i.e., no process for their enforcement is required) are not automatically stayed by the filing of an appeal. "The rule has always been that '[i]f the judgment is self-executing and requires no process for its enforcement,

there is no statutory stay and, as a general rule, supersedeas is equally inappropriate." (*Veyna v. Orange County Nursery, Inc.* (2009) 170 Cal.App.4th 146, 156.)

In this case, the Order denying the Zamrzlas' motion to set aside the Judgment is a self-executing order because it requires no process for its enforcement; it simply denies the relief sought by the Zamrzlas. Since the Order is self-executing, the automatic stay of Code of Civil Procedure section 916 does not apply to the Zamrzlas' appeal of the Order.

Second and more importantly, the Judgment is not stayed because the Zamrzlas are appealing the Order, not the Judgment itself. It is well established that "[i]f an appeal is taken from an order after final judgment [citation] but not from the judgment itself, a stay of the appealed order does not stay the underlying judgment [citation]." (*People v. American Surety Co.* (2019) 31 Cal.App.5th 380, 393; see also *Miller v. Gross* (1975) 48 Cal.App.3d 608, 612-613 [appeal from denial of motion to vacate judgment did not stay enforcement of the underlying judgment]; Eisenberg et al., Cal. Practice Guide: Civil Appeals and Writs (The Rutter Group 2022) ¶7.269 p. 7-77.)

In light of this rule, even if the Zamrzlas' appeal stayed the Order (which it does not), their appeal would not stay enforcement of the Judgment. The Court instead is fully empowered to grant the relief requested herein notwithstanding the Zamrzlas' appeal of the Order. Nevertheless, the Watermaster will agree not to seek to enforce the money judgment against the Zamrzlas during the pendency of the appeal of the Order.

III. Conclusion

The Watermaster respectfully requests that the Court set a hearing to rule on the Watermaster Motion, award the injunctive and declaratory relief sought therein, and enter a money judgment in favor of the Watermaster and against the Zamrzlas as follows: (1) \$28,755.35 in delinquent RWAs for the year 2018, plus accrued interest of \$2,875.54 as to J&P; (2) \$6,415.90 in delinquent RWAs for the year 2018, plus accrued interest of \$641.59 as to J&J; and (3) \$147,675.00 in attorneys' fees as to the Zamrzlas jointly and severally.

		D (C.11
1		Respectfully submitted,
2	Dated: October 11, 2023	PRICE, POSTEL & PARMA LLP
3		D Pc -
4		By:
5		CRAIG A. PARTON TIMOTHY E. METZINGER
6		CAMERON GOODMAN Attorneys for
7		Antelope Valley Watermaster
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Price, Postel & Parma LLP Santa Barbara, Ca

DECLARATION OF CRAIG A. PARTON

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

10 11

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I, CRAIG A. PARTON, declare as follows:

- I am a partner in the law firm of Price, Postel & Parma LLP ("PPP"), counsel of record for Antelope Valley Watermaster ("Watermaster") herein. I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth below and if called as a witness could testify competently thereto.
- 2. I have served as the principal attorney responsible for providing general counsel and litigation services to the Watermaster since November 2017, and I have been intimately involved in the ongoing dispute with the Zamrzlas related to enforcement efforts to collect delinquent RWAs, interest thereon, and costs and fees.
- On March 4, 2022, from 9:00 a.m. -9:32 a.m., the Court held a remote hearing on the Watermaster Motion. I attended the March 4, 2022 hearing via teleconference. Attached hereto as Exhibit "A" are true and correct copies of excerpts from the transcript of the March 4, 2022 hearing on the Watermaster Motion, which excerpts are relevant to the Watermaster's Renewed Motion and referenced therein.
- Attached hereto as Exhibit "B" is a true and correct copy of our firm's billing ledger 4. detailing all time entries for fees billed for this matter for the period of time from November 4, 2021 through September 1, 2023, which totals \$144,350.00. Additional attorneys' fees in the amount of \$3,325.00 (5 hours of partner time at \$395 per hour, and 5 hours of associate time at \$270 per hour) are estimated for the period of October 9, 2023 through the time of the hearing on the instant motion. Therefore, the Watermaster seeks a total of \$147,675.00 in attorneys' fees related to efforts to collect the Zamrzlas' RWAs.
- Throughout PPP's representation of the Watermaster on this matter, the hourly rate 5. billed to the Watermaster reflected PPP's public agency rates. The public agency rates reflect an approximate 25% to 34% reduction in our customary rates.
- The Zamrzlas could and should have paid the RWAs they owed pursuant to the clear 6. terms of the Judgment rather than delaying the inevitable. The attorneys' fees incurred by the Watermaster in seeking to recover the RWAs owed by the Zamrzlas were necessary in order to protect against the substantial harm that would be caused to the Basin if the Zamrzlas were allowed

1 2 3	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
4	Coordination Proceeding) Special Title (Rule 1550(b)))
5) LASC Case No.) BC325201
6	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER)Santa Clara Court Case CASES,)Case No. 1-05-CU-049053
7)
8	
9	AND ALL RELATED ACTIONS.)
	Defendants.)
10)
11	
12	
13	
14 15	
16	REPORTER'S TELEPHONIC
17	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
18	BEFORE THE HON. JACK KOMAR
19	Friday, March 4, 2022
20	
21	Reported by:
	JOANNA BROADWELL
22	CSR No. 10959
23	Job No. 5116883
24	
25	PAGES 1 - 27
	Page 1

1 2	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
3	
4	Coordination Proceeding)
_	Special Title (Rule 1550(b)))
5) LASC Case No.
_) BC325201
6	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER)Santa Clara Court Case
	CASES,) Case No. 1-05-CU-049053
7)
)
8	
	AND ALL RELATED ACTIONS.)
9	
	Defendants.)
10)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	Reporter's Telephonic Transcript of
20	Proceedings taken remotely before HON. JACK KOMAR
21	beginning at 9:00 a.m. and ending at 9:32 a.m. on
22	Friday, March 4, 2022, before JOANNA BROADWELL,
23	Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 10959.
24	
25	
	Page 2

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	For County Sanitation Districts Nos. 14 and 20 of Los
4	Angeles County:
5	ELLISON SCHNEIDER HARRIS & DONLAN
6	Christopher M. Sanders, Esq.
7	2600 Capitol Avenue, Suite 400
8	Sacramento, CA 95816
9	(916) 447-2166
10	info@eslawfirm.com
11	
12	For Antelope Valley Watermaster:
13	PRICE POSTEL & PARMA
14	Craig Parton, Esq.
15	Cameron Goodman, Esq.
16	200 E. Carrillo St., Suite 400
17	Santa Barbara, CA 93101-2190
18	(805) 962-0011
19	cap@ppplaw.com
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 3

1	Continued Appearances - Page 2)
2	
3	For Cross-defendant and Respondent Antelope Valley-East
4	Kern Water District:
5	RICHARDS WATSON & GERSHON
6	Stanley Powell, Esq.
7	350 S. Grand Ave., 37th Floor
8	Los Angeles , CA 90071
9	jmarkman@rwglaw.com
10	
11	For Cross-defendants and Respondents Littlerock Creek
12	Irrigation District, Palm Ranch Irrigation District,
13	Desert Lake Community Services District, North Edwards
14	Water District, Llano Del Rio Water Company, Llano
15	Mutual Water Company, Big Rock Mutual Water Company and
16	Quartz Hill Water District:
17	OLIVAREZ MADRUGA LEMIEUX O'NEILL
18	Nathan Chen, Esq.
19	500 S. Grand Ave., 12th Fl
20	Los Angeles, CA 90071
21	(213) 744-0099
22	nchen@omolaw.com
23	
24	
25	
	Page 4

```
Continued Appearances - Page 3)
1
2
     For SCI California Funeral Services, Inc.:
3
    GRESHAM SAVAGE NOLAN & TILDEN
4
    Marlene Allen, Esq.
5
     550 E Hospitality Ln., Suite 300
6
     San Bernardino, CA 92408-4205
7
     (909) 890-4499
8
9
     For Bolthouse Properties LLC/Wm. Bolthouse Farms:
10
     ZIMMER & MELTON
11
12
     Richard G. Zimmer, Esq.
     11601 Bolthouse Drive, Suite 100
13
14
     Bakersfield, CA 93311
     (661) 463-6700
15
16
     rzimmer@zimmermelton.com
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                                  Page 5
```

```
Continued Appearances - Page 4)
1
 2
     For Johnny Zamrzlas, Pamella Zamrzlas, Johnny Lee
 3
     Zamrzlas and Jeanette Zamrzlas, (collectively
 4
 5
     "Zamrzla's"):
     ROBERT H. BRUMFIELD LAW OFFICES PC
 6
     Robert Brumfield III, Esq.
 7
     1810 Westwind Drive, Suite 100
 8
     Bakersfield, CA 93301
 9
     (661) 215-4980
10
11
     bob@brumfieldlawgroup.com
12
     For Cross-defendant and Respondent Los Angeles County
1.3
     Waterworks District No. 40:
14
     BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP
15
     Wendy Y. Wang, Esq.
16
     300 S Grand Ave., 25th Floor
17
     Los Angeles, CA 90071
18
19
     (213) 787-2554
     Wendy.wang@bbklaw.com
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                                      Page 6
```

```
(Continued Appearances - Page 5)
1
 2
     For Cross-defendant and Respondent Los Angeles County
3
     Waterworks District No. 40:
 4
     BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER & SCHRECK
 5
     Bradley Herrema, Esq.
 6
     2049 Century Park E., Suite 3550
 7
     Los Angeles, CA 90067
 8
     (310) 500-4600
 9
10
     For Cross-defendant and Respondent:
11
12
     FENNEMORE CRAIG
     Byrin A. Romney, Esq.
13
14
     4900 California Avenue, Suite 210B
     Bakersfield, CA 93309
15
16
     (661)716-3000
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                                      Page 7
```

```
Continued Appearances - Page 6)
 1
 2
     For Cross-defendant and Respondent U.S. Borax, Inc.:
 3
     KUHS & PARKER
 4
     Robert G. Kuhs, Esq.
 5
     1200 Truxtun Ave., #200
 6
     Bakersfield, CA 93301
 7
     (661) 322-4004
 8
 9
     For Cross-defendant and Respondent Palmdale Water
10
     District:
11
     BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP
12
     Thomas S. Bunn III, Esq.
13
     Lagerlof LLC
14
     301 N. Lake Avenue, Suite 1100
15
16
     Pasadena, California 91101
     (626) 535-1900
17
18
19
     Also Present: J. Ukkestad, John Zanrzlas and Rowena
20
     Walker.
21
22
23
24
25
                                                     Page 8
```

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

anything further that either of you want to add before

Watermaster. We saw the proposed order from the landowner parties and think that it's a very constructive proposal. So if the Court wants to consider, that the Watermaster's view is that we have no objection to it. Our order sought to capture this Court's direction provided back on February 18th if not earlier than that in terms of how to resolve the matter. And we think Mr. Brumfield's order for a number of reasons is not sufficient.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me just interrupt by telling you everybody is very faint on this line for

1	some reason. And I think what you just said,
2	Mr. Parton, is that you have no objection to the
3	landowner's recommended proposed order; is that correct?
4	MR. PARTON: That is correct, Your Honor, and
5	several problems with Mr. Brumfield's proposed order.
6	We don't think that it takes into account what this
7	Court directed back on February 18th. There is no meet
8	and confer process for other interested parties.
9	The Watermaster is not allowed to take a position
10	on the motion. We think that's inappropriate. And he
11	wants four months to file a motion, and then if he
12	doesn't we're back to filing the motion and arguing
13	again the same things we have been arguing for four
14	months. So we think for those reasons
15	THE COURT: Let me make an observation here. It
16	seems to me that we're right back where we were at the
17	first hearing when it was obvious to me that there was a
18	dispute by the respondents to the contention that they
19	were a small pumper class.
20	And I indicated at that time that if they felt
21	that way there were several options, but one of them was
22	a motion or a petition to the Court to modify that
23	finding. Because it is a very clear to me that there
24	was a finding that at least two of the parties were
25	members of the small pumper class. And that limits the

amount of pumping that they can do and increases, obviously, the amount of cost to them in the event that there is a finding that they are pumping in excess of the amount that they are entitled to.

And if that happens to be the case then the people who are pumping have to determine what their pumping status is. And the proper way to do that, obviously, is by filing an evidentiary motion, a motion that will be supported by evidence so that the Court can make a finding as to what their historic entitlement might be.

negotiate a reduction if they wish to be a part of the stipulated settlement. And so far none of that has happened. The motion was continued. It was not denied. It was not taken off calendar. And I don't intend to deny the motion by the Watermaster at this time pending the appropriate either agreement if they can reach such an agreement with both the Watermaster and the landowner parties, the stipulating parties, or they can then file whatever appropriate motions they wish to to modify the judgment in terms of their status.

And I think that is essentially, as I read quickly, the landowner's proposed order of what is in place. And it seems to me that at this point the burden

really is on by Mr. Brumfield's clients to move to do something other than to permit the Court to make a finding that their small pumper class and that their replacement water assessment is going to be based upon that kind of an entitlement. So I would be happy to hear from anybody else that wishes to address this issue.

MR. BRUMFIELD: Your Honor, this is

Mr. Brumfield. Mr. Parton and I are the only two

parties actually to this motion. Back on December 10

you suggested along the lines of what you were just

saying. And basically the parties stipulate the court

has conferred jurisdiction. That is fine. We are not

disputing that. And we've had to invoke a procedure to

determine the amount of the entitlement.

You know, regardless of the failed efforts to try to wordsmith those two simple concepts of what is now a five and six-page long stipulation it seems as though we both, Watermaster and the Zamrzlas, agreed to that concept. Whether early determining the amount of entitlement starts off with a motion to modify your findings, which is what the Court is wanting, and that is fine, I think that is probably okay with us.

And I was just thinking as an alternative to this issue before the hearing, before the Court's comments in

regards to the Watermaster's motion of not denying it today or ruling on it today, perhaps we could hold that motion in abeyance and just leave it on calendar until such time as the Zamrzla's motion is filed and just track along with whatever dates we're doing without it being ruled upon and no further briefing argument really necessary -- we've already done that -- and just let it track along.

2.3

The Zamrzlas aren't going to sit around.

Mr. Parton makes the claim, oh, they sat around idly.

I'll just remind the Court that the Watermaster sat around and did nothing for at least a year before they filed this motion. And the pleadings clearly showed that parties didn't just sit around. There were many, many conversations trying to work things out. So that is sort of a bit of an exaggeration.

But I think as to the simple concepts we're in agreement. It's just been difficult trying to wordsmith something that everybody can agree. And obviously lawyers see different meanings in different words, and we're just not able to come together on this lengthy stipulation.

I think the OSC process is also inappropriate.

That is not something that's ever been contemplated

without the landowners -- excuse me, the landowner's

order and I think the settling parties so-called filed and settled order. That's not been contemplated at all in the process in the last two and a half months between the Watermaster and the Zamrzlas. I don't think it is, quite honestly, properly before the Court.

THE COURT: Well, I disagree with you. I think that the proposed landowner's proposed order is amicus curiae. And they are parties here, and there is no question that any kind of order that I make has to deal with the fact that there are multiple other parties pumping in this aquifer and that they have a right to be heard.

So as far as I am concerned the central point here is this. The order -- I'm sorry -- the motion by the Watermaster is pending. It's not been denied. The Court offered Counsel an opportunity to try to restructure the position that their clients -- that your clients have, Mr. Brumfield, with regard to their pumping rights in this valley. But that has to occur with a motion and/or a settlement among all the parties.

And there is no way that the Watermaster can stipulate to a specific amount. And if I ever said that it was -- I was misspeaking. He does not have that power. But that means there has to be a finding based upon evidence as to what the entitlement is.

1	of what the Zamrzlas commit to do. And if they will
2	commit in 45 days to file a motion essentially
3	challenging their status as small pumper class members
4	that moves the ball along.
5	THE COURT: I think that is appropriate. I think
6	that is appropriate. I think Mr. Brumfield agrees
7	that's another way of doing it. So let's let me see if
8	I can
9	MR. BRUMFIELD: I'm sorry.
10	THE COURT: Say that again?
11	MR. BRUMFIELD: I'm saying this is
12	Mr. Brumfield I think we can probably stipulate to
13	that in the next couple or three days if the Court would
14	prefer that.
15	THE COURT: Well, I think we should have a
16	hearing, and you should have an opportunity to establish
17	what your pumping rights are.
18	MR. BRUMFIELD: Yes.
19	THE COURT: And I think if we set that for the
20	20th, and that means you are going to have to present
21	both some authorities as well as some evidence to
22	support your position, then we can make a ruling at
23	least tentatively as to what your entitlement might be
24	as well as give Mr. Parton an opportunity then to
25	without having to refile his motion establish what the

current assessment should be. Does anybody have any 1 objection to that? 2 MR. BUNN: Your Honor, this is Thomas Bunn for 3 Palmdale Water District. 4 THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Bunn. 5 That proposal that had been called the 6 landowner's proposal did have a one public water 7 supplier, namely my client, subscribing to it. But I 8 would like it to be clear whether we're actually going 9 to be trying or trying to settle the amount of the 10 entitlement in this initial phase. Our thought was that 11 we would first settle whether Mr. Brumfield's clients 12 are appropriately remaining in the small pumper class or 13 whether, in fact, they might be entitled to more of that 14 rather than trying to figure out the amount of the "more 15 of that" which proved in an earlier case when we did 16 that, that was quite a complex procedure to try and come 17 up with an amount. 1.8 So it was the landowner's thought and my thought 19 that we would first determine whether he would stay in 20 the non -- in the small pumper class. 21 THE COURT: Well, at this point they are in the 2.2 small pumper class. There is no question about that. 23 At least two of them are. 24 MR. BUNN: Right. 25

1	THE COURT: It seems to me that if they wished to
2	modify the judgment to exclude them from that status,
3	that's going to require a formal hearing with notice and
4	obviously some evidentiary proof to establish that this
5	was a mistake. And equity has the power to deal with
6	that.
7	And I would invite Counsel, Mr. Brumfield, to
8	provide as much in support of his client's position as
9	he can and to do that by the 20th. And if you don't do
10	that, the next consequence is going to be that I am
11	going to make a finding that the assessment is going to
12	be based upon the replacement water assessment in excess
13	of the small pumper class entitlement.
14	And I don't think that's in your client's best
15	interest at this point if what they are saying is true.
16	So that's up to you, Mr. Brumfield. And I was trying to
17	see if there was a way we could save your client's time
18	and effort and attorneys' fees by entering into some
19	soft of an agreement with the Watermaster and others so
20	that that was not going to be a problem.
21	Now the other thing that I think you all
22	remember
23	MR. BRUMFIELD: Your Honor, you cut out there.
24	THE COURTCALL OPERATOR: Hello. This is the
25	CourtCall operator. Please stay on the line one moment.

1	So at this point I think what we need to do is
2	reset this matter. I will set it for April 20th. I
3	wish you well with your surgery, Mr. Brumfield, and see
4	where we go from there. But I expect that the parties
5	will have a substantial agreement as to a number of
6	these issues by the 20th with notice to me so that I
7	know what I am going to be dealing with at that time.
8	And if you could give me notice more than one day prior
9	to the hearing that would be helpful.
10	MR. PARTON: Your Honor, what will occur on
11	April 20th? Is Mr. Brumfield being directed to file a
12	motion to modify the judgment to not be included in the
13	small pumper class by that date?
14	THE COURT: Well, yes, he should. And let me put
15	it this way. As I said to you earlier, the evidence
16	that I have before me establishes they are members of
17	the small pumper class. And that means that the
18	replacement water assessment would be predicated upon
19	pumping in excess of the allocated amounts for that
20	class so that if Mr. Brumfield and his clients wish to
21	not have that assessment, they need to file appropriate
22	papers.
23	MR. PARTON: And the approved those papers
24	would be filed before April 20th?
25	THE COURT: Absolutely.

1	line with us?
2	THE COURT: Unfortunately you were breaking up.
3	I couldn't hear what you said.
4	MR. BUNN: This is Mr. Bunn. She was asking for
5	appearances. It was the reporter asking for
6	appearances.
7	THE COURT: Okay. CourtCall should have that
8	list of everybody who signed in. I think Mrs. Walker
9	has it.
10	MS. WALKER: Your Honor, I'll provide it to the
11	reporter. This is Rowena Walker.
12	THE COURT: All right. Anything else we need to
13	deal with here this morning?
14	MR. BRUMFIELD: This is Mr. Brumfield. I don't
15	think so.
16	THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. I
17	will be looking to read your motion, and we'll talk to
18	you on May the 3rd.
19	MR. PARTON: Is that at 9:00 a.m., Your Honor?
20	Craig Parton for the Watermaster.
21	THE COURT: Yes. Okay. Thank you very much
22	everybody. We're adjourned.
23	
24	(TIME NOTED: 9:32 a.m.)
25	
	Page 26

I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand 1 2 Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify: 3 That the foregoing proceedings were taken 4 before me at the time and place herein set forth; 5 that any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, 6 prior to testifying, were administered an oath; that 7 a record of the proceedings was made by me using 8 machine shorthand which was thereafter transcribed 9 under my direction; that the foregoing transcript is 10 a true record of the testimony given. 11 Further, that if the foregoing pertains to 12 the original transcript of a deposition in a Federal 13 Case, before completion of the proceedings, review 14 of the transcript [] was [] was not requested. 15 I further certify I am neither financially 16 interested in the action nor a relative or employee 17 of any attorney or any party to this action. 18 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date 19 2.0 subscribed my name. Dated: 03/07/2022 21 2.2 23 JOANNA BROADWELL 24 CSR No. 10959 2.5

Billed Total Narrative	0 Zamrzla:	316	592.5	1106 Drafting of intre		1.2 316 Zamrzis: Review darft order of June 8th and consider submitting competing order.	908.5	atternate order, contribute preparation of inter to appear, beginning. 750.5 Review Zamrzla's designation of the record for appear, prepare docket regarding pleadings to be included in same, legal research	regarding requirements for respondent's appendix. 474 Work on collection issues as to interest and attorneys fees recoverable from Zamrzlas.	700 Conference and correspondence regarding protection of record	2 750 Contretances and contract denying moderating processing of excision, conferences regarding same; review court's informal order required same, correspondence regarding state and excision, conferences regarding same, correspondence regarding same.	3.5 1362.5 Correspondence with coursel regarding hearing today on Statement of Decision by Court in Zamzda; prepare for that critical hearing and attend the same on behalf of the Watermaster; prepare report for client on results; review Court's final decision.	C	4.5 1777.5 Prepare for court hearing on Zamrzla's motion to set aside Judgment and draft order denying their motion and converting order to a	0.5 175 Stratement of Deadston.	671.5	3.5 1382.5 Work or response to Zamzla's new position on the appeal they filed and formulate response to Zamzla's objections to the hearing they in the control of the contr		2.9 1145.5 [Legal research regarding appeal issues for Zamzla order, conference regarding same; prepare memo regarding statement fo	1382. Review Tampla's objections to hearing on Statement of Decision; discussion with Settling Parties' counsel.	948 Review Zamrzlas' objections to order; conference and correspondence regarding same; le	237	1	848	105	0.4 140 Review Zamrzła objections to Statement of Decision. 3.5 1382.5 Review objections filed by Zamrzłas to Order and prepare strategy for Reply.		2.5 987.5 Finalize research on letter to Zamrzia's counsel regarding payment of fees and costs to Watermaster.	592.5	158	1106	395 Conference regarding post-judgment issues in Zamrzla matter,	Review	oposed order	140		1.5 592.5 Work on Statement of Decision and draft of Proposed Circle in Zamitzia Case. 1.3 105 Closing brief in poposition to Zamizzia's motion to set aside Judgment.	280	560	1.5 592.5 Edit and amend brief as to other Zamrzla parties and review draft brief done by Settling Parties including Public Water Suppliers.		1.8 711 Edit and amend closing brief as to two of the Zamrzla parties and check legal citations; calls with staff regarding board agenda and closed session content.	3.5 1382.5 Edit and amend brief to court on legal position of Johnny Zamrzla Jr. and spouse.		592.5	2.6 910 Draft closing brief in opposition to Zamizia motion to set aside or modify Judgment. 3.7 1295 Draft closing brief in opposition to Zamizia motion to set aside or modify Judgment.	987.5	1050	2.5 987.5 Work on closing brief required by court in Zamrzła, review trial court record.		2 70 Analysis and advice regarding response to Zamrzla Public Records Act request.	
Billed Hours		0	1	2	2 7	0	2	-				E .		4	0		3	4	2	8	2	0	4 (7		2 6		2		0	2		2																			
Bill	0	206760	206760	206760	206760	206760	206760	206760	206760	200700	200700	206760	206760	206099	206099	206099	206099	206099	206099	206099	206099	206099	206099	206099	206099	206099	205421	205421	205421	205421	205421	205421	205421	204701	204701	204701	204701	204701	204701	204701	204701	204701	204701	204701	204701	204701	204701	204701	203996	203996	203996	
Amount	987.5	316	592.5	1106	987.5	316	908.5	750.5	987 5	300.75	1303.3	2172.5	280	1777.5	175	671.5	1382.5	1659	1145.5	1382.5	948	237	1777.5	248	105	1382 5	790	987.5	1382.5	158	1777.5	395	592.5	592.5	140	1225	592.5	280	260	2567.5	1295	2686	1382.5	350	1382.5	1610	987.5	2100	987.5	315	70	
Hours	2.5	0.8	1.5	2.8	2.5	0.8	2.3	1.9	2 2	2.3	2,	5.5	80	4.5	0.5	1.7	3.5	4.2	2.9	3.5	2.4	9.0	4.5	2.4	0.3	3.5	2	2.5	3.5	0.4	4.5	-	1.5	1.5	0.4	3.5	1.5	0.8	1.6	6.5	3.7	6.8	3.5	-	3.5	4.6	2.5	9	2.5	0.9	0.2	
Billed Rate	0	395	395	395	395	395	395	395	305	000	o SS	395	350	395	350	395	395	395	395	395	395	395	395	385	350	350	395	395	395	395	395	395	395	395	350	320	395	350	320	395	350	395	395	350	395	350	395	350	395	350	350	
Matter	00001	00001	00001	00001	00001	0000	10000	00001	00001	0000	1,0000	100001	10000	10000	00001	10000	00001	10000	00001	00001	00001	10000	10000	10000	00001	00001	0000	00001	00001	10000	00001	10000	10000	10000	00001	00001	00001	00001	10000	00001	10000	00001	100001	10000	00001	00001	100001	10000	00001	00001	00001	
Client	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23041	73641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	
Timekeeper	CAP	CAP	TEM	CAP	CAP	CAP	TEM	TEM	0	CAP	Σ Π	CAP	9	CAP	9	TEM	CAP	TEM	TEM	CAP	TEM	TEM	CAP	TEM	93	CG	CAP	GAP	CAP	TEM	CAP	TEM	CAP	CAP	ce	ട്ട	CAP	8 8	50	CAP	SG	CAP	CAP	93	CAP	20 5	CAP	8	CAP	50	8 8	
- 1	09/01/2023		1	1		- 1	08/11/2023	08/08/2023		08/02/2023		08/01/2023	00/04/2022	07/31/2023	07/31/2023	07/31/2023	07/28/2023	07/28/2023	07/27/2023	8202172170	07/26/2023	07/14/2023	07/11/2023	07/10/2023	07/10/2023	07/07/2023	06/15/2023	06/13/2023	06/12/2023	06/12/2023	06/11/2023	06/08/2023	06/01/2023	05/29/2023	05/26/2023	05/19/2023	05/19/2023	05/11/2023	05/10/2023	05/09/2023	05/09/2023	05/08/2023	05/05/2023	05/04/2023	05/04/2023	05/03/2023	05/01/2023	05/01/2023	04/28/2023	04/25/2023	04/12/2023	
Status	Posted	Billed	Billed	Billed	Billed	Billed	Billed	Billed	100	Billed	Pilled	Billed	5,00	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Died.	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	ried	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	

	105] Advice and analysis regarding Zamrzia public records request for fee agreement.	1///; Keturn to office from trial finalize memoration to be defined to be defined by a final trial.	U Property of Internal on Latinizas motions to set also a Journal of the Commission	And A section 149 & nearing by Soom on Latiniza is mounts to set action 149 and 149 an	1922 Attend Day 1 of zamizia nearing by zoom on motor assessment sets a unique for design	5/22.5 Project of and alterial and paint-plate in ago into it callitized that, prepare to tag into.	Fig. 1 repare for Zamizia motion is ore seasor oraginon. 50 Prepare for Zamizia motion is set skide lindment.	2172.5 Prepare for trial in Zamzla; discuss trial with trial counsel; meet with counsel in San Jose to discuss trial. Finalize examination of	Pamella and Johnny Lee Zamrzia.			1777.5 Trial preparation by reviewing deposition transcripts of Zamrzlas and finalizing exhibits for trial-and reviewing 126 exhibits of	1992 Electrical and the facility stiel brief and unck on axemination of Zanzzla natine: discussions with representatives of	13&2.5 (**reparation for Lantizia trial-infailze trial priet and work on examination of Lantizia parties), usuassionis with representatives of Public Water Suppliers as well as representatives of overlying Ex. 4 parties and others. Engage in extensive trial discussions.	140 Prenare for hearing on Zamzija motion to set aside, liidement	920 Personae for hearing on camera meeting and a saide Juddment.	770 Pepagas for hearing on Zamryla motion to set skiple. Hiddenett	1500 Prepare for access-examination of Zamizia witnesses: edit and amend Watermaster Trial Brief, prepare evidence for trial.	70 Prepare for hearing on Zamzia motion to set aside Judgment.		0 Prepare for hearing on Zamrzla motion to set aside Judgment.	592.5 Prepare for Zamrzla trial by assembling exhibits and preparing cross-examination.		350 Prepare for hearing on Zamrzla motion to set aside Judgment; meeting with Settling Parties regarding same.		Prepare for hearing on Zamrzla	315 Prepare for hearing on Zamrzla motion.	987.5 Prepare and edit and amend draft exhibit list for Zamrzla trial.	Prepare for Zamrzla hearing to set aside Judgment.	1106 General trial preparation for hearing on February 17th covering two matters; preparation for March 15-16 trial of Zamrzla's motion to	See a saide Judgment.	of repair or meaning or teaming or teaming meaning earlier in March. 316 Review and edit Shibulation and Order concerning Zemzla trial in March.	592.5 Review edits to trial stipulation with Zamrzla parties; call with coursel for Response and respond to inquiry from staff regarding	Response; finalize memos for Board.	0 Zamrzla motion to set aside judgment.	10st Zamrizia motion to set aside Judgment; meet and contert with opposing counsel.		592.5) Frepare for and participate in Call with Zamfiza's counsel regarding adopting a scheduling dider,, revise supulation and order in creation fried nations.	Hedgauly are preparatives in Legislation and the property of t	54 Prepare for meet and confer with Zamrzla counsel regarding hearing on motions.	486 Zamrzla hearing preparation; meeting with Settling Parties regarding same; meet and confer with opposing counsel regarding same.	1777 F Myork with aroun of Saettino Parties counsel on stipulation for conduct of evidentiary hearing in Zamrzia case; edit Stipulation and	on many groups of saming a factor of saming and saming of saming and saming a saming of saming and saming a saming a saming a saming sa	Participation in Za	378 Zamrzla motions meet and confer process, prepare for hearing.	216 Meet & Confer regarding Zamrzia motion to set aside Judgment; coordination with Settling Parties' coursel regarding same.	592.5 Prepare for call with parties participating in Zamzla trial; lead discussion with counsel on Zamzla trial.			81 Review Zamrzła Motion to set aside Judgment.	54 Research regarding Zamrzla motion to set aside Judgment.	1382.5 Work on Zamrzla trial preparation for evidentiary hearing; organize exhibits for same.		270 Prepare for hearing on Zamrzia motion to set aside Judgment; legal research regarding arguments in opposition to motion; document	Ly updatation. 54 Negotiations with Zamrzia counsel regarding procedures for hearings.	108 Negotiations with Zamrzla counsel regarding hearings on motions.	54 Negotiations with Zamrzla counsel regarding hearings on motions.	378 Hearing logistics regarding Zamazia mortions, discussions with Settling Pantes, opposing courses.	/90 Havingwords of Lamitza's counsies (now they fave La Wirmh representing them) about proposed crianiges to the evidentiary nearing schedule: zoom call with settling parties counse regarding schedule.	Schedule, confined with Security parties counsel regarding motions; hearings and evidentiary stipulations.	articipate in discussion with	amend letter to Zamzida's counsel regarding the amid details of trial.	459 Review opposition to Zamrzia motion to set aside Judgment, prepare for nearing.
F	0.3	Z. C	5 1	0,5	U. C	0.0	1 0	5.5		2.5	6	4.5		, ,	40	200	200	77 7	0.2	3.5	0	1.5	0.7	-	1.5	9.0	6.0	2.5	0.3	2.8	-	0 00	1.5	?	0	0.3	0.2	r.5	1.3	0.2	1.8	4.5	? ř	2.1	1.4	9.0	1.5	0.7	1.5	0.3	0.2	3.5	0.2	-	0.2	0.4	0.2	1.4	N	0.3	2.5	-	1.7
203996	203996	203283	203283	03283	203283	203283	203263	203283		203283	203283	903283	Cocco	203283	003283	203203	203203	203283	203283	203283	203283	203283	203283	202612	202612	202612	202612	202612	202612	202612	07000	202012	202612	71000	202612	202612	202612	202612	202612	201951	201951	201951	200	201951	201951	201951	201951	201951	201951	201951	201951	201251	201251	201251	200531	200531	200531	200531	200531	200531	200531	10000	200531
350 2		2765 2		-	1		210			32				2722		080				1777.5				350					105	2172.5		316				105		1106		70		1777 5		735				245			70	1382.5		270	54			378					429
						Ì						2172.5					ľ	36										6					17							2			<u>:</u>					L		3	2			_	2	4	2	4	2			4	7
	0.3		c.0	֓֟֟֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓	5.5	2.0	0.0	9.5		2.5	u,	5.5		5.6		5 6	2 2	i u	0.0	4.5	0.5		0		3.5	9.0	0.9	2.5	0.0	5.5		5 6	4.5	ŕ	0.1	0.3	0	2.8		0	1.8	4 7	ŕ	2.1	1.4	0.8	4.5	0.7	2.5	0	0.2	Э.	0.2		0.2	0.4	0.2	1.4		0.	3.5	,	-
350	320	395	350	320	320	385	350	395		350	395	395	L	392	350	350	0000	395	350	395	350	395	350	350	395	320	350	395	350	395	C	395	395	?	350	320	320	392	350	270	270	305	CRC C	270	270	270	395	270	395	270	270	395	270	270	270	270	270	270	395	270	395	0.00	270
00001	10000	00001	100001	00001	00001	10000	00001	00001		00001	00001	00001		00001	10000	0000	0000	00001	00001	00001	00001	00001	00001	00001	00001	00001	00001	00001	00001	00001	,	00001	00001	-	00001	00001	00001	00001	00001	00001	00001	100001	0000	10000	10000	10000	00001	00001	00001	00001	00001	10000	10000	00001	00001	00001	00001	00001	10000	100001	10000		00001
23641										23641				23641				23641														23541			3641	23641	3641	3641	3641	3641	23641	1000	- +00	23641	3641	3641	3641	3641	23641	3641	3641	3641	3641	3641	3641	23641	3641	3641	3641	3641	23641	71.00	23641
																				ľ																																											
			Т	1		Т		S S S		3 CG			T	S CAP		-	- 1	- 1	i		1	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	23 CAP		20 5				S S			Т		23 CG	T		23 CG	1				1		ı			22 CG	22	Γ					22 CAP	- 1	22
04/12/2023	04/11/202	03/17/202	03/16/202	03/16/202	03/15/202	03/15/202	03/15/202	03/14/2023		03/13/2023	03/12/202	03/11/202		03/10/2023	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	03/01/00/202	03/03/202	03/06/2023	03/03/202	03/04/202	03/05/202	03/01/202	03/01/202	02/28/202	02/23/202	02/22/202	02/21/202	02/20/202	02/17/202	02/15/2023		02/15/2023	5202/50/20	02/00/20	02/08/202	02/03/2023	02/02/20;	02/01/20;	02/01/202	01/31/202	01/26/2023	04/20/2020	01/20/20	01/20/2023	01/18/2023	01/13/202	01/11/202	01/11/20	01/05/2023	01/04/202	01/03/2023	12/16/20.	12/14/20.	12/09/20	11/28/20:	11/27/2022	11/25/20.	11/23/2022	11/23/20.	11/22/20	11/21/2022		11/21/2022
Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Laid District	Paid		Paid	Paid	Paid		Paid	2.00	L L	raid Sign	T ald	Paid	2 2 2	Pied	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid		0 Fig. 0	Pied	r 20	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	7	т Б	Paid	Paid	Paid	Pied	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid		Paid

27 Prepare for hearing on Zamrzła motion to set aside Judgment.		8 Prepare for hearing on Zamrzla motion to set aside Judgment.	987.5 Review Zamrzla's motion to set aside judgment and review all reply papers.	671.5 Work on enforcement matters; prepare exhibits for trial in Zamrzla.		108 Dispute with Zamrzla counsel regarding filing of Johnny Zamrzla transcript with opposition to motion to set aside Judgment.	1185 Review oppositions to Zamrzla's motion to set aside and unwind the Judgment filed by PWS and Settling Parties; review and edit	Control Samuel a Marian Conferences regarding procedural issues	1382.5 Review Zamrzla case history and transcripts of hearings on their matter in order to respond to their new counsel's claim that our	motion to obtain monetary penalities should not be heard at this time by Judge Komar.	270 Zamrzia motion to set aside Judgment, scheduling hearing dispute with opposing counsel.		592.5] Review and respond to letter from new counsel for the Zamrzla's objecting to putting their deposition transcript in a filing; review inules of court regarding the same.	162 Communications with Zamrzla's counsel regarding opposition to motion to set aside judgment, analysis and advice regarding	167 Work on onoccition to Zamzyla's motion to set aside Judgment.	987 5 Review for any errors and edit before filing oppositions to Zamrzia's motions to set aside indoment.	10	987.5 Work on edits to oppositions to two Zamrzla motions to set aside Judgment.	0 Oppositions to Zamrzla motions to set aside Judgment.	987.5 Extensive correspondence and strategy discussions with landowners' counsel about Zamrzla hearing.	987.5 Prepare for and participate in attorney meeting regarding Zamrzla hearing; edit and amend Request for Judicial Notice and edit	axiloritist, amend oppositorio Bapers. 945 Widnik on onociilions to Zamzila molinos to set asida, luidoment	Work	Work on oppositions to Zamrzla's motions to se	405 Work on oppositions to ZamrZla motions to set aside Judgment.	276.5 Work on responses to correspondence from Zamrzla; review discovery responses of Grimmway Farms to Zamrzla's inquiries.	270 Prepare for and attend call with Johnshie Fydan regarding Landrian apposition is used by a property of a second attendance of a secon	3.24 Carifizia - Pigabari for and attend offsets of the careful and application of the careful and attend offset of the careful and attend of the careful and attend of the careful and attended to the careful and	190 FIGURE A Service Annual Calling and Anguar and Anguar and Anguar and Anguar and Anguar An	316 Review Zamzia motion to set saide judament and review filing and discovery cut off dates.	987.5 Zamrzla: prepare for meeting with rebuttal expert and meet with same who will rebut water use estimates by Zamrzla's expert.	(CD) Parism commons to allowance from 7 america	190 November responsible to the standard denotations by shown to be and Pamella Zamizla.	592.5 Review deposition transcript of Johnny Zamrzla Sr. in preparation for deposition of Johnny Zamrzla Jr.	0 Zamrzla - prepare for and attend meeting regarding litigation and discovery strategy.	592.5 Respond to inquiries from attorneys regarding trial of Zamrzla issues.	Zamrzla deposition and hearing scheduling.	7 Review Zamrzla mo	2962.5 Attend deposition of Johnny Zamrzla and return to office.	1777.5 To Sectamental for Camtrala deposition.	SAC TEPPATE TO ZAMILIZA REPOSITION.	U Cantzal apposition his paga annon.	47.41 Ironton Tour Immigs of Talmanian Computation for Discovery in Zamizia.	592.5 Review 2 revised Stipulations regarding discovery in Zamrzla; review correspondence from and to attorneys regarding Zamrzla's	legal position.	Work on discovery in Zamrzla and work on opposition to their motion to set as	297) Stipulation for briefing and discovery in Zamizlas motions to set aside/modify Judgment.	987/5 [Review draft stipulation with Zamrzlas regarding discovery in advance of August evidentiary hearing; review all KFP's received to	592.5 Determine use of experts at evidentiary hearing before Judge Komar in Zamrzla.	Joint stipulation regarding briefing and o			Giscuss hapating with Same.	1382.5 Review ex parte papers of overlivers and PWS and oppo to ex parte from Zamrzia's new lawyer; prepare for ex parte hearing and	990 (pipostation to Lamitza motions) to set assign de Judgment, attend ex parte hearing fegarding continuance of same.			729 Opposition to Zamrzla motions to modify or set aside Judgment.	1322.5 Zamrzła: Review motion papers and summarize opposition arguments; draft objections to evidence in Zamrzła's motion.
0.1	0.5	0.3	2.5	1.7	1.3	0.4	m	0	3.5		- ,	8.	.5	9.0	90	2.5	0	2.5	0	2.5	2.5	3.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	0.7		77.	7.00	0.8	2.5		5	1.5	0	1.5	0.5		7.5	4.5	2.5	0 5	4.0	1,5		3.5	1.1	2.5	1.5	0.1	0.1	1.5	-	3,5	3.7	3.5	?	2.7	3.5
200531	200531	200531	200531	200531	199764	199764	199764	199764	199764		199764	199764	199764	199764	199764	199764	199764	199764	199764	199764	199764	100764	199764	199764	199764	199764	199010	199010	100010	199010	199010	10000	198235	198235	198235	196572	196572	196572	196572	196572	1965/2	1965/2	195731	195731		195731	195731	195731	195731	194898	194898	194898	40400	194898	194898	194898	000	194898	194898
27	197.5	81	987.5	671.5	351	108	1185	395	1382.5		270	486	592.5	162	163	987.5	135	987.5	54	1382.5	1777.5	1080	987.5	405	540	276.5	270	324	108	316	987.5	Ę	1075	790	162	592.5	135	297	4937.5	1777.5	98/.5	2 5	178	592.5		1382.5	297	987.5	592.5	27	27	592.5	12/2	1382.5	666	1382 5	2005.	729	1382.5
0.1	0.5	0.3	2.5	1.7	1.3	0.4	m	-	3.5			1.8		9.0	90	2.5	0.5	2.5	0.2	3.5	4.5		2 5	1.5	2	0.7	- (1.2	4.0	2.0	2.5		t u	2 2	9.0	1.5	0.5	1.1	12.5	4.5	2.5	0.2	Z. 1 O	1.5		3.5	1:1	2.5	1.5	0.1	0.1	1.5	7.0	3. 5.	3.7	5.2	?	2.7	3.5
270	395	270	395	395	270	270	395	395	395		270	270	395	270	07.6	305	270	395	270	395	395	טבני	395	270	270	395	270	270	2/0	395	395	Loc	305	395	270	395	270	270	395	395	395	270	395	395		395	270	395	395	270	270	395	300	395	270	270	200	270	395
100001	00001	00001	10000	10000	10000	00001	00001	100001	00001		00001	00001	00001	00001	00001	00001	00001	00001	00001	00001	00001	10000	00001	00001	00001	00001	00001	00001	10000	10000	00001	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	0000	00001	00001	00001	10000	10000	10000	00001	10000	100001	00001	00001		00001	10000	00001	00001	00001	00001	00001	10000	00001	00001	10000		00001	10000
23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641		23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	22541	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641		73641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641		23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	23641	17900	23641	23641	23641	7304	23641	23641
SG	CAP	ട്ട	CAP	CAP	50	90	CAP	TEM	CAP		ဗ္ဗ	ce	CAP	50	ď	240	3 8	CAP	93	CAP	CAP	Ų	200		8	CAP	8	9	5 6	T 0	CAP		200	CAP	OG.	CAP	၁၀	၁	CAP	CAP	CAP	9	45	CAP	;	CAP	ဌ	CAP	CAP	8	8	CAP	14.14	CAP	5	S C C	<u>}</u>	ce	CAP
11/18/2022	11/08/2022	11/08/2022	11/07/2022	11/01/2022	10/27/2022	10/24/2022	10/19/2022	10/18/2022	10/18/2022		10/18/2022	10/17/2022	10/15/2022	10/14/2022	10/12/2022	10/12/2022	10/11/2022	10/11/2022	10/07/2022	10/06/2022	10/05/2022	10/05/0000	10/03/2022	10/04/2022	10/03/2022	10/01/2022	09/29/2022	09/27/2022	09/26/2022	09/19/2022	09/06/2022	0000	2202/23/202	08/16/2022	08/11/2022	06/14/2022	06/14/2022	06/07/2022	06/03/2022	06/02/2022	06/01/2022	06/01/2022	05/11/2022	05/10/2022		05/09/2022	05/06/2022	05/05/2022	05/03/2022	04/29/2022	04/28/2022	04/28/2022	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	04/19/2022	04/19/2022	04/18/2022	04/13/2022	04/15/2022	04/14/2022
Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid		Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Pico	Pied.	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	7	Pigd	Pired	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid		D all	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	מונים	Paid pied	:	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	Paid	3	Day C	Paid	Paid	p g	Paid	Paid

	23641	10000	395	4.5	1777 5	194898	4.5	1777. Spream for conference call with attorneys filing opposition to Zamizla motion; call with same.
1	23641	00001	270	1.5	405	194898	1.5	405 Opposition to Zamrzla motions to set aside Judgment.
L	23641	00001	395	3.5	1382.5	194898	3.5	1382.5 Review voluminous documents produced by Zamrzla's new counsel for evidentiary hearing.
1	23641	00001	270	9.0	162	194898	9.0	162 Opposition to Zamrzla motion to set aside Judgment.
1	23641	10000	395	2.5	987.5	194898	2.5	987.5] Review Zamrzia's motion to elude court jurisdiction.
1	23641	10000	270	6.0	243	194898	6.0	243 Opposition to Zamrzła motion regarding jurisdiction and small pumper class status.
١,	23641	10000	395	2.6	1027	194898	2.6	1027 Zamzla: Prepare for hearing by reviewing our motion and Zamrzla's opposition thereto.
۱	23641	10000	395	2.5	987.5	194898	2.5	987.5] Review Long Valley motion and Court decision and integrate same into Zamrzla Court hearing presentation.
1	23641	10000	270	0.5	135	194898	0.5	135 Prepare for opposition to Zamrzła motion to challenge small pumper class status.
g	23641	10000	270	6.0	243	194898	6.0	243 Zamrzla - prepare for opposition to motion to prove-up small pumper class status.
a,	23641	00001	395	3.5	1382.5	194010	3.5	1382.5 Prepare for evidentiary hearing in Zamrzla; review Zamrzla opposition to our motion and all declarations and prepare cross- lexamination questions for declarants that we assume Zamrzla will attempt to present at evidentiary hearing.
٩	23641	00001	395	3.1	1224.5	194010	3.1	1224.5 Prapare opposition to Zamrzla's motion claiming Court has no jurisdiction over them.
8	23641	00001	270	1:1	297	194010	1.1	297 Zamrzła - prepare for opposition to motion to establish Small Pumper Class status; telephone call with Mr. Parton and John Calandri recarding same.
l,n	23641	10000	270	2	540	194010	2	540 Zamrzia - prepare for and attend Zamrzia motion hearing; prepare for opposition to Small Pumper Class motion.
ĮΞ	23641	10000	395	0.3	118.5	194010	0	0 Conference regarding Zamrzla hearing and future handling
ဗ္ဗ	23641	10000	270	1	270	194010	1	270 Zamrzla settlement negotiations, responses to proposed orders from Zamrzla counsel and Landowner Counsel.
٩	23641	00001	395	4.5	1777.5	194010	2.5	987.5 Prepare objections to Zamrzlas proposed Order; prepare for hearing with Judge Komar; conference with landowner counsel and review of alternate order regarding the Zamrzlas
0	23641	00001	270	1.2	324	194010	1.2	324 Prepare and submit Status Report to Court regarding Zamrzia settlement negotiations, proposed order.
ဗ္ဗ	23641	00001	270	0.8	216	194010	8.0	216 Zamrzla - review and respond to opposing counsel's edits to Stipulation.
6	23641	00001	270	0.4	108	193183	0.4	108 Zamzla - settlement negotiations and litigation strategy.
٥	23641	00001	270	1.2	324	193183	1.2	324 Zamrzla - discussions with counsel for SCI regarding Small Pumper Class status; draft proposed order after hearing on motion.
ဖြွ	23641	10000	270	-	270	193183	1	270 Prepare for and attend hearing on Zamrzla motion; prepare revisions to Stipulation per Court's direction at hearing.
O	23641	10000	270	0.2	54	193183	0	0 Zamrzla settlement negotiations.
ဗ္ဗ	23641	00001	270	0.5	135	193183	0.5	135 Finalize status update to court regarding Zamrzla settlement update; discussion with Watermaster Engineer regarding same.
g	23641	10000	270	-	270	193183	-	270 Draft status update to Court regarding Zamrzla settlement negotiations.
AP	23641	00001	395	1.8	711	193183	1.8	711 Edit Case Management Conference statement to court; draft response to Zamrzlas' brief.
AP.	23641	00001	395	2.5	987.5	193183	2.5	987.5 Work on drafting of revised settlement agreement in Zamrzla; draft status update for Judge Komar in Zamrzla.
ပြွ	23641	00001	270	1.4	378	193183	1.4	378 Settlement negotiations with Zamrzla counsel; draft revisions to Stipulation for settlement regarding same.
AP	23641	10000	395	0.8	316	193183	0.8	316 Review responses to enforcement letters; correspondence with Zamrzlas counsel for settlement.
ي	23641	100001	270	0.3	81	193183	6.0	81 Zamrzla - settlement negotiations, prepare for up∞ming hearing.
ΑP	23641	00001	395	2.5	987.5	192203	2.5	987.5] Work on preparation for court hearing on Zamrzla and review correspondence from their counsel.
AP	23641	10000	395	1.5	592.5	192203	1.5	592.5 Draft status report on Zamrzla motion for Court.
AP	23641	10000	395	2.5	987.5	192203	2.5	987.5 Edit and amend settlement stipulation with Zamrzla's counsel.
TEM	23641	00001	395	9.0	237	192203	9.0	237 Review and revise stipulated settlement with Zamrzlas; conference regarding same.
TEM	23641	00001	395	0.5	197.5	192203	0.5	197.5 Prepare stipulation regarding settlement of Zamrzla matter.
ဗ္ပ	23641	10000	270	1.7	429	192203	1.7	459 Zamrzla - draft Stipulation for settlement.
SAP	23641	10000	395	2.5	987.5	192203	2.5	987.5 Prepare for and engage in discussions with counsel for Zamrzla per Court's December 10th Order, prepare stipulation.
ဗ္ဗ	23641	00001	270	0.7	189	192203	0.7	189 Zamrzla - review hearing transcript, discuss settlement options with opposing counsel, draft same.
TEM	23641	10000	395	2.5	987.5	190695	2.5	987.5
g	23641	10000	270	3.3	891	190695	3.3	891 Zamrzla - prepare reply brief; correspondence with Small Pumper Class Counsel regarding same.
g	23641	00001	270	3	810	189750	8	810] Zamrzla - prepare for hearing with Judge Komar regarding evidentiary hearing, draft reply to opposition.
AP	23641	10000	395	2.5	987.5	189750	2.5	86
ပ္ပ	23641	00001	270	0.5	135	189750	0.5	135 Zamrzla - review opposition to Motion for collection of RWAs, generate reply thereto, correspondence with Watermaster Engineer
-				-		0.11.007		007
g	23641	10000	270	0.4	201	188/20	4.0	108
-			100	ď		032007	c	110c Militals assessment attended for Zamerla who is seeking continuance of hearing respond to each: correspond with Court

\$144,350.00

481.5 \$178,701.00

PROOF OF SERVICE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA 3 I am employed in the County of Santa Barbara, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen (18) and not a party to the within action. My business address is 200 East Carrillo Street, 4 Fourth Floor, Santa Barbara, California 93101.

On October 11, 2023, I served the foregoing document described WATERMASTER'S REVEWED MOTION FOR MONETARY, DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AGAINST ZAMRZLAS; REQUEST TO SET HEARING; DECLARATION OF CRAIG A. PARTON; EXHIBITS A & B on all interested parties in this action by placing the original and/or true copy.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

5

1

- BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE: I posted the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara X County Superior Court Website @ www.scefiling.org and Glotrans website in the action of the Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases.
- (STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that x the foregoing is true and correct.
- (FEDERAL) I hereby certify that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

Executed on October 11, 2023, at Santa Barbara, California.

Signature

Elizabeth Wright

28