1	Wayne K. Lemieux, SBN 43501 LEMIEUX & O'NEILL 2393 Townsgate Rd., Suite 201 Westlake Village, CA 91361 Telephone: 805/495-4770;		
2			
3			
4	FAX: 805/495-2787		
5	Attorneys for Littlerock Creek Irrigation District		
6			
7			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
9			
10	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
11	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER)	Judicial Council Coordination	
12	CASES)	Proceeding No. 4408	
13	Included Actions:) Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40		
14	v. Diamond Farming Co. Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC	ANSWER BY LITTLEROCK CREEK	
15	325201; Los Angeles County Waterworks District	IRRIGATION DISTRICT	
16	No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of California, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500- CV-234348; Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster v. Palmdale Water District, Superior Court of California, County of Riverside,		
17			
18			
19	consolidated actions, Case Nos. RIC 353840, RIC 344436, RIC 344668		
20			
21			
22			
23	Cross defendant Littlerock Creek Irrigation District (herein 'Littlerock') answers the cross-		
24	complaint of Antelope Valley East Kern Water Agency ('AVEK') as follows:		
25	1. Littlerock admits the allegations contained in paragraph 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14,		
26	18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 46, 47, 48		
27	and 49 are true.		
28	LC-PR\Pldg\Ans.Avek.XC.LC -	1 -	
	ANSWER TO AVEK CROSS-COMPLAINT BY I	LITTLEROCK CREEK IRRIGATION DISTRICT	

2. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 8 of the cross-complaint, Littlerock denies but for cross-complainant's importation of State Project water into the basin, Littlerock would need to pump additional groundwater from the basin each year. Except as denied herein, Littlerock admits the allegations contained in paragraph 8 of the cross-complaint are true.

3. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 11 of the cross-complaint, Littlerock denies that Littlerock's pumping reduces basin water tables and contributes to the deficiency of the basin water supply as a whole and that this deficiency creates a public water shortage. Except as denied above, Littlerock admits the allegations contained in paragraph 11 are true.

4. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 15 of the cross-complaint, Littlerock denies that Littlerock's continued and increasing extraction of basin water has resulted in or will result in the diminution, reduction or impairment of the basin's water supply and land subsidence.

5. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 16 of the cross-complaint, Littlerock denies that Littlerock's continued and increasing extraction of basin water has and will deprive AVEK of its rights to provide water for public health, welfare and benefit.

6. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 17 of the cross-complaint, Littlerock denies that Littlerock's methods of water use and storage are unreasonable or wasteful or violative of Article X, Section 2 of the California Constitution.

7. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 32 of the cross-complaint, Littlerock denies that Littlerock's rights are limited to the native supply of the basin and its own imported water supply. Except as denied herein, Littlerock admits the allegations contained in paragraph 32 are true.

8. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 38 of the cross-complaint, Littlerock denies that Littlerock's rights are limited to the native supply of the basin or its own imported water.
Littlerock further alleges that its rights extend to surface water tributary to the basin. Except as denied herein, Littlerock admits the allegations contained in paragraph 38 are true.

9. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 45 of the cross-complaint, Littlerock
denies that Littlerock produces or threatens to produce more water from the basin than it has a right to
produce or that this production interferes with the rights of AVEK.

LC-PR\Pldg\Ans.Avek.XC.LC

- 2 -

ANSWER TO AVEK CROSS-COMPLAINT BY LITTLEROCK CREEK IRRIGATION DISTRICT

1	DATED: October 3, 2006	LEMIEUX & O'NEILL
2		By: Wayne K. Lemieux
3		Wayne K. Lemieux, Attorneys for
4		Littlerock Creek Irrigation District
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14 15		
15 16		
10		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	LC-PR\Pldg\Ans.Avek.XC.LC	- 3 -
	ANSWER TO AVEK CROSS-COMPLAINT BY LITTLEROCK CREEK IRRIGATION DISTRICT	