1	BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP	EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES
2	ERIC L. GARNER, Bar No. 130665 JEFFREY V. DUNN, Bar No. 131926	UNDER GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6103
3	STEFANIE D. HEDLUND, Bar No. 239787 5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE 1500	
4	IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614	
	TELEPHONE: (949) 263-2600 TELECOPIER: (949) 260-0972	
5	Attorneys for Cross-Complainants LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORK	in the second se
6	DISTRICT NO. 40	
7	OFFICE OF COUNTY COUNSEL	
8	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES RAYMOND G. FORTNER, JR., Bar No. 42230	
9	COUNTY COUNSEL MICHAEL L. MOORE, Bar No. 175599	
10	SENIOR DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL 500 WEST TEMPLE STREET	
11	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012	
	TELEPHONE: (213) 974-8407 TELECOPIER: (213) 687-7337	
12	Attorneys for Cross-Complainant LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40	
13		
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT	
15		
16	COUNTY OF LOS AND	JELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT
17		
18	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES	Judicial Council Coordination No. 4408
	Sall.	CLASS ACTION
19	Included Actions: Los Angeles County Waterworks District	Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053
20	No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of California, County of Los	Assigned to The Honorable Jack Komar
21	Angeles, Case No. BC 325201;	
22	Los Angeles County Waterworks District	OBJECTION TO PROPOSED CLASS
23	No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of California, County of Kern, Case	NOTICE ORDER
24	No. S-1500-CV-254-348;	
	Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of	
25	i jancasjer i namono Harmino i o vi i itvor i	
26	Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v.	
	Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist., Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, Case Nos.	
27	Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist., Superior Court of	
27 28	Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist., Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, Case Nos.	

OBJECTION TO PROPOSED CLASS NOTICE ORDER

OBJECTIONS

Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 ("District") submits the following objections to the proposed class notice by class representative Willis:

- 1. The District objects to the proposal that the class notice "be at least 1/4 page and shall be placed in the Business sections of those papers." (Willis Class Proposed Order Governing Class Notice, p. 2, lns., 15-16.) The proposal unnecessarily increases the already substantial service costs to the District for class notice; and class notice publication should have the same print size and location within the newspaper as with its other published legal notices.
- 2. The District objects to the proposal that class notice be published in the Antelope Valley Press in addition to the Los Angeles Times, and the Bakersfield California. (Willis Class Proposed Order Governing Class Notice, p. 2, lns., 14-15.) On November 25, 2008, the Court issued an order for service by publication upon certain individual parties in the Los Angeles Times and Bakersfield Californian; and the Court indicated at its November 25, 2008 that there should be similar publication for the class notice in the two newspapers. Proposed additional publication in the Antelope Valley Press unnecessarily increases the already substantial service costs incurred by the District.

//

//

22 //

24 //

//

//

OBJECTION TO PROPOSED CLASS NOTICE ORDER

1 PROOF OF SERVICE 2 I, Kerry V. Keefe, declare: 3 I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is Best Best & Krieger LLP, 5 Park Plaza, 4 Suite 1500, Irvine, California 92614. On December 10, 2008, I served the within document(s): 5 OBJECTION TO PROPOSED CLASS NOTICE ORDER 6 X by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Court 7 website in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater matter. 8 by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Irvine, California addressed as set forth 9 below. 10 by causing personal delivery by ASAP Corporate Services of the document(s) 11 listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below. 12 by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below. 13 I caused such envelope to be delivered via overnight delivery addressed as 14 indicated on the attached service list. Such envelope was deposited for delivery by Federal Express following the firm's ordinary business practices. 15 16 I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing 17 correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I 18 am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit. 19 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the 20 above is true and correct. 21 Executed on December 10, 2008, at Irvine, California. 22 23 Kerry V. Keefe 24 25 26 27 28 ORANGE\KKEEFE\24201.1 - 1 -PROOF OF SERVICE