

I. INTRODUCTION

This motion constitutes yet another attempt by the Zamrzlas to avoid their obligations under the Judgment to pay Replacement Water Assessments ("RWAs") for the water they extracted in 2018 and to install flow meters on their wells to measure their pumping. When in September 29, 2021 the Watermaster filed a motion to compel the Zamrzlas to comply with these obligations, the Zamrzlas responded by filing a motion to set aside the Judgment; they further demanded that their motion be adjudicated before the Watermaster's motion was heard. Although their motion was unsuccessful, the Zamrzlas succeeded in delaying the enforcement of the Judgment for two years, during which time they have continued to extract water from the Basin without metering and without paying RWAs.

After the Court denied the Zamrzlas' attack on the Judgment, the Watermaster renewed its motion to compel the Zamrzlas to install flow meters and to pay RWAs for the water they have admittedly extracted ("Renewed Motion"). The Renewed Motion is currently scheduled to be heard on November 17, 2023. As before, the Zamrzlas have responded by filing a countermotion, this time seeking to stay enforcement of the Judgment on the ground that they have filed an appeal of the Court's June 9, 2023 order ("Order") denying their motion to set aside the Judgment.

The Zamrzlas' request for a stay is meritless and should be denied. Contrary to the Zamrzlas' motion, their appeal of the Order does not stay enforcement of the Judgment. It is well established that the appeal of a post-judgment order denying a motion to set aside or vacate a judgment does not stay the enforcement of the underlying judgment. In this case, the Zamrzlas are not appealing the Judgment; they are only appealing the Order denying their motion to set aside the Judgment. As a matter of law, the Judgment is not stayed by the Zamrzlas' appeal of the Order.

The Zamrzlas have also failed to identify any persuasive grounds for the issuance of a discretionary stay. The Watermaster has already indicated in its moving papers that it will forebear from enforcing the monetary component of the order requested in the Renewed Motion. The Zamrzlas consequently are unable to identify any unfair prejudice resulting from the Court

ruling on the Renewed Motion. In contrast, the Watermaster will be unfairly prejudiced if the 1 Court delays ruling on the Renewed Motion until after the appeal of the Order is completed. If 2 the appeal of the Order is unsuccessful, and the Court then proceeds to rule on the Renewed 3 Motion, the Zamrzlas will be able to appeal that ruling, causing a second very considerable delay (approximately eighteen months on average for each appeal) in the enforcement of the Judgment. 5 II. 6

THE JUDGMENT IS NOT AUTOMATICALLY STAYED BY THE ZAMRZLAS' APPEAL OF THE ORDER DENYING THEIR MOTION TO VACATE

The Zamrzlas' first argument in support of the requested stay is that their appeal of the Order denving their motion automatically stays the enforcement of the Judgment. This is legally incorrect. "If an appeal is taken from an order after final judgment [citation] but not from the judgment itself, a stay of the appealed order does not stay the underlying judgment [citation]." (People v. American Surety Co. (2019) 31 Cal.App.5th 380, 393; see also Miller v. Gross (1975) 48 Cal.App.3d 608, 612-613 [appeal from denial of motion to vacate judgment did not stay enforcement of the underlying judgment]; Eisenberg et al., Cal. Practice Guide: Civil Appeals and Writs (The Rutter Group 2022) ¶7.269 p. 7-77.)

In this case, the Zamrzlas have only appealed the Order denying their motion to set aside the Judgment. They have not appealed the Judgment itself. Because the appeal of a post-judgment order does not stay enforcement of the underlying judgment, the Zamrzlas' appeal of the Order does not affect the enforceability of the Judgment. The Court instead is fully empowered to grant the relief requested in the Watermaster's Renewed Motion.

The Zamrzlas' motion for stay makes no attempt to dispute or distinguish the above authorities holding that the appeal of a post-judgment order does not stay the enforcement of the underlying appeal. The Zamrzlas instead simply cite a century-old case, In re Estate of Waters, (1919) 181 Cal. 584, for the general proposition that the filing of an appeal divests the trial court of jurisdiction. (Motion at pp. 3-4.) In re Estate of Waters did not address the issue presented here and does not support the Zamrzlas' position.¹

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

¹In re Estate of Waters instead holds that "where . . . a separate appeal from an order on a motion for a new trial is authorized, the perfection of an appeal from the judgment or order in the

1 2

3 4

5

6 7

8

1011

12 13

1415

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

2728

III. THE COURT SHOULD DENY THE ZAMRZLA'S REQUEST FOR A DISCRETIONARY STAY

Implicitly recognizing that their appeal of the Order does not automatically stay the Judgment, the Zamrzlas proceed to request that the Court issue a discretionary stay of the Judgment during the pendency of their appeal. The Court should deny this request (1) because staying enforcement of the Judgment would unfairly prejudice the Watermaster, and (2) because the Zamrzlas have not shown that they will suffer any unfair prejudice in the absence of the requested stay.

1. The Requested Stay Would Unfairly Prejudice The Watermaster

The Watermaster will suffer unfair prejudice if the Court grants the requested stay because it will enable the Zamrzlas to sequentially appeal the Order denying their motion to set aside the Judgment, which they are doing now, and then, approximately eighteen months later, when that appeal is finally completed, file a separate appeal of the Court's ruling on the Renewed Motion, causing a second approximately eighteen-month delay while the second appeal is being heard. In contrast, if the Court denies the requested stay and rules immediately on the Watermaster's Renewed Motion, any appeal of that order by the Zamrzlas can be consolidated with the Zamrzlas' current appeal, which has not yet progressed to the briefing stage. The court of appeal could then review both rulings at the same time (though of course the appellate court will not review the ruling on the Renewed Motion if it reverses the Order). Appellate review of both orders at the same would be more economical and would save considerable time. If both orders (the Order denying the Zamrzlas' motion to set aside the judgment and the order on the Renewed Motion) are appealed at the same time, the total time for appellate review will be approximately eighteen months. On the other hand, if the Court grants the requested stay and does not rule on the Renewed Motion until the completion of the Zamrzlas' current appeal, the total period for appellate review will be approximately thirty-six months, because Zamrzlas will be able to

main proceeding does not divest the court of jurisdiction to hear and determine the motion." (*Id.* at p. 587.) This holding underscores the fact that in many circumstances the appeal of a judgment or order will not stay proceedings relating to a different order.

separately appeal the ruling on the Renewed Motion after the completion of the first appeal. This is an unacceptable delay which can easily be avoided by proceeding with a ruling on the Renewed Motion, thereby compelling the Zamrzlas to pursue any appeal of that ruling at the same time as their appeal of the Order denying their motion to set aside the Judgment.

2. The Zamrzlas Will Not Be Unfairly Prejudiced If the Court Grants the Renewed Motion

In contrast to the clear prejudice that will be suffered by the Watermaster if the Renewed Motion is stayed, the Zamrzlas are unable to demonstrate any unfair prejudice to them if the requested stay is denied.

As the Zamrzlas' acknowledge, the Watermaster has stated in its Renewed Motion that it will not enforce the monetary component of the order it seeks against the Zamrzlas. The Zamrzlas nevertheless argue, however, that allowing the Watermaster's Renewed Motion to go forward will cause them to incur attorney fees in connection with discovery related to the Renewed Motion. This assertion is meritless. The Watermaster's Renewed Motion seeks RWAs based solely on the Zamrzlas' self-reported pumping records which they submitted to the Watermaster. As set forth in Exhibit A to the declaration of Patricia Rose in support of the Watermaster Motion, the Zamrzlas submitted documentation to the Watermaster dated March 18, 2019, stating that they had pumped cumulatively 93.75 acre-feet (AF) of water from their properties in 2018--75.29 AF from the J&P property, and 18.46 AF from the J&J property.

The Watermaster's Renewed Motion seeks to recover the past-due RWAs for 2018 based solely on these self-reported numbers. The Zamrzlas have never disputed these numbers since the Watermaster filed its original Motion on September 29, 2021. Since the Watermaster's motion is based solely on the Zamrzlas' own numbers, there is no need for the Zamrzlas to propound discovery on the Watermaster. Likewise, the Watermaster does not need to propound any discovery on the Zamrzlas, because it has already agreed to accept the Zamrzlas' self-reported numbers.

Given the absence of any harm to the Zamrzlas, and the very considerable probability that 1 granting the stay would delay enforcement of the Judgment to the harm of the Watermaster and the 2 Basin, the Court should deny the request for a discretionary stay. 3 **CONCLUSION** IV. 4 For all of the foregoing reasons, the Court should deny the Zamrzlas' motion for stay in its 5 entirety and proceed to rule on the Watermaster's Renewed Motion. 6 Respectfully submitted, 7 8 Dated: November 3, 2023 PRICE, POSTEL & PARMA LLP 9 10 11 CRAIG A. PARTON TIMOTHY E. METZINGER 12 **CAMERON GOODMAN** 13 Attorneys for Antelope Valley Watermaster 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

DECLARATION OF CRAIG A. PARTON

I, CRAIG A. PARTON, declare as follows:

- 1. I am a partner in the law firm of Price, Postel & Parma LLP ("PPP"), counsel of record for Antelope Valley Watermaster ("Watermaster") herein. I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth below and if called as a witness could testify competently thereto.
- 2. I have served as the principal attorney responsible for providing general counsel and litigation services to the Watermaster since November 2017, and I have been intimately involved in the ongoing dispute with the Zamrzlas related to enforcement efforts to collect delinquent RWAs, interest thereon, and costs and fees.
- 3. On March 4, 2022, from 9:00 a.m. 9:32 a.m., the Court held a remote hearing on the Watermaster Motion. I attended the March 4, 2022 hearing via teleconference. Attached hereto as Exhibit "A" are true and correct copies of excerpts from the transcript of the March 4, 2022 hearing on the Watermaster Motion, which excerpts are relevant to the Watermaster's Renewed Motion and referenced therein.
- 4. Attached hereto as Exhibit "B" is a true and correct copy of our firm's billing ledger detailing all time entries for fees billed for this matter for the period of time from November 4, 2021 through September 1, 2023, which totals \$144,350.00. Additional attorneys' fees in the amount of \$3,325.00 (5 hours of partner time at \$395 per hour, and 5 hours of associate time at \$270 per hour) are estimated for the period of September 19, 2023 through the time of the hearing on the instant motion. Therefore, the Watermaster seeks a total of \$147,675.00 in attorneys' fees related to efforts to collect the Zamrzlas' RWAs.
- 5. Throughout PPP's representation of the Watermaster on this matter, the hourly rate billed to the Watermaster reflected PPP's public agency rates. The public agency rates reflect an approximate 25% to 34% reduction in our customary rates.
- 6. The Zamrzlas could and should have paid the RWAs they owed pursuant to the clear terms of the Judgment rather than delaying the inevitable. The attorneys' fees incurred by the Watermaster in seeking to recover the RWAs owed by the Zamrzlas were necessary in order to protect against the substantial harm that would be caused to the Basin if the Zamrzlas were allowed

PRICE, POSTEL & PARMA LLP SANTA BARBARA, CA

1 2	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
3	
4	Coordination Proceeding)
	Special Title (Rule 1550(b)))
5) LASC Case No.
) BC325201
6	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER)Santa Clara Court Case
	CASES,) Case No. 1-05-CU-049053
7)
)
8)
	AND ALL RELATED ACTIONS.)
9)
	Defendants.)
10)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	REPORTER'S TELEPHONIC
17	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
18	BEFORE THE HON. JACK KOMAR
19	Friday, March 4, 2022
20	
21	Reported by:
	JOANNA BROADWELL
22	CSR No. 10959
23	Job No. 5116883
24	
25	PAGES 1 - 27
	Page 1

1 2	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
3	TOR THE COUNTY OF HOS ANGILLES
4	Coordination Proceeding)
	Special Title (Rule 1550(b)))
5) LASC Case No.
)BC325201
6	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER)Santa Clara Court Case
	CASES,) Case No. 1-05-CU-049053
7)
)
8)
	AND ALL RELATED ACTIONS.)
9)
	Defendants.)
10)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	Reporter's Telephonic Transcript of
20	Proceedings taken remotely before HON. JACK KOMAR
21	beginning at 9:00 a.m. and ending at 9:32 a.m. on
22	Friday, March 4, 2022, before JOANNA BROADWELL,
23	Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 10959.
24	
25	
	Page 2

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	For County Sanitation Districts Nos. 14 and 20 of Los
4	Angeles County:
5	ELLISON SCHNEIDER HARRIS & DONLAN
6	Christopher M. Sanders, Esq.
7	2600 Capitol Avenue, Suite 400
8	Sacramento, CA 95816
9	(916) 447-2166
10	info@eslawfirm.com
11	
12	For Antelope Valley Watermaster:
13	PRICE POSTEL & PARMA
14	Craig Parton, Esq.
15	Cameron Goodman, Esq.
16	200 E. Carrillo St., Suite 400
17	Santa Barbara, CA 93101-2190
18	(805) 962-0011
19	cap@ppplaw.com
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 3

1	Continued Appearances - Page 2)
	Conclinated Appearances - rage 2/
2	
3	For Cross-defendant and Respondent Antelope Valley-East
4	Kern Water District:
5	RICHARDS WATSON & GERSHON
6	Stanley Powell, Esq.
7	350 S. Grand Ave., 37th Floor
8	Los Angeles , CA 90071
9	jmarkman@rwglaw.com
10	
11	For Cross-defendants and Respondents Littlerock Creek
12	Irrigation District, Palm Ranch Irrigation District,
13	Desert Lake Community Services District, North Edwards
14	Water District, Llano Del Rio Water Company, Llano
15	Mutual Water Company, Big Rock Mutual Water Company and
16	Quartz Hill Water District:
17	OLIVAREZ MADRUGA LEMIEUX O'NEILL
18	Nathan Chen, Esq.
19	500 S. Grand Ave., 12th Fl
20	Los Angeles, CA 90071
21	(213) 744-0099
22	nchen@omolaw.com
23	
24	
25	
	Page 4

```
Continued Appearances - Page 3)
1
2
     For SCI California Funeral Services, Inc.:
3
    GRESHAM SAVAGE NOLAN & TILDEN
4
    Marlene Allen, Esq.
5
     550 E Hospitality Ln., Suite 300
6
7
     San Bernardino, CA 92408-4205
     (909) 890-4499
8
9
     For Bolthouse Properties LLC/Wm. Bolthouse Farms:
10
11
     ZIMMER & MELTON
12
    Richard G. Zimmer, Esq.
     11601 Bolthouse Drive, Suite 100
13
     Bakersfield, CA 93311
14
15
     (661) 463-6700
16
     rzimmer@zimmermelton.com
1.7
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                                  Page 5
```

ſ	
1	Continued Appearances - Page 4)
2	
3	For Johnny Zamrzlas, Pamella Zamrzlas, Johhny Lee
4	Zamrzlas and Jeanette Zamrzlas, (collectively
5	"Zamrzla's"):
6	ROBERT H. BRUMFIELD LAW OFFICES PC
7	Robert Brumfield III, Esq.
8	1810 Westwind Drive, Suite 100
9	Bakersfield, CA 93301
10	(661) 215-4980
11	bob@brumfieldlawgroup.com
12	
13	For Cross-defendant and Respondent Los Angeles County
14	Waterworks District No. 40:
15	BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP
16	Wendy Y. Wang, Esq.
17	300 S Grand Ave., 25th Floor
18	Los Angeles, CA 90071
19	(213) 787-2554
20	Wendy.wang@bbklaw.com
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page C
	Page 6

1	(Continued Appearances - Page 5)
2	
3	For Cross-defendant and Respondent Los Angeles County
4	Waterworks District No. 40:
5	BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER & SCHRECK
6	Bradley Herrema, Esq.
7	2049 Century Park E., Suite 3550
8	Los Angeles, CA 90067
9	(310) 500-4600
10	
11	For Cross-defendant and Respondent:
12	FENNEMORE CRAIG
13	Byrin A. Romney, Esq.
14	4900 California Avenue, Suite 210B
15	Bakersfield, CA 93309
16	(661)716-3000
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 7
	rage /

```
1
     Continued Appearances - Page 6)
2
     For Cross-defendant and Respondent U.S. Borax, Inc.:
 3
     KUHS & PARKER
 4
     Robert G. Kuhs, Esq.
 5
     1200 Truxtun Ave., #200
 6
 7
     Bakersfield, CA 93301
     (661) 322-4004
 8
10
     For Cross-defendant and Respondent Palmdale Water
     District:
11
     BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP
12
13
     Thomas S. Bunn III, Esq.
     Lagerlof LLC
14
     301 N. Lake Avenue, Suite 1100
15
16
     Pasadena, California 91101
17
     (626) 535-1900
18
19
     Also Present: J. Ukkestad, John Zanrzlas and Rowena
20
21
     Walker.
22
2.3
24
25
                                                    Page 8
```

1	Friday, March 4, 2022
2	9:00 a.m.
3	REPORTER'S VIDEOCONFERENCE TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
4	THE COURT: Good morning. This is Judge Komar.
5	This is in the matter of the motion by the Watermaster
6	concerning the Zamrzlas. And I have received proposed
7	orders from Mr. Parton, Mr. Brumfield and a proposed
8	order from what purports to be from the landowner
9	parties and the settling parties who are proposing also
10	a proposed order here.
11	So Mr. Parton and Mr. Brumfield, is there
12	anything further that either of you want to add before
13	we hear from the other parties?
14	MR. PARTON: Your Honor, Craig Parton for the
15	Watermaster. We saw the proposed order from the
16	landowner parties and think that it's a very
17	constructive proposal. So if the Court wants to
18	consider, that the Watermaster's view is that we have no
19	objection to it. Our order sought to capture this
20	Court's direction provided back on February 18th if not
21	earlier than that in terms of how to resolve the matter.
22	And we think Mr. Brumfield's order for a number of
23	reasons is not sufficient.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Let me just interrupt by

telling you everybody is very faint on this line for

some reason. And I think what you just said, 1 2 Mr. Parton, is that you have no objection to the landowner's recommended proposed order; is that correct? 3 4 MR. PARTON: That is correct, Your Honor, and several problems with Mr. Brumfield's proposed order. 5 We don't think that it takes into account what this 6 7 Court directed back on February 18th. There is no meet and confer process for other interested parties. 8 9 The Watermaster is not allowed to take a position 10 on the motion. We think that's inappropriate. And he wants four months to file a motion, and then if he 11 doesn't we're back to filing the motion and arguing 12 again the same things we have been arguing for four 13 months. So we think for those reasons --14 15 THE COURT: Let me make an observation here. seems to me that we're right back where we were at the 16 first hearing when it was obvious to me that there was a 17 18 dispute by the respondents to the contention that they 19 were a small pumper class. And I indicated at that time that if they felt 2.0 that way there were several options, but one of them was 21 a motion or a petition to the Court to modify that 22 23 finding. Because it is a very clear to me that there 2.4 was a finding that at least two of the parties were 25 members of the small pumper class. And that limits the

amount of pumping that they can do and increases,
obviously, the amount of cost to them in the event that
there is a finding that they are pumping in excess of
the amount that they are entitled to.

And if that happens to be the case then the people who are pumping have to determine what their pumping status is. And the proper way to do that, obviously, is by filing an evidentiary motion, a motion that will be supported by evidence so that the Court can make a finding as to what their historic entitlement might be.

Then they have to then go to the next step and negotiate a reduction if they wish to be a part of the stipulated settlement. And so far none of that has happened. The motion was continued. It was not denied. It was not taken off calendar. And I don't intend to deny the motion by the Watermaster at this time pending the appropriate either agreement if they can reach such an agreement with both the Watermaster and the landowner parties, the stipulating parties, or they can then file whatever appropriate motions they wish to to modify the judgment in terms of their status.

And I think that is essentially, as I read quickly, the landowner's proposed order of what is in place. And it seems to me that at this point the burden

really is on by Mr. Brumfield's clients to move to do something other than to permit the Court to make a finding that their small pumper class and that their replacement water assessment is going to be based upon that kind of an entitlement. So I would be happy to hear from anybody else that wishes to address this issue.

MR. BRUMFIELD: Your Honor, this is

Mr. Brumfield. Mr. Parton and I are the only two

parties actually to this motion. Back on December 10

you suggested along the lines of what you were just

saying. And basically the parties stipulate the court

has conferred jurisdiction. That is fine. We are not

disputing that. And we've had to invoke a procedure to

determine the amount of the entitlement.

You know, regardless of the failed efforts to try to wordsmith those two simple concepts of what is now a five and six-page long stipulation it seems as though we both, Watermaster and the Zamrzlas, agreed to that concept. Whether early determining the amount of entitlement starts off with a motion to modify your findings, which is what the Court is wanting, and that is fine, I think that is probably okay with us.

And I was just thinking as an alternative to this issue before the hearing, before the Court's comments in

regards to the Watermaster's motion of not denying it today or ruling on it today, perhaps we could hold that motion in abeyance and just leave it on calendar until such time as the Zamrzla's motion is filed and just track along with whatever dates we're doing without it being ruled upon and no further briefing argument really necessary -- we've already done that -- and just let it track along.

2.1

2.5

The Zamrzlas aren't going to sit around.

Mr. Parton makes the claim, oh, they sat around idly.

I'll just remind the Court that the Watermaster sat around and did nothing for at least a year before they filed this motion. And the pleadings clearly showed that parties didn't just sit around. There were many, many conversations trying to work things out. So that is sort of a bit of an exaggeration.

But I think as to the simple concepts we're in agreement. It's just been difficult trying to wordsmith something that everybody can agree. And obviously lawyers see different meanings in different words, and we're just not able to come together on this lengthy stipulation.

I think the OSC process is also inappropriate.

That is not something that's ever been contemplated without the landowners -- excuse me, the landowner's

order and I think the settling parties so-called filed and settled order. That's not been contemplated at all in the process in the last two and a half months between the Watermaster and the Zamrzlas. I don't think it is, quite honestly, properly before the Court.

2.1

THE COURT: Well, I disagree with you. I think that the proposed landowner's proposed order is amicus curiae. And they are parties here, and there is no question that any kind of order that I make has to deal with the fact that there are multiple other parties pumping in this aquifer and that they have a right to be heard.

So as far as I am concerned the central point here is this. The order -- I'm sorry -- the motion by the Watermaster is pending. It's not been denied. The Court offered Counsel an opportunity to try to restructure the position that their clients -- that your clients have, Mr. Brumfield, with regard to their pumping rights in this valley. But that has to occur with a motion and/or a settlement among all the parties.

And there is no way that the Watermaster can stipulate to a specific amount. And if I ever said that it was -- I was misspeaking. He does not have that power. But that means there has to be a finding based upon evidence as to what the entitlement is.

1	of what the Zamrzlas commit to do. And if they will
2	commit in 45 days to file a motion essentially
3	challenging their status as small pumper class members
4	that moves the ball along.
5	THE COURT: I think that is appropriate. I think
6	that is appropriate. I think Mr. Brumfield agrees
7	that's another way of doing it. So let's let me see if
8	I can
9	MR. BRUMFIELD: I'm sorry.
10	THE COURT: Say that again?
11	MR. BRUMFIELD: I'm saying this is
12	Mr. Brumfield I think we can probably stipulate to
13	that in the next couple or three days if the Court would
14	prefer that.
15	THE COURT: Well, I think we should have a
16	hearing, and you should have an opportunity to establish
17	what your pumping rights are.
18	MR. BRUMFIELD: Yes.
19	THE COURT: And I think if we set that for the
20	20th, and that means you are going to have to present
21	both some authorities as well as some evidence to
22	support your position, then we can make a ruling at
23	least tentatively as to what your entitlement might be
24	as well as give Mr. Parton an opportunity then to
25	without having to refile his motion establish what the

1	current assessment should be. Does anybody have any
2	objection to that?
3	MR. BUNN: Your Honor, this is Thomas Bunn for
4	Palmdale Water District.
5	THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Bunn.
6	MR. BUNN: That proposal that had been called the
7	landowner's proposal did have a one public water
8	supplier, namely my client, subscribing to it. But I
9	would like it to be clear whether we're actually going
10	to be trying or trying to settle the amount of the
11	entitlement in this initial phase. Our thought was that
12	we would first settle whether Mr. Brumfield's clients
13	are appropriately remaining in the small pumper class or
14	whether, in fact, they might be entitled to more of that
15	rather than trying to figure out the amount of the "more
16	of that" which proved in an earlier case when we did
17	that, that was quite a complex procedure to try and come
18	up with an amount.
19	So it was the landowner's thought and my thought
20	that we would first determine whether he would stay in
21	the non in the small pumper class.
22	THE COURT: Well, at this point they are in the
23	small pumper class. There is no question about that.
24	At least two of them are.
25	MD RIINN. Piaht

THE COURT: It seems to me that if they wished to 1 modify the judgment to exclude them from that status, 2 that's going to require a formal hearing with notice and 3 4 obviously some evidentiary proof to establish that this was a mistake. And equity has the power to deal with 5 6 that. And I would invite Counsel, Mr. Brumfield, to 7 provide as much in support of his client's position as 8 he can and to do that by the 20th. And if you don't do 9 that, the next consequence is going to be that I am 10 going to make a finding that the assessment is going to 11 12 be based upon the replacement water assessment in excess 13 of the small pumper class entitlement. And I don't think that's in your client's best 14 15 interest at this point if what they are saying is true. 16 So that's up to you, Mr. Brumfield. And I was trying to see if there was a way we could save your client's time 17 18 and effort and attorneys' fees by entering into some soft of an agreement with the Watermaster and others so 19 20 that that was not going to be a problem. Now the other thing that I think you all 21 remember --22 Your Honor, you cut out there. 23 MR. BRUMFIELD: THE COURTCALL OPERATOR: Hello. 24 This is the

CourtCall operator. Please stay on the line one moment.

1	So at this point I think what we need to do is
2	reset this matter. I will set it for April 20th. I
3	wish you well with your surgery, Mr. Brumfield, and see
4	where we go from there. But I expect that the parties
5	will have a substantial agreement as to a number of
6	these issues by the 20th with notice to me so that I
7	know what I am going to be dealing with at that time.
8	And if you could give me notice more than one day prior
9	to the hearing that would be helpful.
10	MR. PARTON: Your Honor, what will occur on
11	April 20th? Is Mr. Brumfield being directed to file a
12	motion to modify the judgment to not be included in the
13	small pumper class by that date?
14	THE COURT: Well, yes, he should. And let me put
15	it this way. As I said to you earlier, the evidence
16	that I have before me establishes they are members of
17	the small pumper class. And that means that the
18	replacement water assessment would be predicated upon
19	pumping in excess of the allocated amounts for that
20	class so that if Mr. Brumfield and his clients wish to
21	not have that assessment, they need to file appropriate
22	papers.
23	MR. PARTON: And the approved those papers
24	would be filed before April 20th?
25	THE COURT: Absolutely.

line with us?
THE COURT: Unfortunately you were breaking up.
I couldn't hear what you said.
MR. BUNN: This is Mr. Bunn. She was asking for
appearances. It was the reporter asking for
appearances.
THE COURT: Okay. CourtCall should have that
list of everybody who signed in. I think Mrs. Walker
has it.
MS. WALKER: Your Honor, I'll provide it to the
reporter. This is Rowena Walker.
THE COURT: All right. Anything else we need to
deal with here this morning?
MR. BRUMFIELD: This is Mr. Brumfield. I don't
think so.
THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. I
will be looking to read your motion, and we'll talk to
you on May the 3rd.
MR. PARTON: Is that at 9:00 a.m., Your Honor?
Craig Parton for the Watermaster.
THE COURT: Yes. Okay. Thank you very much
everybody. We're adjourned.
(TIME NOTED: 9:32 a.m.)
Page 26

1 I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, do hereby 2 3 certify: That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place herein set forth; 5 that any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, 6 7 prior to testifying, were administered an oath; that a record of the proceedings was made by me using 8 machine shorthand which was thereafter transcribed 9 under my direction; that the foregoing transcript is 10 11 a true record of the testimony given. Further, that if the foregoing pertains to 12 the original transcript of a deposition in a Federal 13 14 Case, before completion of the proceedings, review of the transcript [] was [] was not requested. 15 I further certify I am neither financially 16 interested in the action nor a relative or employee 17 of any attorney or any party to this action. 18 19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date 20 subscribed my name. Dated: 03/07/2022 21 22 23 24 JOANNA BROADWELL CSR No. 10959 25

Charles Marie Halles - See Charles Marie Charles Cha	Analysis and advice regarding respon	0.2	196	70 203996		0.2	350	00001	23641	CG	04/12/2023	Paid
Distribution	Review first of closing briefs by Zami	2				3	395	00001	23641	CAP	1 0	Paid
Distribution	315 Chasing briefs regarding Zemzia litigation.	0.5				2.2	350	00001	23641	CAP	04/28/2023	Paid
Diabet Transcriptor Claim Diabet Marie Paris Transcriptor Claim Diabet Marie Mar	1000 Drait opposing closing brief in Zamrzia motions to modify or set aside Judgment.	n c.	701			200	350	00001	23641	CG	05/01/2023	Paid
	987.5 Review dosing briefs of Zemrzies and amend responsive outline.	2.5	701			2.5	395	00001	23641	CAP	05/01/2023	Paid
	1295 Draft closing brief in opposition to Zamrzla motion to set aside or modify Judgment,	3.7	701			5.7	350	00001	23641	CG	05/02/2023	Paid
	910 Draft closing brief in opposition to Zamrzla motion to set aside or modify Judgment.	2.6				4.6	350	00001	23641	CG	05/03/2023	Paid
Diabata Timescope Clark Marker	592.5 Edit and amend closing brief as to Johnny and Pamella Zamrzla per court order.	1.5	701		_	3.5	395	00001	23641	CAP	05/04/2023	Paid
		0	701		یې		350	00001	23641	CG	05/04/2023	Paid
		3.5	701			3.5	395	00001	23641	CAP	05/05/2023	Paid
District	closed session content.								E-SOUT I	02	03/00/2020	i did
Colonia Colo	711 Edit and amend closing brief as to two of the Zamrzla parties and check legal citations; calls with staff regarding board ag	1.8			Ţ	6.8	395	00001	23641	CAP	05/08/2023	Doid
Colori	QAS Fallt closing briefs in connection to Zentzla motions to set aside. Indoment	27	01			27	350	20001	22644	3	OFFICE	
District	592.5 Edit and amend brief as to other Zamrzia parties and review draft brief done by Settling Parties including Public Water St.	5				6.5	395	00001	23641	CAP	05/09/2023	Paid
Colonia Colo	560 Finalize closing brief in opposition to Zamrzia motions to set aside Judgment.	1.6				1.6	350	00001	23641	CG	05/10/2023	Paid
Decision Principles Scient Marier Biad risks Principle Colori	280 Finalize closing prief in opposition to Zamrzia motions to set aside Judgment.	0.8				0.8	350	00001	23641	CG	05/11/2023	Paid
Diable Transheeper Colant Marker Eliad Anale Fluxes Amount Colant	105 Closing brief in opposition to zamrzia's motion to set aside Judgment.	0.3				0.3	350	00001	23641	CG	05/12/2023	Paid
Directors Colored Co	Work on Statement of Decision and	1.5				1.5	395	00001	23641	CAP	05/19/2023	Paid
Deciding Transheeper Colant Marker Estad Analis Fullis Amount Colon	Mode Cities regarding zamizia	2.1				0.0	330	00001	23641	CG	05/19/2023	Paid
Directory Colored Co	140 jnevnew Admikla replies w objektivnis w modens is sed seida Indament	1.4				0.4	350	00001	23641	200	05/26/2023	Paid
Dale TimeReeper Client Matter House House Amount Dale	Lamizia's motion to set aside the Ju	2		T			250			3		
Debate TimeReeper Client Matter	592.5 Review proposed order and edit the same and consider submitting it to Court as draft order for court to consider to deny ti	1.5				1.5	395	00001	23641	CAP	05/29/2023	Paid
Dale TimeReeper Client Matter Hours Amount Dale Client Matter Dale	discuss ruling of Court on Zamrzla with several attorneys for Settling Parties.											
Dale Time-Resident Clark Matter Hours Amount Dale	987.5 Review Judge Komar's 16-page decision denying the Zamzlas' motions to set aside the Judgment transmit decision to B	2.5				1.5	395	00001	23641	CAP	06/01/2023	Paid
District Timekeeper Clarkt District	395 Conference regarding post-judgment issues in Zamrzla matter; legal research regarding same.	_4	21		35	_	395	00001	23641	TEM	06/08/2023	Paid
Dale Timekeeper Clark Matter Hours Hours Amount Dale One O	for Zamrzła regarding attornevs fee	0.0				4.5	395	TOOO	23641	CAP	06/11/2023	Paid
Date Timekeeper Calent Matter Billed Halls Hours Amount Date Date Timekeeper Calent Matter Date	Collespondence with Zamilzias regi	2.0		T		0.4	282	1,000	23641	IEM	06/12/2023	Paid
Object Timekeeper Clark Name Billed Half Hours Fromount mill Challed Load OB/00/20/23 CAP 23841 00001 395 0.8 316 208780 0.8 316 208780 0.8 316 208780 0.8 316 208780 0.8 316 208780 0.8 316 208780 0.8 316 208780 0.8 316 208780 0.8 316 208780 2.8 1108 308 1.8 316 208780 2.8 1108 208780 2.8 1108 208780 2.8 1108 208780 2.8 316 208780 2.8 316 208780 2.8 316 208780 2.8 316 308 318 208780 2.8 336 2.2 208780 2.2 3987.5 208780 2.3 3935 2.2 3987.5 208780 2.3 3935 2.2 3987.5 208780 2.3 3935 2.2 <td>Correspondence with Zamralae regarding post-</td> <td>2 5</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>2 5</td> <td>305</td> <td>0000</td> <td>23041</td> <td>CAT</td> <td>06/12/2023</td> <td>Paid</td>	Correspondence with Zamralae regarding post-	2 5				2 5	305	0000	23041	CAT	06/12/2023	Paid
Obles Timekeeper Clark Name Billed Halfs Hours Formount cmill Chilled Color 08/50/2023 CAP 23641 00001 395 0.8 316 206780 0.8 316 206780 0.8 316 206780 0.8 316 206780 0.8 316 206780 0.8 316 206780 0.8 316 206780 0.8 316 206780 0.8 316 206780 1.5 592.5 206780 1.5 592.5 206780 2.5 987.5 206780 2.5 987.5 206780 1.5 592.5 206780 1.5 592.5 206780 1.5 592.5 206780 1.5 592.5 206780 1.5 592.5 206780 1.5 592.5 206780 1.5 592.5 206780 1.5 592.5 206780 1.5 592.5 206780 1.5 592.5 206780 1.5 592.5 206780 1.5 592.5 2	Research fee entitlement for Watermaster from	7 0			Ţ	27.0	205	00001	23641	CAR	06/13/2023	Paid
Dale Timekesper Client Marker Hole of the provinciance Composition Amount Dale Client Marker Hole of the provinciance Amount Dale Common of the provinciance	Session on Admizia maker.	Л			T	3 71	205	20001	220	2		:
Date Timekeeper Client Matter Billed Halfs Hours Amount Date Client Matter Billed Halfs Hours Amount Date Common of the part	790 Work on Statement of Decision issues and review order that is deemed Statement of Decision in Zamrzia; pripapare for Camrzia; pripapa	2	121			N	395	00001	23641	CAP	06/15/2023	Paid
Date Timekeeper Cilent Matter House Hours Amount Duil Duil <td>1382.5 Review objections filed by Zamrzlas to Order and prepare strategy for Heply.</td> <td>3.5</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>3.5</td> <td>395</td> <td>00001</td> <td>23641</td> <td>CAP</td> <td>07/06/2023</td> <td>Paid</td>	1382.5 Review objections filed by Zamrzlas to Order and prepare strategy for Heply.	3.5				3.5	395	00001	23641	CAP	07/06/2023	Paid
Date Timekeeper Cilent Matter House House House Amount Duil Suc. Duil Duil Suc. Duil Suc. Duil Duil </td <td>140) Review Zamrzla objections to Statement of Decision.</td> <td>0.4</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.4</td> <td>350</td> <td>00001</td> <td>23641</td> <td>ca</td> <td>07/07/2023</td> <td>Paid</td>	140) Review Zamrzla objections to Statement of Decision.	0.4				0.4	350	00001	23641	ca	07/07/2023	Paid
Date Timekeeper Cilent Matter Huid Halis Hours Amount puil Dello Dott Cilent Matter Huid Halis Hours Amount puil Dello Dot Amount Amount Dello Dot Amount Amount Dello Dot Amount Amount Dello Dot Amount	10b Strategy regarding reply to Zamrzia objections to request for statement of decision.	0.3				0.3	350	00001	23641	CG	07/10/2023	Paid
Date Timekeeper Cilent Matter Billed Halts Hourts Amount Billed Halts Hourts Amount Bill Julia Flours Billed Halts 08/30/2023 CAP 23641 00001 2.5 987.5 0.8 316 206780 0.8 316 08/15/2023 CAP 23641 00001 395 1.5 592.5 206780 1.5 592.5 08/15/2023 CAP 23641 00001 395 2.5 97.5 206780 2.8 1106 08/15/2023 CAP 23641 00001 395 1.5 992.5 206780 0.8 316 08/15/2023 CAP 23641 00001 395 1.5 992.5 206780 0.8 316 08/11/2023 CAP 23641 00001 395 2.5 987.5 206780 1.2 474 08/01/2023 CAP 23641 00001 395 5.5 2172.5 206780	to statement of decision; legal research regarding same; conferences and emails regarding same.											
Date Timekeeper Cilent Matter Billed Halfs Hourts Amount Billed Halfs Hourts Amount Billed Halfs Hourts Billed Halfs Billed Halfs <th< td=""><td>Review request to deem order a stat</td><td>2.4</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>2,4</td><td>395</td><td>00001</td><td>23641</td><td>TEM</td><td>07/10/2023</td><td>Paid</td></th<>	Review request to deem order a stat	2.4				2,4	395	00001	23641	TEM	07/10/2023	Paid
Date Timekeeper Client Matter Hours Hours Matter Hours Amount mill billed Hours 060/01/2023 CAP 23641 00001 395 0.8 316 206760 0.8 316 08/15/2023 CAP 23641 00001 395 1.5 592.5 206760 1.5 392 08/15/2023 CAP 23641 00001 395 1.5 592.5 206760 2.8 1106 08/15/2023 CAP 23641 00001 395 1.5 592.5 206760 2.8 1106 08/17/2023 CAP 23641 00001 395 1.5 592.5 206760 0.8 316 08/17/2023 CAP 23641 00001 395 1.5 592.5 206760 0.8 316 08/02/2023 TEM 23641 00001 395 2.5 987.5 206760 1.2 474 08/07/2023	Review and comment on Zamrzla's	4.5	9		17	4.5	395	00001	23641	CAP	07/11/2023	Paid
Date Timekeeper Client Matter Hous Hous Matter Hous Amount billed Hous 0600120232 CAP 23641 00001 00001 395 0.8 316 206760 0.8 316 08/17/2023 CAP 23641 00001 395 1.5 592.5 206760 1.5 592.5 08/17/2023 CAP 23641 00001 395 2.8 1106 206760 2.8 1106 08/17/2023 CAP 23641 00001 395 2.5 987.5 206760 2.8 1106 08/17/2023 CAP 23641 00001 395 1.5 592.5 206760 1.5 592.5 08/17/2023 CAP 23641 00001 395 1.5 592.5 206760 1.2 987.5 08/07/2023 TEM 23641 00001 395 2.5 987.5 206760 2.2 790.5 08/07/2023	Conferences and correspondence regarding Zamrzlas' appeal.	0.6		7		0.6	395	00001	23641	TEM	07/14/2023	Paid
Date Timekeeper Client Matter Build Halis HOURS Amount Build Hours	Review Zamrzlas' objections to order; conference and correspondence regarding same;	2.4				2.4	395	00001	23641	TEM	07/26/2023	Paid
Date Timekeeper Client Matter Build Halis HOURS Amount Build Hours	Review Zamrzla's objections to hearing on Statement of Decision, discussion with Settli	3.5			_	3.5	395	00001	23641	CAP	07/27/2023	Paid
Date Timekeeper Client Matter Hours Amount billed Hours	decision issues.					7.0	S	00001	23041	ICM	0/12/12023	Paid
Date Timekeeper Client Client Matter Build Halis HOURS HOURT AMOUNT ON THE POURS HIRD FOUR DOORS BUILD FOUR DOORS COME TO THE POUR DOORS BUILD FOUR DOORS BUILD FOUR DOORS BUILD FOUR DOORS DOOR TO THE POUR DOORS BUILD FOUR DOORS BUILD FOUR DOORS DOOR TO THE POUR DOORS BUILD FOUR DOORS DOOR TO THE POUR DOORS	Same. 11AS II see arch reparding appeal issues for Zamrda order; conference reparding same; prepare memo reparding statements.	Ī		Τ	Ī	3	200	2000	200			,
Date Timekeeper Client Client Matter Billed Halts HOURS AMOUNT DIII Billed Hours Billed Hours Bille	1659 Continue legal research regarding Zamrzla's objections; prepare memorandum regarding same; emails and conferences	4.2				4.2	395	00001	23641	TEM	07/28/2023	Paid
Date Timekeeper Client Matter Builed Halfs HOURS Amount Duil Builed Hours	they in fact requested.						0	00001	1 4002	2	01/20/2023	raid
Date Timekeeper Client Matter Billed Halfs HOURS Amount Dill Billed Frount Billed Frount 060/12/2023 CAP 23641 00001 935 0.8 9316 206760 0.8 316 08/13/2023 CAP 23641 00001 395 1.5 592.5 206760 0.8 316 08/17/2023 CAP 23641 00001 395 1.5 592.5 206760 1.5 592.5 08/17/2023 CAP 23641 00001 395 2.5 987.5 206760 2.8 1106 08/17/2023 CAP 23641 00001 395 1.5 592.5 206760 2.5 987.5 08/17/2023 CAP 23641 00001 395 1.5 592.5 206760 0.8 316 08/17/2023 TEM 23641 00001 395 2.3 908.5 2.0 20760 0.8 316 08/07	<u>Dioposed order on collections.</u> 1382 5 Work on response to Zamtzla's new position on the appeal they filed and formulate response to Zamtzla's objections to t	ח			T	a n	305	2000	220044	2	COCCOCCE	
Date Timekeeper Client Matter Billed Halte PUUIS Amount Billed Flouris	671.5 Conferences and correspondence regarding hearing on objections to statement of decision for Zamrzla order; review and					1.7	395	00001	23641	TEM	07/31/2023	Paid
Date Timekeeper Client Matter Billed Halte PUUIS Amount Billed Frouris	175 Strategy meeting with co-counsel regarding Zamrzla appeal, statement of decision, etc.	0.5				0.5	350	00001	23641	CG	07/31/2023	Paid
Date Timekeeper Client Matter Builed Halfs HOURS Amount Duil Builed Flours Builed Flours Builed Flours Builed Flours Builed Flours Builed Flours Dool 10 mg Color floor Date Builed Flours Duil	Statement of Decision.						S	00001	1 4007	CAT	0//31/2023	Paid
Date Timekeeper Client Matter Billed Halfs Hours Amount billed Frours	UlAttend hearing on Zamizia appeal and statement of decision; strategy with counsel for certaing matter and converting				T	0.8	350	00001	23641	CG	08/01/2023	Paid
Date Timekeeper Imekeeper Celent Celent Matter Matter Billed Halb HOURS FAMOUR AMOUNT DIEST OND BILL FOURT DIEST OND BILL FOURT DIEST OND BILL FOURT DIEST OND DIEST OND<		,										
Date Timekeeper Client Matter Billed Halte HOURS Amount Billed Frours Billed Frours 08/01/20/23 CAP 23641 00001 987.5 987.5 0.0 0.8 316 08/30/20/23 CAP 23641 00001 395 0.8 316 206760 0.8 316 08/15/20/23 TEM 23641 00001 395 1.5 592.5 206760 2.8 116 206760 2.8 316 08/15/20/23 TEM 23641 00001 395 2.8 1106 206760 2.8 1106 206760 2.8 1106 206760 2.8 1106 206760 2.8 1106 206760 2.8 1106 206760 2.8 1106 206760 2.8 1106 206760 2.8 1106 206760 2.8 1106 206760 2.8 317 206760 2.8 317 206760 2.8 316 206760 2.	hearing and attend the same on behalf of the Watermaster; prepare report for client on results; review Court's final decisi					0.0	380	נטטט	23641	CAP	08/01/2023	Billed
Date Timekeeper Imekeeper (Client Client Matter (April 2004) Matter (April 2004) Build Habit (April 2004) Amount (April 2004) Build Habit (April 2004) Matter (April 2004) <th< td=""><td>legarding same; correspondence regarding same. 1909 El Courses with content of the part o</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>201</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	legarding same; correspondence regarding same. 1909 El Courses with content of the part o						201					
Date Timekeeper Client Matter Billed Halfs HOURS Amount DIII Billed Flours Billed Flours Billed Flours Billed Flours Billed Flours Billed Flours Dilled Flours Billed Flours Dilled Flours Billed Flours Dilled Flours <	alternate proposed order denying motion regarding statement of decision; conferences regarding same; review court's int											
Date Timekeeper Client Matter Billed Halts Amount billed rolars 08001/2023 CAP 23641 0001 0 0 0 08730/2023 CAP 23641 00001 395 0.8 316 206780 0.8 08/18/2023 TEM 23841 00001 395 1.5 592.5 206780 1.5 08/17/2023 CAP 23841 00001 395 2.8 1106 206780 2.8 08/17/2023 CAP 23641 00001 395 2.8 1106 206780 2.8 08/17/2023 CAP 23641 00001 395 2.8 1106 206780 2.8 08/17/2023 CAP 23641 00001 395 1.5 592.5 206780 2.8 08/17/2023 TEM 23641 00001 395 1.5 395.5 206780 1.5 08/17/2023 TEM 23641 00001	790 Conferences and correspondence regarding protection of record for Zamrzla appeal; legal research regarding same; prep	N		1		3.3	395	00001	23641	TEM	08/02/2023	Billed
Date Timekeeper Cilent Matter Billed Halts Amount Dilled Amount Dilled Halts Dilled Halt	174 (Work on collection issues as to interest and attorneys fees recoverable from Zamrzias.	1.2				2.5	395	00001	23641	CAP	200/20/80	Rillad
Date Imakeaper Client Matter Blied Halb Amount Dilled Amount Dilled Halb Dilled Halb Amount Dilled Halb Dilled Halb Amount Dilled Halb Dilled Halb Dilled Halb Dilled Halb Dilled Halb Dilled Halb Dill	Review Zamrzla's designation of the	1.9				1.9	395	00001	23641	TEM	08/08/2023	Billed
Date Timekeeper Client Matter Billed Halts Hours Amount billed rours	alternate order; continue preparation of index to appeal appendix.											Cinoa
Date Imake-per Cilent Matter Billed Halte Hours Amount client Hours billed Hours client Hours	908.5 Review Zamrzla's proposed order denying statement of decision; conferences and correspondence regarding same; reviews	2.3			9	2.3	395	00001	23641	TEM	08/11/2023	Billed
Date Timekeeper Client Matter Billed Halte Hours Amount client Hours	316 Zamrzla: Review draft order of June 9th and consider submitting competing order.	0.8				0.8	395	00001	23641	CAP	08/11/2023	Rilled
Date Timekeeper Cilent Matter Billed Hate Hours Amount client Hours billed Hours		1.5				1.5	395	00001	23641	CAP	08/15/2023	Rilled
Date Timekeeper Client Matter Billed Hate Hours Amount client Hours billed Hours	987.5 Review history of motion for monetary relief against the Zamrzlas and create timeline.	2.5				27.0	305	00001	23641	200	00/16/2023	Dilleu
Date Imakesper Client Matter Billed Hate Hours Amount client routs billed routs billed Hate 08/01/2023 CAP 23641 00001 0 0.25 987.5 0 0.8 316 Zemrzla: Review court order regard 08/30/2023 CAP 23641 00001 395 0.8 316 25750 0.8 316 Zemrzla: Review court order regard 08/30/2023 CAP 23641 00001 395 0.8 316 25750 0.8 316 Zemrzla: Review court order regard 08/30/2023 CAP 23641 00001 395 0.8 316 Zemrzla: Review court order regard 08/30/2023 CAP 23641 00001 395 0.8 316 Zemrzla: Review court order regard 08/30/2023 CAP 23641 00001 395 0.8 316 Zemrzla: Review court order regard 08/30/2023 CAP 23641 00001 395 0.8 316 Zemrzla: Review court order regard	Description description to appear to place to the property of	28 5				3.5	305	00001	23641	CAD I	08/17/2023	Billed
Date Timekeeper Client Matter Billed Hate Hours Amount client client client client client client 06901/2023 CAP 23641 00001 0 2.5 987.5 0<	Tecoyeting Costs from Zamizias.	ח			Ī	2	000	2001	200	1		
Date Timekeeper Client Matter Billed Hate Hours Amount billed Hours	316 Zamrzla: Review court order regarding deeming June 9th order as a Statement of Decision; determine impact on appeal	0.8				0.8	395	00001	23641	CAP	08/30/2023	Billed
Date Timekeeper Client Matter Billed Hate Hours Amount bill billed Hours billed Hours billed Hours	0 Zamrzla: Prepare amendment to motion to recover costs.	0	0			2.5	0	00001	23641	CAP	09/01/2023	Posted
Dillo		+	Billed Hours	Bill	Amount	Hours	Billed Rate	Matter	Client	Timekeeper	Date	Status

1382.5 [Zamrzla: Review motion papers and summarize opposition arguments; draft objections to evidence in Zamrzla's motion.		5 194898	1382.5	3.5	395	00001	23641	CAP	04/14/2022	Paid
Opposition to Zamrzla motions to r	98 2.7		729	2.7	270	00001	23641	CG	04/15/2022	Paid
1362.b) Frepare for and conduct meeting with Pw's and overlying night notices were oppose the Jamzda's new attorney; discuss ex parte effort brought by PWS and overlyers to continue hearing on jurisdiction; multiple conversations with counsel for Exhibit 3 and 4 parties.		5 194898	1382.5	.u.	395	00001	23641	CAP	04/15/2022	Paid
1404 Opposition to Zamrzia motion to set aside Judgment.	98 5.2	Ι.	1404	5.2	270	00001	23641	CG	04/18/2022	Paid
898 Opposition to Zamrzla motions to set aside Judgment; attend ex parte hearing regarding continuance of same.			999	3.7	270	00001	23641	CG	04/19/2022	Paid
lock. Die review as, palie palyers on overlyers and trivo and opposite and an include stress interpretation of palies and a control and a cont	0.0	080461	1362,3	3.5	CRS	רטטטטו	23641	CAP	04/19/2022	Paid
Conferences regarding strategy ar			1343	3.4	395	00001	23641	TEM	04/20/2022	Paid
discuss hearing with same.						0000	2007	2	04/20/2022	T GIL
592.5 Review stipulation for continuance of hearing in Zamrzla; review multiple correspondence from counsel in Zamrzla matter and			592.5	1.5	395	00001	23641	200	CCUCIBCINO	Paid
27 Zamrzia Stipulation regarding briefing schedule and discovery.		Ì	27	0.1	270	00001	23641	200	04/28/2022	Paid
Joint stipulation regarding briefing			27	0.1	270	00001	23641	CG C	04/29/2022	Paid
592.5 Determine use of experts at evidentiary hearing before Judge Komar in Zamrzla.	31 1.5	5 19573	592.5	1.5	395	00001	23641	CAP	05/03/2022	Paid
So, a) heriew dials subuladoli widi Zatilizias iegaliniigi discovery ili auvatica oi Auglus, eriberiliay i isaniiigi review ali toti is siscolived to defer	2.5	0 185/31	967.5	2,5	Sec	00001	23641	CAP	05/05/2022	Paid
227) Subjuditori to unreining dire discovery in contract of Section descriptions of A forest entire and the section of the contract of the con			167	n	205	00001	23641	CG	05/06/2022	Paid
1062.5) įvoik oli discovely ir zairiizla aliu voix oli oplosimoli io triai inducti io sec saida judginarii.		I	1382,5	3.0	395	רטטטטו	23641	CAP	05/09/2022	Paid
Mork on discourant in Zameria and		Ī	1202	0	200		200			
592.5 Review 2 revised Stipulations regarding discovery in Zamrzla; review correspondence from and to attorneys regarding Zamrzla's	31 1.5	19573	592,5	1.5	395	10000	23641	CAP	05/10/2022	Paid
158 Correspondence from counsel for PWS regarding Stipulation for Discovery in Zamrzla.		8 195731	158	0.4	395	00001	23641	CAP	05/11/2022	Paid
474 Review of court filings by Zamrzla.	31 1.2	4 195731	474	1.2	395	00001	23641	CAP	05/27/2022	Paid
0 Zamrzla deposition preparation.	72 0	196572	54	0.2	270	00001	23641	CG	06/01/2022	Paid
987.5 Prepare for Zamrzla deposition.	72 2.5		987.5	2.5	395	00001	23641	CAP	06/01/2022	Paid
1777.5 To Sacramento for Zamrzla deposition.			1777.	4.5	395	00001	23641	CAP	06/02/2022	Paid
2962.5 Attend deposition of Johnny Zamrzla and return to office.	72 7.5		4937.5	12.5	395	00001	23641	CAP	06/03/2022	Paid
297 Review Zamrzla motion to set aside Judgment litigation strategy, discovery,		7 196572	29	1.1	270	00001	23641	CG	06/07/2022	Paid
135 Zamrzla deposition and hearing scheduling.	72 0.5		135	0.5	270	00001	23641	CG	06/14/2022	Paid
			592.5	1.5	395	00001	23641	CAP	06/14/2022	Paid
U Lamrzia - prepare for and attend meeting regarding litigation and discovery strategy.			162	0.6	270	00001	23641	ca	08/11/2022	Paid
592.5 Review deposition transcript of Johnny Zamrzia Sr. in preparation for deposition of Johnny Zamrzia Jr.	_		790	2	395	00001	23641	CAP	08/16/2022	Paid
1975 Prepare for and attend depositions by zoom of Johnny Lee and Pamella Zamrzia.	35		1975	U1	395	00001	23641	CAP	08/17/2022	Paid
168 Review responses to discovery from Zamrzłas.	0		158	0.4	395	00001	23641	CAP	08/23/2022	Paid
									of the second	
			987.5	2.5	395	00001	23641	CAP	09/06/2022	Paid
316 Review Zamrzia motion to set aside judgment and review filing and discovery cut off dates.			316	0.8	395	00001	23641	CAP	09/19/022	Paid
1382.5 Review Zamrzla motion to set aside and prepare cross-examination questions for senior Zamzla.			1382.5	3.5	395	00001	23641	200	09/20/2022	Paid
la expert counsel n		199010	108	0.4	270	00001	23641	3 6	22021/2160	7410
Zamrzla - prepare for and attend dis	10		304	10.	270	00001	14067	200	2202162160	Pald
270 Prepare for and attend call with Jenifer Ryan regarding Zamrzla opposition strategy.	1	199010	271	,	070	00001	226.41	3	00000000	
2/b.5 WOK On responses to correspondence from zemizia; review discovery responses of cariminary natins to zemizia s inquines.	64 0./	199/64	2/6.5	0.7	395	00001	23641	CAP	10/01/2022	Paid
		Γ	540		2/0	10000	23641	CG	10/03/2022	Paid
405 (Work on oppositions to Zamizia's motions to set sales Judgment. 1/16 [More to a propositions to Zamizia's price as cost exide Judgment.			405	1.5	270	00001	23641	CG	10/04/2022	Paid
SEZ.5 (Work on edits to opposition to Zamizia's motion to set aside Judgment; assemble exhibits to same.			987.5	2.5	395	00001	23641	CAP	10/04/2022	Paid
la mo	3.5		1080	4	270	00001	23641	CG	10/05/2022	Paid
exhibit list; amend opposition papers.										
987.5 Prepare for and participate in attorney meeting regarding Zamrzla hearing; edit and amend Request for Judicial Notice and edit			1777.5	4.5	395	00001	23641	CAP	10/05/2022	Paid
987.5 Extensive correspondence and strategy discussions with landowners' counsel about Zamrzla hearing.	2		1382.5	SO E	395	00001	23641	200	2202110101	Palu
Oppositions to Zamrzla motions to sa	64	199764	54	0.0	270	00001	23641	3 5	220211101	Palo
QR 5 (Morek on editions to have Zamozla motions to set aside. Indoment			087	3.0	205	00001	23641	S	10/11/2022	Paid
errors and edit bero	54 2.5	T.	5.786	2.5	395	00001	23641	CAP	10/12/2022	Paid
Work on opposition to Zamrzla's mo			162	0.6	270	00001	23641	CG	10/12/2022	Paid
disputes over law and motion.			-	0.0	270	0000	2304	ç	10/14/2022	Taio
Tules of court regarding the same. 162 Communications with 2-aprilate coursel reparding concession to motion to set aside judgment analysis and advice regarding		199764	183	0 0	0770	00001	20044	3	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	
592.5 Review and respond to letter from new counsel for the Zamrzla's objecting to putting their deposition transcript in a filing; review	64 1.5	199764	592.5	1.5	395	00001	23641	CAP	10/15/2022	Paid
486 Work on Zamrzla's motion to set aside Judgment.	64 1.8		486	1.8	270	00001	23641	CG	10/17/2022	Paid
270 Zamrzla motion to set aside Judgment, scheduling hearing dispute with opposing counsel.	64 1	199764	270	-1	270	00001	23641	CG	10/18/2022	Paid
1302.3 (review Admidde days insur) and uchsulps of health 30 striner makes in other to respond to their may continue out insured to the continue of the contin	3.5	199764	1382.5	٥.٥	395	10000	23641	CAP	10/18/2022	Paid
			395	2 -	395	00001	23641	TEM	10/18/2022	Paid
correspondence to Zamrzia's counsel.										1
1185 Review oppositions to Zamrzia's motion to set aside and unwind the Judgment filed by PWS and Settling Parties; review and edit	54		1185	ω!	395	00001	23641	CAP	10/19/2022	Paid
108 Dispute with Zamrzla counsel regarding filling of Johnny Zamrzla transcript with opposition to motion to set aside Judgment.			108	0.4	270	00001	23641	3 6	72021/2/01	Pald
o / i.3 work of enforcement inatters, prepare extribute to unan in zeninzas. 35/1 Zenorzle's motions to set seide or motify. Indoment	1.7	100764	0.1.0	1./	395	00001	23641	CAP	11/01/2022	Paid
987.5 Review Zamrzie's motion to set aside judgment and review all reply papers.	2.5		987.5	2.5	395	00001	23641	CAP	11/07/2022	Paid
81 Prepare for hearing on Zemrzla motion to set aside Judgment.		0	81	0.3	270	00001	23641	CG	11/08/2022	Paid
197.5 Respond to new attorney who represents Zamrzlas, Respond and do background of that attorney.	31 0.5	200531	197.5	0.5	395	00001	23641	CAP	11/08/2022	Paid
27 Prepare for hearing on Zamrzla motion to set aside Judgment.	31 0.1	٦	27	0.1	270	00001	23641	20	11/18/2022	Paid

										Grand Totals:
1106 Multiple communications with attorney for Zamrzla who is seeking continuance of hearing; respond to each; correspond with Court regarding continuing the hearing date on Zamrzla due to health issues with Brumfield's clients.	2.8	189750	1501	3.00	395	00001	23641	CAP	11/04/2021	Paid
108 Review Zamrzla opposition to RVVA motion.	0.4	189750	108	0.4	270	00001	23641	വ	11/15/2021	Paid
regarding same.	c.	06/80	190	U.S	270	00001	23641	CG	11/16/2021	Paid
Review Lamrzia opposition to Motion	2.5	189750	987.5	2.5	395	00001	23641	CAP	11/16/2021	Paid
810 Zamrzla - prepare for hearing with Judge Komar regarding evidentiary hearing, draft reply to opposition.	3 3	189750	810	ω	270	00001	23641	CG	11/29/2021	Paid
891 Zamrzla - prepare reply brief; correspondence with Small Pumper Class Counsel regarding same.	3.3	190695	891	3.3	270	00001	23641	CG	12/01/2021	Paid
987.5 Prepare reply brief for Zamrzlas motion; conferences regarding same.	2.5	190695	987.5	2.5	395	00001	23641	TEM	12/01/2021	Paid
189 Zamrzla - review hearing transcript, discuss settlement options with opposing counsel, draft same.	0.7	192203	189	0.7	270	00001	23641	CG	01/06/2022	Paid
987.5 Prepare for and engage in discussions with counsel for Zamrzla per Court's December 10th Order; prepare stipulation.	2.5	192203	987.5	2.5	395	00001	23641	CAP	01/06/2022	Paid
459 Zamrzla - draft Stipulation for settlement.	1.7	192203	459	1.7	270	00001	23641	CG	01/12/2022	Paid
197.5 Prepare stipulation regarding settlement of Zamrzla matter.	0.5	192203	197.5	0.5	395	00001	23641	TEM	01/13/2022	Paid
237 Review and revise stipulated settlement with Zamrzlas; conference regarding same.	0.6	192203	237	0.6	395	00001	23641	TEM	01/14/2022	Paid
987.5 Edit and amend settlement stipulation with Zamrzla's counsel.	2.5	192203	987.5	2.5	395	00001	23641	CAP	01/14/2022	Paid
592.5 Draft status report on Zamrzla motion for Court.	1.5	192203	592.5	1.5	395	00001	23641	CAP	01/19/2022	Paid
987.5 Work on preparation for court hearing on Zamrzla and review correspondence from their counsel.	2.5	192203	987.5	2.5	395	00001	23641	CAP	01/24/2022	Paid
81 Zamrzla - settlement negotiations, prepare for upcoming hearing.	0.3	193183	81	0.3	270	00001	23641	CG	02/04/2022	Paid
316 Review responses to enforcement letters; correspondence with Zamrzlas counsel for settlement.	0.8	193183	316	0.8	395	00001	23641	CAP	02/07/2022	Paid
378 Settlement negotiations with Zamrzla counsel; draft revisions to Stipulation for settlement regarding same.	1.4	193183	378	1.4	270	00001	23641	CG	02/08/2022	Paid
987.5 Work on drafting of revised settlement agreement in Zamrzla; draft status update for Judge Komar in Zamrzla.	2.5	193183	987.5	2.5	395	00001	23641	CAP	02/09/2022	Paid
711 Edit Case Management Conference statement to court; draft response to Zamrzlas' brief.	1.8	193183	711	1.8	395	00001	23641	CAP	02/11/2022	Paid
270 Draft status update to Court regarding Zamrzla settlement negotiations.		193183	270	1	270	00001	23641	വ	02/14/2022	Paid
135 Finalize status update to court regarding Zamrzla settlement update; discussion with Watermaster Engineer regarding same.	0.5	193183	135	0.5	270	00001	23641	CG	02/15/2022	Paid
	0	193183	54	0.2	270	00001	23641	CG	02/17/2022	Paid
270 Prepare for and attend hearing on Zamrzla motion; prepare revisions to Stipulation per Court's direction at hearing.	1	193183	270	1	270	00001	23641	CG	02/18/2022	Paid
324 Zamrzia - discussions with counsel for SCI regarding Small Pumper class status; draft proposed order after nearing on motion.	1.2	193183	324	1.2	270	00001	23641	22	02/24/2022	Paid
	0.4	193183	108	0.4	270	00001	23641	CG	02/28/2022	Paid
	0.8	194010	216	0.8	270	00001	23641	CG	03/01/2022	Paid
324 Prepare and submit Status Report to Court regarding Zamrzla settlement negotiations, proposed order.	1.2	194010	324	1.2	270	00001	23641	CG	03/02/2022	Paid
987.5 Prepare objections to zamrzias proposed Order; prepare for nearing with Judge Komar; conference with landowner counsel and review of alternate order regarding the Zamrzias	2.5	194010	1777.5	4.5	395	00001	23641	CAP	03/03/2022	Paid
270 Zamrzla settlement negotiations, responses to proposed orders from Zamrzla counsel and Landowner Counsel.	_	194010	270	1	270	00001	23641	CG	03/03/2022	Paid
0 Conference regarding Zamrzla hearing and future handling	0	194010	118,5	0.3	395	00001	23641	TEM	03/04/2022	Paid
540 Zamrzla - prepare for and attend Zamrzla motion hearing; prepare for opposition to Small Pumper Class motion.	2	194010	540	2	270	00001	23641	CG	03/04/2022	Paid
297 Zamrzla - prepare for opposition to motion to establish Small Pumper Class status; telephone call with Mr. Parton and John Calandri regarding same.	1.1	194010	297	1.1	270	00001	23641	20	03/07/2022	Paid
1224.5 Prepare opposition to Zamrzla's motion claiming Court has no jurisdiction over them.	3.1	194010	1224.5	3.1	395	00001	23641	CAP	03/29/2022	Paid
1382.5 Prepare for evidentiary hearing in Zamrzla; review Zamrzla opposition to our motion and all declarations and prepare cross- examination questions for declarants that we assume Zamrzla will attempt to present at evidentiary hearing.	3.5	194010	1382.5	3.5	395	00001	23641	CAP	03/30/2022	Paid
243 Zamrzla - prepare for opposition to motion to prove-up small pumper class status.	0.9	194898	243	0.9	270	00001	23641	CG	04/01/2022	Paid
135 Prepare for opposition to Zamrzla motion to challenge small pumper class status.	0.5	194898	135	0.5	270	00001	23641	CG	04/04/2022	Paid
987.5 Review Long Valley motion and Court decision and integrate same into Zamrzla Court hearing presentation.	2.5	194898	987.5	2.5	395	00001	23641	CAP	04/04/2022	Paid
1027 Zamzla: Prepare for hearing by reviewing our motion and Zamrzla's opposition thereto.	2.6	194898	1027	2.6	395	00001	23641	CAP	04/07/2022	Paid
243 Opposition to Zamrzla motion regarding jurisdiction and small pumper class status.	0.9	194898	243	0.9	270	00001	23641	മ	04/11/2022	Paid
987.5 Review Zemrzla's motion to elude court jurisdiction.	2.5	194898	987.5	2.5	395	00001	23641	CAP	04/11/2022	Paid
162 Opposition to Zamrzla motion to set aside Judgment.	0.6	194898	162	0.6	270	00001	23641	CG	04/12/2022	Paid
1382.5 Review voluminous documents produced by Zamrzla's new counsel for evidentiary hearing.	3.5	194898	1382.5	3.5	395	00001	23641	CAP	04/12/2022	Paid
405 Opposition to Zamrzia motions to set aside Judgment.	1.5	194898	405	1.5	270	00001	23641	င္ပ	04/13/2022	Paid
1777.5 Prepare for conference call with attorneys filing opposition to Zamrzla motion; call with same.	4.5	194898	1777.5	4.5	395	00001	23641	CAP	04/13/2022	Paid
945 Opposition to Zamrzla motions to set aside Judgment regarding Small Pumper Class status.	3.5	194898	945	3.5	270	00001	23641	22	04/14/2022	Paid

481.5 \$178,701.00

\$144,350.00

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

I am employed in the County of Santa Barbara, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen (18) and not a party to the within action. My business address is 200 East Carrillo Street, Fourth Floor, Santa Barbara, California 93101.

On November 3, 2023, I served the foregoing document described WATERMASTER'S OPPOSITION TO ZAMRZLAS' MOTION TO STAY PROCEEDINGS AGAINST THEM PENDING APPEAL; EXHIBITS A & B on all interested parties in this action by placing the original and/or true copy.

- BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE: I posted the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara X County Superior Court Website @ www.scefiling.org and Glotrans website in the action of the Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases.
- (STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that Х the foregoing is true and correct.
- (FEDERAL) I hereby certify that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

Executed on November 3, 2023, at Santa Barbara, California.

Elizabeth Wright

PRICE, POSTEL

2

1

3 4

> 5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

& PARMA LLP SANTA BARBARA, CA