

**BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP**

ERIC L. GARNER, Bar No. 130665

JEFFREY V. DUNN, Bar No. 131926

STEFANIE D. HEDLUND, Bar No. 239787

5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE 1500

IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614

TELEPHONE: (949) 263-2600

TELECOPIER: (949) 260-0972

Attorneys for Cross-Complainants

ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES

DISTRICT and LOS ANGELES COUNTY

WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40

**OFFICE OF COUNTY COUNSEL**

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

JOHN F. KRATTLI, Bar No. 82149

SENIOR ASSISTANT COUNTY COUNSEL

MICHAEL L. MOORE, Bar No. 175599

SENIOR DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL

500 WEST TEMPLE STREET

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012

TELEPHONE: (213) 974-8407

TELECOPIER: (213) 687-7337

Attorneys for Cross-Complainant LOS ANGELES

COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40

**EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES  
UNDER GOVERNMENT CODE  
SECTION 6103**

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT

**ANTELOPE VALLEY  
GROUNDWATER CASES**

**Included Actions:**

Los Angeles County Waterworks District  
No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior  
Court of California, County of Los  
Angeles, Case No. BC 325201;

Los Angeles County Waterworks District  
No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior  
Court of California, County of Kern, Case  
No. S-1500-CV-254-348;

Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of  
Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. City of  
Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v.  
Palmdale Water Dist., Superior Court of  
California, County of Riverside, Case Nos.  
RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668

Judicial Council Coordination No. 4408

**CLASS ACTION**

Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053  
Assigned to The Honorable Jack Komar

**LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATER  
WORKS DISTRICT NO. 40'S COURTESY  
NOTICE TO WATERWORKS DISTRICT  
NO. 40 CUSTOMERS OF ANTELOPE  
VALLEY GROUNDWATER  
ADJUDICATION**

1 Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 hereby submits its proposed "Courtesy  
2 Notice To Waterworks District No. 40 Customers of Antelope Valley Groundwater  
3 Adjudication":  
4

5 "As a resident of the Antelope Valley you may have heard about a legal proceeding  
6 involving the local groundwater, commonly known as the 'Adjudication.' As a customer of a  
7 public water supplier, you are not personally subject to the proceeding unless you have your own  
8 private well inside the service area boundary. Please visit [www.avgroundwater.com](http://www.avgroundwater.com) for further  
9 information. If you have your own private well, please contact Melinda Barratt at (626) 300-  
10 3362."  
11

12 Dated: July 23, 2009

BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP

13  
14 By 

15 ERIC L. GARNER  
16 JEFFREY V. DUNN  
17 STEFANIE D. HEDLUND  
18 Attorneys for Cross-Complainants  
19 ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES  
20 DISTRICT and LOS ANGELES  
21 COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT  
22 NO. 40  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

I, Kerry V. Keefe, declare:

I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is Best Best & Krieger LLP, 5 Park Plaza, Suite 1500, Irvine, California 92614. On July 23, 2009, I served the within document(s):

**LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATER WORKS DISTRICT NO. 40'S COURTESY  
NOTICE TO WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40 CUSTOMERS OF ANTELOPE  
VALLEY GROUNDWATER ADJUDICATION**



by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Court website in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater matter.



by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Irvine, California addressed as set forth below.



by causing personal delivery by ASAP Corporate Services of the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.



by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.



I caused such envelope to be delivered via overnight delivery addressed as indicated on the attached service list. Such envelope was deposited for delivery by Federal Express following the firm's ordinary business practices.

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on July 23, 2009, at Irvine, California.

  
Kerry V. Keefe