Exhibit A

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	RYAN S. BEZERRA, State Bar No. 178048 JOSHUA M. HOROWITZ, State Bar No. 1868 KATRINA C. GONZALES, State Bar No. 258 BARTKIEWICZ, KRONICK & SHANAHAN A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 1011 TWENTY-SECOND STREET SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95816-4907 TELEPHONE: (916) 446-4254 TELECOPIER: (916) 446-4018 E-MAIL: rsb@bkslawfirm.com Attorneys for Cross-Defendant Copa De Oro Land Company	412									
9	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES										
10 11 12	Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550(b))	JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION PROCEEDING NO. 4408									
13 14	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES	Case No. BC 391869 Assigned to Hon. Jack Komar (Santa Clara Case No. 01-05-CV-049053)									
15 16 17 18 19 20	Included Actions: Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 325 201; Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of California, County of Kern, Case	COPA DE ORO LAND COMPANY'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS PROPOUNDED ON PARTIES THAT ARE NOT SIGNATORIES TO THE STIPULATIONS CONCERNING COPA DE ORO LAND COMPANY									
21 22 23 24 25 26 27	No. S-1500-CV-254-348; Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist., Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, Case No. RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668										
28											

1	PROPOUNDING PARTY:	Copa de Oro Land Company
2	RESPONDING PARTY:	Every party that is not a signatory to both the Stipulation Regarding Facts Pertaining to Copa de Oro Land Company for
3		Trial and the Stipulation for Phase IV Trial Regarding Water Use on Copa de Oro Land Company's Property, posted on the Court's
4 5		Web site on February 28, 2013 and April 19, 2013, respectively
6	SET:	One
7		PRELIMINARY STATEMENT
8	Pursuant to Code of	Civil Procedure section 2030.010 et seq., Copa de Oro Land
9	Company respectfully reque	ests that responding party answer, under oath, the Requests for
10	Admissions [Set One] within	five court days of service as follows:
11		REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS
12	REQUEST FOR ADMISSI	ON NO. 1:
13	Admit that Copa de	Oro Land Company owns the property identified as Kern County
14	Assessor's Parcel Number 35	59-032-01 in Kern County, California.
15	REQUEST FOR ADMISSI	ON NO. 2:
16	Admit that Copa de	Oro Land Company owns the property identified as Kern County
17	Assessor's Parcel Number 35	59-032-17 in Kern County, California.
18	REQUEST FOR ADMISSI	ON NO. 3:
19	Admit that the total a	mount of water delivered by the Antelope-Valley East Kern Water
20	Agency to the PROPERTY	in 2000 was, rounded to the nearest acre-foot, 708 acre feet. For
21	purposes of this Request for	Admission, the term "PROPERTY" means those parcels identified
22	as Kern County Assessor's P	arcel Numbers 359-032-01 and 359-032-17.
23	REQUEST FOR ADMISSI	ON NO. 4:
24	Admit that the total	amount of water delivered by Antelope-Valley East Kern Water
25	Agency to the PROPERTY	in 2001 was, rounded to the nearest acre-foot, 829 acre feet. For
26	purposes of this Request for	Admission, the term "PROPERTY" means those parcels identified
27	as Kern County Assessor's P	arcel Numbers 359-032-01 and 359-032-17.
28		
		-1- 8792/P042313rsb RFAs

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 5:

2.0

2.4

Admit that the total amount of water delivered by Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency to the PROPERTY in 2002 was, rounded to the nearest acre-foot, 842 acre feet. For purposes of this Request for Admission, the term "PROPERTY" means those parcels identified as Kern County Assessor's Parcel Numbers 359-032-01 and 359-032-17.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 6:

Admit that the total amount of water delivered by Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency to the PROPERTY in 2003 was, rounded to the nearest acre-foot, 867 acre feet. For purposes of this Request for Admission, the term "PROPERTY" means those parcels identified as Kern County Assessor's Parcel Numbers 359-032-01 and 359-032-17.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 7:

Admit that the total amount of water delivered by Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency to the PROPERTY in 2004 was, rounded to the nearest acre-foot, 626 acre feet. For purposes of this Request for Admission, the term "PROPERTY" means those parcels identified as Kern County Assessor's Parcel Numbers 359-032-01 and 359-032-17.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 8:

Admit that 708 acre-feet of water was used for agricultural purposes on the PROPERTY in 2000. For purposes of this Request for Admission, the term "PROPERTY" means those parcels identified as Kern County Assessor's Parcel Numbers 359-032-01 and 359-032-17.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9:

Admit that 829 acre-feet of water was used for agricultural purposes on the PROPERTY in 2001. For purposes of this Request for Admission, the term "PROPERTY" means those parcels identified as Kern County Assessor's Parcel Numbers 359-032-01 and 359-032-17.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 10:

Admit that 842 acre-feet of water was used for agricultural purposes on the PROPERTY in 2002. For purposes of this Request for Admission, the term "PROPERTY" means those parcels identified as Kern County Assessor's Parcel Numbers 359-032-01 and 359-032-17.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 11:

Admit that 867 acre-feet of water was used for agricultural purposes on the PROPERTY in 2003. For purposes of this Request for Admission, the term "PROPERTY" means those parcels identified as Kern County Assessor's Parcel Numbers 359-032-01 and 359-032-17.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 12:

Admit that 626 acre-feet of water was used for agricultural purposes on the PROPERTY in 2004. For purposes of this Request for Admission, the term "PROPERTY" means those parcels identified as Kern County Assessor's Parcel Numbers 359-032-01 and 359-032-17.

Dated: May 1, 2013 Respectfully submitted,

BARTKIEWICZ, KRONICK & SHANAHAN

By: Ryan S Bezerra

Attorneys for Copa de Oro Land Company

1	PROOF OF SERVICE										
2	I, Terry M. Olson, declare as follows:										
3	I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of Sacramento County. I am over the										
4	age of 18, not a party to this action and am employed at Bartkiewicz, Kronick & Shanahan,										
5	1011 Twenty-Second Street, Sacramento, California 95816. On March 14, 2013, I served, in										
6	the manner described below, the following document:										
7	COPA DE ORO LAND COMPANY'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS PROPOUNDED ON PARTIES THAT ARE NOT SIGNATORIES TO THE STIPULATIONS CONCERNING COPA DE ORO LAND COMPANY										
9	I posted this document to the Court's World Wide Website located at										
10	www.scefiling.org.										
11	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the										
12	foregoing is true and correct.										
13	Executed at Sacramento, California on, 2013.										
14											
15	Town M. Oleon										
16	Terry M. Olson										
17											
18											
19											
20											
22											
23	5 £										
24											
25											
26											
27											
8	8										
.	8792/P042313rsb RFAs										
[]	0/92/PU42313B0 KFAS										

Exhibit B

RYAN S. BEZERRA, State Bar No. 178048 JOSHUA M. HOROWITZ, State Bar No. 186866 KATRINA C. GONZALES, State Bar No. 258412 BARTKIEWICZ, KRONICK & SHANAHAN 3 A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 1011 TWENTY-SECOND STREET SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95816-4907 TELEPHONE: (916) 446-4254 TELECOPIER: (916) 446-4018 E-MAIL: rsb@bkslawfirm.com Attorneys for Cross-Defendant Copa De Oro Land Company R SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 **COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES** 10 Coordination Proceeding Special Title JUDICIAL COUNCIL COORDINATION 11 (Rule 1550(b)) PROCEEDING NO. 4408 12 Case No. BC 391869 Assigned to Hon. Jack Komar 13 ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES 14 (Santa Clara Case No. 01-05-CV-049053) Included Actions: 15 [PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING Los Angeles County Waterworks District STIPULATIONS CONCERNING COPA DE ORO LAND COMPANY AND 16 No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior GRANTING LEAVE TO SERVE Court of California, County of Los Angeles, 17 WRITTEN DISCOVERY Case No. BC 325 201; 18 Los Angeles County Waterworks District Date: April 30, 2013 19 No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Time: 9 a.m. Court of California, County of Kern, Case Dept: TBD (CourtCall) 20 No. S-1500-CV-254-348; Judge: Hon. Jack Komar 21 Filing Date: July 11, 2005 (coordination) Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Trial Date: May 28, 2013 (Phase IV) 22 Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. 23 Palmdale Water Dist., Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, Case No. 24 RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668 25 26 27

8792/P042313rsb Order

[PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING STIPULATIONS CONCERNING COPA DE ORO LAND COMPANY
AND GRANTING LEAVE TO SERVE WRITTEN DISCOVERY

ORDER GRANTING APPLICATION OF COPA DE ORO LAND COMPANY FOR APPROVAL OF STIPULATIONS CONCERNING COPA DE ORO LAND COMPANY AND GRANTING LEAVE TO SERVE WRITTEN DISCOVERY

On April 30, 2013, at 9 a.m. in Department __ of the Los Angeles County Superior Court, the application of cross-defendant Copa de Oro Land Company ("Copa de Oro") came on for hearing via CourtCall, the Honorable Jack Komar presiding. Copa de Oro applied for an order: (1) approving a Stipulation Regarding Facts Pertaining to Copa de Oro Land Company for Trial (the "Ownership Stipulation"), and a Stipulation for Phase IV Trial Regarding Water Use on Copa de Oro Land Company's Property (the "Water Use Stipulation") among Copa de Oro, and public water suppliers; (2) granting Copa de Oro leave to serve requests for admission and Form Interrogatory 17.1 on all parties in this action that have not executed the Ownership Stipulation and the Water Use Stipulation; (3) shortening the time for responding to those requests for admission and that Form Interrogatory to five court days; and (4) establishing that a failure to respond to one or more of those requests for admission shall be deemed to be an admission to the request(s). The parties' appearances were as recorded by the Clerk. The Court has considered the parties' evidence and arguments.

The Court finds as follows:

- (1) In the Ownership Stipulation, Copa de Oro, Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 ("District 40") and Palmdale Water District have stipulated to the truth of the facts stated in the Declaration of Elliot Joelson for Copa de Oro Land Company and its exhibits, posted on the Court's Web site at http://www.scefiling.org/document/document.jsp?documentId=76507 on January 31, 2013 (the "Joelson Declaration"). The City of Palmdale also has stated its agreement with the Ownership Stipulation;
- (2) In the Water Use Stipulation, Copa de Oro, District 40, Littlerock Creek Irrigation District, Palm Ranch Irrigation District, Palmdale Water District, City of Palmdale, Rosamond Community Services District, City of Lancaster and the Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency ("AVEK") have stipulated to the truth of the facts concerning AVEK water

8792/P042313rsb Order

deliveries to Copa de Oro's property during the 2000-2004 period and the amounts of water used for agricultural purposes on Copa de Oro's property in 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003 and 2004;

- (3) The parties have had considerable time to review the facts stated in the Joelson Declaration and in the Declaration of Vera H. Nelson for Copa de Oro Land Company and its exhibits, posted on the Court's Web site at http://www.scefiling.org/document/document.jsp?documentId=76508 (the "Nelson Declaration");
- (4) The Ownership Stipulation and the Water Use Stipulation are the products of the discovery process that the Court established to simplify the Phase IV trial, with the Joelson and Nelson Declarations having been produced in that process;
- (5) Consistent with the Court's stated intent of simplifying the Phase IV Trial and its powers over this coordinated matter, this Court may authorize Copa de Oro to propound its proposed requests for admission and Form Interrogatory 17.1, attached hereto as Exhibits A and B, to identify any disputes concerning Copa de Oro's property ownership and water use that the parties have not disclosed to date;
- (6) Shortening the time for responses to Copa de Oro's proposed discovery requests pursuant to the Court's powers over this coordinated matter and Code of Civil Procedure sections 2030.260, subdivision (a), and 2033.250, subdivision (a), will enable the stipulating parties to conclude any steps necessary to ensure they will not need to present evidence concerning facts agreed upon in the Ownership and Water Use Stipulations at the Phase IV trial and assist the Court in organizing the Phase IV trial; and
- (7) Ordering that a failure to respond to the proposed requests for admission shall be deemed an admission is authorized by Code of Civil Procedure section 404.7 and California Rules of Court, rule 3.504, subdivision (e), and is consistent with the intent of the procedures stated in Code of Civil Procedure section 2033.280, subdivisions (b) and (c).

Accordingly, GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, the Court orders as follows:

(1) The Ownership Stipulation and the Water Use Stipulation are approved and shall be binding upon the parties to such stipulations for all purposes in this action.

-2- 8792/P042313rsb Order

. •
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

(2)	Copa	de	Oro	may	serve	its	proposed	requests	for	admission	and	Form
Interrog	atory	17.1 in	the	form	attach	ned as 1	Exhi	bits A and	B on ever	ура	rty in this a	ction	that is
not a sig	nator	y to the	Ow	nersh	ւip Sti	pulatio	n an	d the Wate	r Use Stip	ulati	on, or has n	ot sta	ted its
agreeme	nt wi	th both	sti	pulati	ons, b	y post	ing	those writt	en discov	ery i	requests to	the C	court's
Web site	at w	ww.sce	filin	g.org									

- (3) Parties that are served with Copa de Oro's requests for admission and Form Interrogatory 17.1 must post their responses to the Court's Web site at www.scefiling.org within five court days following service by Copa de Oro of those requests and that Form Interrogatory.
- A party's failure to respond to one or more of Copa de Oro's requests for (4) admission shall be deemed an admission of the matters specified in each request to which the responding party does not serve a response as required by this Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: APRIL 79,2013

Mon. Judge Komar Judge of the Superior Court