1   2   3   4   5   6   7	RICHARD G. ZIMMER - SBN 107263 T. MARK SMITH - SBN 162370 CLIFFORD & BROWN A Professional Corporation Attorneys at Law Bank of America Building 1430 Truxtun Avenue, Suite 900 Bakersfield, CA 93301-5230 (661) 322-6023 (661) 322-3508 (FAX) Attorneys for Bolthouse Properties	s, LLC
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA	
10	* * *	
11	COORDINATION PROCEEDING SPECIAL TITLE (Rule 1550(b))	Judicial Council Coordination
12	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER	) CASE NO. 1-05-CV-049053
13	CASES	)
14	INCLUDED ACTIONS:	JOINDER IN DIAMOND FARMING COMPANY'S MOTION IN LIMINE FOR
15		AN ORDER ESTABLISHING THE EVIDENTIARY STANDARD FOR NOTICE
16	FARMING COMPANY, et al., Los Angeles Superior Court	OF HOSTILITY NECESSARY FOR PROOF OF PRESCRIPTION BY THE
17	Case No. BC325201	PUBLIC WATER SUPPLIERS WITH CLARIFICATION
18	LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40 v. DIAMOND	
19	FARMING COMPANY, et al., Kern County Superior Court	DATE: May 21, 2007 TIME: 10:00 a.m.
20	Case No. S-1500-CV-254348	DEPT: D-1, Room 534
21	DIAMOND FARMING COMPANY, and W.M. BOLTHOUSE FARMS, INC., v.	
22	CITY OF LANCASTER, et al., Riverside Superior Court	Location:
23	Case No. RIC 344436 [c/w case no. RIC 344668 and 353840]	Los Angeles Superior Court Central District
24	ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES	) 111 North Hill Street ) Los Angeles, CA 90012
25	DISTRICT,  CROSS-COMPLAINANT,	)
26		)

## TO ALL PARTIES AND TO THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Bolthouse Properties, LLC, hereby joins in Diamond Farming Company's Motion In Limine For An Order Establishing The Evidentiary Standard For Notice Of Hostility Necessary For Proof Of Prescription By The Public Water Suppliers. However, Bolthouse Properties clarifies its position as follows.

Bolthouse Properties agrees that the purveyors must prove they took steps reasonably calculated to provide actual notice to parties against whom they are claiming prescription. However, Bolthouse Properties contends that even if the purveyors prove they attempted to provide actual notice, the purveyors thereafter must prove that each owner of land against which prescription is claimed, had legally sufficient notice of the hostile and adverse claim being made against that landowner's property. Only when the landowner has such notice can the landowner be charged with the obligation of taking action to protect that property right or in the absence of action, the peril of losing that property right.

The two prong notice requirements articulated above, require separate analysis as to each purveyor and analysis as to each landowner. Each individual against whom a claim of forfeiture for property right is made, must have had legally sufficient notice of the hostile and adverse taking of the usafructory water right. In the face of such notice, the landowner must have failed to take action to protect the water

right for each parcel of property against which the prescriptive	
claim is made. Notice for the second prong is notice subjectively	
in the mind of the property owner based upon facts and	
circumstances known to the specific property owner.	
Accordingly, Bolthouse Properties agrees the Court should	
set the evidentiary standard regarding necessary purveyor actions	
calculated to give actual notice. Also, the court should set the	
evidentiary standard of subjective notice for a prescriptive	
claim against an individual property owner in the event that a	
purveyor proves that it took steps reasonably calculated to give	
actual notice.	
DATED: May 16, 2007	
Respectfully submitted,	
CLIFFORD & BROWN	
By:	
RICHABO G. ZIMMER, ESQ.  1. MARK SMITH, ESQ.	
Attorneys for BOLTHOUSE PROPERTIES, LLC	

## PROOF OF SERVICE

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF KERN:

I am a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within entitled action; my business address is 1430 Truxtun Avenue, Suite 900, Bakersfield, California, 93301.

On May 17, 2007, I served the JOINDER IN DIAMOND COMPANY'S MOTION IN LIMINE FOR AN ORDER ESTABLISHING THE EVIDENTIARY STANDARD FO NOTICE OF HOSTILITY NECESSARY FOR PROOF OF PRESCRIPTION BY THE PUBLIC WATER SUPPLIERS WITH CLARIFICATION on the interested parties in said action.

- (xx) BY SANTA CLARA SUPERIOR COURT E-FILING IN COMPLEX LITIGATION PURSUANT TO CLARIFICATION ORDER DATED OCTOBER 27, 2005.
- ) VIA FACSIMILE [C.C.P. § 1013(e)]; The telephone number of the sending facsimile machine was (661) 322-3508. telephone(s) number of the receiving facsimile machine(s) is The Court, Rule 2004 and no error was reported by listed below. the machine. Pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 2006(d), the machine was caused to print a transmission record of the transmission, a copy of which is attached hereto.
- ( ) VIA OVERNIGHT DELIVERY on the date below stated, pursuant to CCP \$1013(c)(d), I deposited such envelope with delivery fees fully prepaid with CALIFORNIA OVERNIGHT.
- ( ) BY MAIL I am readily familiar with the business' practice for collection and processing of correspondence and documents for mailing with the United States Postal Service. Under that practice, the correspondence and documents would be deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day, with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the ordinary course of business at Bakersfield, California.

I declare, under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on May 17, 2007, at Bakersfield, California.