- 3. On or about November 8, 2022, attorney John Schatz contacted the Watermaster General Counsel to inform the Watermaster that he had been retained by RSP solely for purposes of negotiating a settlement of the Motion, and not to oppose or otherwise litigate the Motion.
- 4. RSP does not dispute that it is a Small Pumper Class Member or that it owes the amounts demanded by the Watermaster in the Motion, but has requested additional time to repay the same and to come into compliance with the Judgment.
- RSP has not filed any pleadings in response to the Motion, and acknowledges and agrees that the time to do so has expired.
- 6. The Watermaster and RSP have entered into settlement negotiations in a good faith effort to resolve the Motion without further litigation, and the initial terms of settlement were considered by the Watermaster Board at its regular meeting on December 7, 2022 in closed session.
- 7. In order to allow the parties additional time to settle this matter without further litigation, the parties hereby stipulate and agree as follows:
 - The hearing on the Motion shall be continued to a date in February 2023, after the (a) Watermaster Board's next regular meeting on January 25, 2023, in order to allow the parties to come to an agreement on terms of settlement, and for the Watermaster Board to consider such terms during closed session at its next regular meeting.
 - The agreed upon terms of settlement, if any, will be filed with the Court before the (b) continued hearing date, and if settlement cannot be reached by such date, then the Court will consider and rule on the Motion on the date of the continued hearing.
- The parties agree that this Stipulation may be executed in counterparts, and 8. execution by facsimile shall be acceptable as an original.

Date: 12-12-27

PRICE, POSTEL & PARMA LLP

24

By Craig A. Parton

Attorneys for Watermaster

2.6

2.7

28

25

12-12-22 Date:

Attorney for Rancho Sierra Properties

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER
2	
3	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT: The hearing on the Watermaster's Motion against
4	RSP is continued to
5	
6	Date: HON. JACK KOMAR
7	HON. JACK KOMAR
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Price, Postel & Parma LLP Santa Barbara, Ca

PROOF OF SERVICE 1 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA 3 I am employed in the County of Santa Barbara, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen (18) and not a party to the within action. My business address is 200 East Carrillo Street. 4 Fourth Floor, Santa Barbara, California 93101. 5 On December 12, 2022, I served the foregoing document described STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR CONTINUED HEARING ON WATERMASTER'S MOTION FOR MONETARY, DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AGAINSTE RANCHO SIERRA PROPERTIES, LLC on all interested parties in this action by placing the original 7 and/or true copy. 8 X BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE: I posted the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara 9 County Superior Court Website @ www.scefiling.org and Glotrans website in the action of the Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases. 10 X (STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that 11 the foregoing is true and correct. 12 (FEDERAL) I hereby certify that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made. 13 14 Executed on December 12, 2022, at Santa Barbara, California. 15 16 17 Elizabeth Wright 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

26

27

28