1 2	RICHARD G. ZIMMER - SBN 107263 T. MARK SMITH - SBN 162370 CLIFFORD & BROWN A Professional Corporation	
3	Attorneys at Law Bank of America Building	
5	1430 Truxtun Avenue, Suite 900 Bakersfield, CA 93301-5230 (661) 322-6023	
6	Attorneys for Bolthouse Properties, LLC	
7	and Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc.	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA	
10	COORDINATION PROCEEDING SPECIAL TITLE (Rule 1550(b))	Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No.
11	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER) 4408)
12	CASES) CASE NO. 1-05-CV-049053)
13	INCLUDED ACTIONS: LOS ANGELES COUNTY) NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO COMPEL DEPOSITION
14	WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40 v. DIAMOND FARMING COMPANY, et al.,	TESTIMONY OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT
16	Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC325201	NO. 40 PERSON MOST KNOWLEDGEABLE; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES;
17	LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40 v.	DECLARATION OF RICHARD G. ZIMMER
18 19	DIAMOND FARMING COMPANY, et al., Kern County Superior Court Case No. S- 1500-CV-254348)))
20	DIAMOND FARMING COMPANY, and W.M. BOLTHOUSE FARMS, INC., v.)) DATE: May 13, 2013) TIME: 9:00 a.m.
21	CITY OF LANCASTER, et al., Riverside Superior Court) 11141E. 7.00 a.m.
22	Case No. RIC 344436 [c/w case no. RIC 344668 and 353840]	
23		
24	AND RELATED ACTIONS	7 Trial Date: May 28, 2013 Action Filed: October 26, 2005
25		
26	///	
27	///	
28		
	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO COMPEL DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF DISTRICT 40 PMK	

TO LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40 AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on May 13, 2013 at 9:00 a.m. in Department 222 of Los Angeles County Superior Court located at 111 N. Hill Street, Los Angeles, California, Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. and Bolthouse Properties, LLC, hereinafter "Bolthouse", will and hereby do, move this Court for an Order compelling Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 2025.450, to submit their person(s) most knowledgeable to deposition.

This Motion is made pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 2025.450, on the grounds that the deposition was properly set and Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 failed to file any appropriate objection and failed to produce the witness for deposition.

This Motion will be based on this Notice of Motion, the Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the Declaration of Richard G. Zimmer, and all pleadings, papers and records on file herein, and any and all such other oral or documentary evidence as may be presented at the hearing of this Motion.

DATED: May 10, 2013

Respectfully submitted.

CLIFFORD & BROWN

By:

G. ZIMMER, ESQ.

torneys for

BOLTHOUSE PROPERTIES, LLC and WM. BOLTHOUSE FARMS, INC

28

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Pursuant to the First Amendment to Case Management Order For Phase Four Trial, this Court set the deadline for taking depositions for the Phase 4 Trial for April 26, 2013.

On April 5, 2013, attorney Michael McLachlan, set the deposition of Adam Ariki to be taken on April 12, 2013 requesting production of documents by Mr. Ariki. A copy of the deposition notice is attached as Exhibit "A" to the Declaration of Richard G. Zimmer attached hereto and herein incorporated by reference.

On April 12, 2013, this law firm and the undersigned set the deposition of the person most knowledgeable for Los Angeles County and Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 ("District 40") on April 19, 2013, along with requests for production of documents. (See Exhibit "B" to the Declaration of Richard G. Zimmer attached hereto and herein incorporated by reference.) The deposition was set for the person most knowledge, since given the issues specifically identified in the person most knowledgeable deposition; it was not known who the most knowledgeable person would be. The deposition was timely served.

District 40 failed to file a proper objection and refused to produce the witness for the deposition. (See Exhibit "C" to the Declaration of Richard G. Zimmer attached hereto and herein incorporated by reference.)

In order to avoid duplication of effort, and at the request of the Court, counsel for Bolthouse reviewed the deposition transcript of Adam Ariki taken by Mr. McLachlan on April 12, 2013, along with the deposition notice for that deposition including the request for production counsel for Bolthouse also reviewed the documents produced pursuant to that deposition.

The deposition notice served by Mr. McLachlan requested only appearance by one individual, Adam Ariki. The deposition notice served by Bolthouse requested production of the person or persons most knowledgeable based upon specifically articulated issues relevant to the Phase 4 Trial.

4

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

2425

26

27

28

A review of the notice of deposition of Adam Ariki and the notice of deposition of the person (s) most knowledgeable reveals that the notice for the person(s) most knowledgeable is much more specific regarding the scope of the testimony. The person(s) most knowledgeable deposition notice also contains more detailed requests for production directed specifically to (See Exhibits "A" and "B".) For example, the person(s) most return flow issues. knowledgeable deposition notice demands documents showing actions by District 40 to make use of return flows, showing the intent to recapture return flows, showing the physical capability to recapture return flows, requests water supply assessments, will serve notices, actions to confirm adequate water supply for new development, documents showing migration of return flows, documents showing the breakdown of return flows attributed to municipal, industrial, irrigation, septic tank, municipal sewage systems and other sources, requests the percentages of return flows from various types of water including calculation of transmission losses, documents showing any return flows from use of return flows and requests documents regarding any presentations regarding the availability of groundwater and/or the extent which return flows have been relied upon as an existing and/or future water supply. (See Exhibit "B".)

Review of the transcript of Mr. Ariki's deposition also reveals the following. The McLachlan Ariki deposition notice requested production of "all documents Los Angeles Waterworks District No. 40 ("Waterworks") intends on submitting to the Court at the Phase 4 Trial (other than those records produced in its December 21, 2012 'Response to Discovery Order for Phase IV Trial')". These documents were not provided based upon an objection by Mr. Dunn at the deposition. (See Exhibit "D" to the Declaration of Richard G. Zimmer attached hereto and herein incorporated by reference.)

It is also noteworthy that the documents requested in the person(s) most knowledgeable deposition notice were not produced in the "Response to Discovery Order for Phase IV Trial". Additionally, Mr. Dunn refused to allow counsel to inquire whether Mr. Ariki would testify at the Phase IV Trial on behalf of District 40. (See Exhibit "E" to the Declaration of Richard G. Zimmer attached hereto and herein incorporated by reference.)

The only request for production related to return flows in the Ariki request for production was Request No. 6 requesting "any documents generated by or relied upon by Waterworks to assess its return flows from imported water (other than the Summary Expert Report, which need not be produced.) (See Exhibit "A".) The only documents provided by District 40 in response to this request for production were the 2000, 2005 and 2010 Urban Water Management Plans. (See Exhibit "F" to the Declaration of Richard G. Zimmer attached hereto and herein incorporated by reference.)

Contrary to assertions of counsel and the meet and confer conference with this Court at the last Case Management Conference, counsel for Bolthouse did notify the liaison committee of the setting of the deposition of the person most knowledgeable. (See Exhibit "G" to the Declaration of Richard G. Zimmer attached hereto and herein incorporated by reference.)

The person most knowledgeable deposition and the production of documents pursuant to the deposition is critical to the landowners' ability to defend against the return flow claims which are at issue in the Phase 4 Trial. Attorney Bob Joyce, for Diamond Farming, originally was scheduled to set and take the deposition of the person(s) most knowledgeable. However, he could not set and take the deposition because he was in trial. Accordingly, the undersigned set the deposition. There are other landowner attorneys who desire to attend the deposition of the person(s) most knowledgeable as well and to seek documents requested in the person(s) most knowledgeable deposition notice.

II.

STATUTORY AUTHORITY FOR THE MOTION

Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 2025.450(a):

"If, after service of a deposition notice, a party to the action or an officer, director, managing agent, or organization that is a party under Section 2025.230, without having served a valid objection under Section 2025.410, fails to appear for examination, or to proceed with it, or to produce for inspection any document, electronically stored information, or tangible thing described in the deposition notice, the party giving the notice may move for an order compelling the deponent's attendance and testimony, and the production for inspection of any document, electronically stored information, or tangible thing described in the deposition notice."

III.

DISTRICT 40 IMPROPERLY FAILED TO PRESENT THE PERSON MOST KNOWLEDGEABLE FOR DEPOSITION

District 40 never served a written objection on the parties seeking to take the deposition and all other attorneys or parties on whom the deposition notice was served at least three calendar days before the deposition as required by *Code of Civil Procedure Section 2025.410* although some emails were exchanged, regarding the deposition, District 40 did not advise that it would not produce the witness until April 18, 2013, the day before the deposition. (See Exhibit "G" attached hereto and herein incorporated by reference.)

IV.

DISTRICT 40 SHOULD BE ORDERED TO PRODUCE THE PERSON MOST KNOWLEDGEABLE FOR DEPOSITION

For all the reasons set forth in the Statement of Facts and Declaration of Richard G.

Zimmer attached to this Motion, District 40 should be ordered to produce the person(s) most knowledgeable for deposition and be ordered to produce the documents requested. The testimony of the person(s) most knowledgeable and documents requested are relevant and critical to the landowners, including the moving party herein. In order to properly defend against the return flow claims set for trial in Phase 4. The person(s) most knowledgeable deposition includes specific issues for which the person(s) most knowledgeable is to be designated. Additionally, the person(s) most knowledgeable deposition notice specifically identifies documents and things to be produced by the person(s) most knowledgeable which are necessary to evaluate for purposes of the return flow claims in the Phase 4 Trial. Accordingly, it is requested that the Court order the person(s) most knowledgeable to be designated and that the documents requested be produced for deposition prior to the Phase 4 Trial.

CONCLUSION

The deposition of the Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 person(s) most knowledgeable regarding return flows and for production of documents specifically articulated therein related to the return flow claims is critical to the landowners' ability to properly defend the return flow claims being made by District 40 and others in the Phase 4 Trial. The deposition and request for production was properly noticed. It respectfully requested that the Court grant an order that District 40 identify and produce the person(s) most knowledgeable and to produce the documents identified in the person(s) most knowledgeable deposition notice prior to the Phase 4 Trial.

DATED: May 10, 2013

Respectfully submitted.

CLIFFORD & BROWN

By:

CHÁRIÓ G. ZIMMER, ESQ.

Attorneys for

BOLTHOUSE PROPERTIES, LLC and

WM. BOLTHOÙSE FARMS, INC

PROOF OF SERVICE (C.C.P. §1013a, 2015.5)
Antelope Valley Groundwater Cases
Judicial Counsel Coordination Proceeding No. 4408
Santa Clara County Superior Court Case No. 1-05-CV-049053

I am employed in the County of Kern, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 1430 Truxtun Avenue, Suite 900, Bakersfield, CA 93301.

On May 10, 2013, I served the foregoing document(s) entitled:

NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO COMPEL DEPOSITION TESTIMONY OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40 PERSON MOST KNOWLEDGEABLE; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES; DECLARATION OF RICHARD G. ZIMMER

by uploading the document listed above to the Santa Clara Superior Court website in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater Matter. All parties listed on the Santa Clara Superior Court in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater Matter are hereby incorporated within by this reference.

X BY SANTA CLARA SUPERIOR COURT E-FILING IN COMPLEX LITIGATION PURSUANT TO CLARIFICATION ORDER DATED OCTOBER 27, 2005.

Executed on May 10, 2013, at Bakersfield, California.

X (State) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

/ICKI STREET