

1 Grubb Family Trust  
2 [INSERT NAME OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY]

3 Melinda L. Gillman, Trustee

4 2407 Bonita Drive

5 Glendale, CA 91208

6 818 242-1939

7 [Insert address, phone number, fax number, and e-  
8 mail address]

9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
10 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES  
11

12 **ANTELOPE VALLEY**  
13 **GROUNDWATER CASES**

14 Included Actions:

15 Los Angeles County Waterworks District  
16 No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co.  
17 Los Angeles County Superior Court  
18 Case No. BC 325201

19 Los Angeles County Waterworks District  
20 No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co.  
21 Kern County Superior Court  
22 Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348

23 Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of  
24 Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. City of  
25 Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v.  
26 Palmdale Water Dist.  
27 Riverside County Superior Court  
28 Consolidated actions  
Case Nos. RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC  
344 668

Judicial Council Coordination No. 4408

For filing purposes only:  
Santa Clara County Case No. 1-05-CV-049053

Assigned to The Honorable Jack Komar

**MODEL ANSWER TO COMPLAINT AND  
ALL CROSS-COMPLAINTS**

1 I hereby answer the Complaint and all Cross-Complaints which have been filed as of this  
2 date, specifically those of Antelope Valley East-Kern Water Agency, Palmdale Water District &  
3 Quartz Hill Water District, Rosamond Community Services District and Waterworks District No.  
4 40 of Los Angeles County. I do not intend to participate at trial or other proceedings unless  
5 ordered by the Court to do so, but I reserve the right to do so upon giving written notice to that  
6 effect to the Court and all parties. I own the following property(ies) located in the Antelope  
7 Valley:

8 The Southeast quarter of Section 4, Township 8 North, Range 16 West,  
9 SBBM, County of Los Angeles, State of California APN 3277-001-020

10 [Insert address and/or APN Number]  
11

12 **GENERAL DENIAL**

13 1. Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 431.30(d), Defendant and Cross-  
14 Defendant hereby generally denies each and every allegation set forth in the Complaint and  
15 Cross-Complaint, and the whole thereof, and further denies that Plaintiff and Cross-Complainant  
16 are entitled to any relief against Defendant and Cross-Defendant.

17 **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

18 **First Affirmative Defense**

19 (Failure to State a Cause of Action)

20 2. The Complaint and Cross-Complaint and every purported cause of action  
21 contained therein fail to allege facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against Defendant  
22 and Cross-Defendant.

23 **Second Affirmative Defense**

24 (Statute of Limitation)

25 3. Each and every cause of action contained in the Complaint and Cross-Complaint is  
26 barred, in whole or in part, by the applicable statutes of limitation, including, but not limited to,  
27 sections 318, 319, 321, 338, and 343 of the California Code of Civil Procedure.  
28



1 Complaint.

2 **Ninth Affirmative Defense**

3 10. The prescriptive claims asserted by governmental entity Cross-Complainants are  
4 *ultra vires* and exceed the statutory authority by which each entity may acquire property as set  
5 forth in Water Code sections 22456, 31040 and 55370.

6 **Tenth Affirmative Defense**

7 11. The prescriptive claims asserted by governmental entity Cross-Complainants are  
8 barred by the provisions of Article 1 Section 19 of the California Constitution.

9 **Eleventh Affirmative Defense**

10 12. The prescriptive claims asserted by governmental entity Cross-Complainants are  
11 barred by the provisions of the 5<sup>th</sup> Amendment to the United States Constitution as applied to the  
12 states under the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment of the United States Constitution.

13 **Twelfth Affirmative Defense**

14 13. Cross-Complainants' prescriptive claims are barred due to their failure to take  
15 affirmative steps that were reasonably calculated and intended to inform each overlying  
16 landowner of cross-complainants' adverse and hostile claim as required by the due process clause  
17 of the 5<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> Amendments of the United States Constitution.

18 **Thirteenth Affirmative Defense**

19 14. The prescriptive claims asserted by governmental entity Cross-Complainants are  
20 barred by the provisions of Article 1 Section 7 of the California Constitution.

21 **Fourteenth Affirmative Defense**

22 15. The prescriptive claims asserted by governmental entity Cross-Complainants are  
23 barred by the provisions of the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment to the United States Constitution.

24 **Fifteenth Affirmative Defense**

25 16. The governmental entity Cross-Complainants were permissively pumping at all  
26 times.

27 **Sixteenth Affirmative Defense**

28 17. The request for the court to use its injunctive powers to impose a physical solution

1 seeks a remedy that is in violation of the doctrine of separation of powers set forth in Article 3  
2 section 3 of the California Constitution.

3 **Seventeenth Affirmative Defense**

4 18. Cross-Complainants are barred from asserting their prescriptive claims by  
5 operation of law as set forth in Civil Code sections 1007 and 1214.

6 **Eighteenth Affirmative Defense**

7 19. Each Cross-Complainant is barred from recovery under each and every cause of  
8 action contained in the Cross-Complaint by the doctrine of unclean hands and/or unjust  
9 enrichment.

10 **Nineteenth Affirmative Defense**

11 20. The Cross-Complaint is defective because it fails to name indispensable parties in  
12 violation of California Code of Civil Procedure Section 389(a).

13 **Twentieth Affirmative Defense**

14 21. The governmental entity Cross-Complainants are barred from taking, possessing  
15 or using cross-defendants' property without first paying just compensation.

16 **Twenty-First Affirmative Defense**

17 22. The governmental entity Cross-Complainants are seeking to transfer water right  
18 priorities and water usage which will have significant effects on the Antelope Valley  
19 Groundwater basin and the Antelope Valley. Said actions are being done without complying with  
20 and contrary to the provisions of California's Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Pub.Res.C.  
21 2100 *et seq.*).

22 **Twenty-Second Affirmative Defense**

23 23. The governmental entity Cross-Complainants seek judicial ratification of a project  
24 that has had and will have a significant effect on the Antelope Valley Groundwater Basin and the  
25 Antelope Valley that was implemented without providing notice in contravention of the  
26 provisions of California's Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Pub.Res.C. 2100 *et seq.*).

27 **Twenty-Third Affirmative Defense**

28 24. Any imposition by this court of a proposed physical solution that reallocates the

1 water right priorities and water usage within the Antelope Valley will be *ultra vires* as it will be  
2 subverting the pre-project legislative requirements and protections of California's Environmental  
3 Quality Act (CEQA) (Pub.Res.C. 2100 *et seq.*).

4  
5 WHEREFORE, Defendant and Cross-defendant prays that judgment be entered as  
6 follows:

- 7 1. That Plaintiff and Cross-Complainant take nothing by reason of its Complaint or  
8 Cross-Complaint;  
9 2. That the Complaint and Cross-Complaints be dismissed with prejudice;  
10 3. For Defendant and Cross-Defendant's costs incurred herein; and  
11 4. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.  
12

13 Dated: 9/23, 2008

Signature Melinda L. Gillman  
Melinda L. Gillman, Trustee  
[Print name of party and/or attorney]  
Grubb Family Trust

16  
17 [FILE IN LA SUPERIOR COURT AND POST ON COURT WEBSITE - FOR E-FILING  
18 INSTRUCTIONS, PLEASE GO TO WWW.SCEFILING.ORG/FAQ OR CONTACT GLOTRANS  
19 AT (510) 208-4775.]  
20  
21  
22  
23

24 ORANGEUDUNN02353.1  
25  
26  
27  
28