EXHIBIT "B"

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES

Included Consolidated Actions:

Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co.
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 325 201

Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co.
Superior Court of California, County of Kern,
Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348

Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster
Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster
Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist.
Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, consolidated actions, Case Nos. RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668

Rebecca Lee Willis v. Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40
Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 364 553

Richard A. Wood v. Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40
Superior Court of California, County of Los

Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408

Lead Case No. BC 325 201

ORDER AFTER CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE ON MARCH 22, 2010

Hearing Date(s): March 22, 2010
Time: 9:00 a.m.
Location: Department 1, LASC

Judge: Honorable Jack Komar

Angeles, Case No. BC 391 869

The matter came on as a regularly scheduled telephonic Case Management Conference on March 22, 2010 in Department One in the above entitled Court. All parties appeared by telephone. Those parties appearing are listed in the minutes of the Court prepared by the Clerk of Court.

The parties having briefed and argued the issues, good cause appearing, the Court makes the following Case Management order:

The Third Phase of Trial is scheduled for September 27, 2010 at 9:00 a.m. in Department One of this Court. The time of trial is estimated at 10 court days. The Court will be in session for trial Monday through Thursday of each week. If additional days of trial are required, the Court will schedule such after conferring with the parties.

The parties shall comply with the provisions of Code of Civil Procedure Section 2034.210 and engage in a simultaneous disclosure and exchange of expert information, including any reports prepared by such experts, on July 1, 2010. Any supplemental disclosures and exchange of information shall occur on July 15, 2010. Expert depositions shall be taken between July 15 and August 30, 2010.

On July 1, 2010, any party who intends to call non-expert witnesses to provide percipient testimony shall file a statement listing such witness, the subject matter of their testimony, and an estimate of the amount of time required for their testimony on direct.

All discovery shall be completed in compliance with the Code of Civil Procedure 30 days before trial and all motions shall be heard no later than 15 days before trial.

Trial briefs and motions in limine shall be filed no later than September 15, 2010 and any responses or opposition shall be filed no later than September 24, 2010.

The public water provider parties have essentially alleged that the basin is in overdraft, that extraction of water on an annual basis exceeds recharge, and that the basin will suffer serious degradation and damage unless the Court exercises its equitable jurisdiction. In this third phase of trial, the Court will hear evidence to determine whether the basin, as previously

defined by the Court in trial phases one and two, is in such overdraft and to determine whether there is a basis for the Court to exercise its equitable jurisdiction, including the implementation of a "physical solution," as prayed for by the public water provider parties. The public water providers have the burden of proof.

The Court will not hear any evidence concerning prescription claims nor does it expect to hear evidence of individual pumping of water by any party within the basin; rather, it expects to hear evidence concerning total pumping and total recharge from all sources, with a further breakdown showing the amount of imported water on an annual basis.

Any party requiring further clarification of the issues in this third phase of trial is invited to request such clarification and the Court will consider a further case management conference to provide such clarification unless it is a simple matter permitting the Court to issue a clarifying order.

Dated: March 22, 2010

/s/ Jack Komar
Honorable Jack Komar
Judge of the Superior Court