1 BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP **EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES** ERIC L. GARNER, Bar No. 130665 **UNDER GOVERNMENT CODE** 2 JEFFREY V. DUNN, Bar No. 131926 **SECTION 6103** DANIEL S. ROBERTS, Bar No. 205535 3 STEFANIE D. HEDLUND, Bar No. 239787 5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE 1500 4 **IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614** TELEPHONE: (949) 263-2600 5 TELECOPIER: (949) 260-0972 Attorneys for Cross-Complainant LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS 6 DISTRICT NO. 40 7 OFFICE OF COUNTY COUNSEL 8 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES JOHN KRATTLI, BAR NO. 82109 9 COUNTY COUNSEL MICHAEL L. MOORE, Bar No. 175599 10 SENIOR DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL 500 WEST TEMPLE STREET 11 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012 TELEPHONE: (213) 974-1951 12 TELECOPIER: (213) 458-4020 Attorneys for Cross-Complainant LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40 13 14 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 15 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT 16 17 ANTELOPE VALLEY Judicial Council Coordination No. 4408 **GROUNDWATER CASES** 18 **CLASS ACTION Included Actions:** 19 Los Angeles County Waterworks District Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Assigned to The Honorable Jack Komar 20 Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 325201: 21 CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE Los Angeles County Waterworks District **STATEMENT** 22 No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of California, County of Kern, Case Date: August 17, 1009 23 No. S-1500-CV-254-348; Time: 10:00 a.m. Dept: 17C (San Jose) 24 Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. City of 25 Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist., Superior Court of 26 California, County of Riverside, Case Nos. RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668 27 28

LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE 1500 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614

I. INTRODUCTION

Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 ("District") provides this narrative Case Management Conference Statement in preparation for the August 17, 2009 trial setting / further case management conference in the above-captioned matter.

II. STATUS OF PERSONAL SERVICE

The Court ordered that personal service be completed upon landowners pumping more than 25 acre feet of water annually, or owning more than 100 acres of land. Six hundred and sixty-seven landowner parties were identified that met the criteria for personal service. Five hundred and forty-seven landowners have been personally served. For the remaining approximately 120 identified landowners who have not been able to be personally served, the Court approved service by publication. Such publication will be completed by September 4, 2009.

III. STATUS OF WILLIS CLASS NOTICE

The mailing of 73,766 notices and the publication of the notice for the Willis Class is complete. The extended deadline to opt out / in has expired. Those who opted out have been served by mail under Code of Civil Procedure section 415.30. Those who have not returned the acknowledgement of receipt and who do not join either the Willis Class or the Wood Class will be personally served no later than October 30, 2009. The Willis Class will be "at issue" on or about November 30, 3009.

IV. STATUS OF WOOD CLASS NOTICE

The mailing of 9,884 notices for the Wood class is complete. The publication of the notice for the Wood class is currently in process. The schedule for publication is August 2, 5, 9, and 12, 2009. The deadline to respond to the notice is 60 days after the last publication date, i.e., October 11, 2009. Those who opt out will be served either by personal service or by mail pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 415.30. District 40 anticipates that such service will 2

be complete by October 30, 2009. The Wood Class will thus be "at issue" on or about November 30, 2009.

///

V. TIMING OF NEXT PHASE OF TRIAL

The District believes that the next phase of trial should take place as soon as possible to minimize further delay, avoid potentially-unnecessary litigation expense, and to facilitate the earliest possible negotiated resolution of case issues. Once the Court makes a determination of safe yield and overdraft, the parties will be able to assess the strength of their claims of priority to Basin water while understanding the total amount available for all producers. In any event, the Court cannot make a determination of whether a party acquired prescriptive rights until the Court first determines the nature and extent of the Basin's safe yield, and whether groundwater withdrawals have exceeded safe yield.

Class notice has delayed bringing this case to trial. However, it is anticipated that all class notice will be complete and the case will be at issue by November 30, 2009.

To ensure the next phase of trial proceeds in a timely and efficient manner, the Court should order all parties presenting expert witness testimony to file written expert witness reports by October 15, 2009. The deadline for designating supplemental experts and providing their reports should be November 2, 2009. Expert witness reports should contain all expert opinions and the full and complete basis for the opinion.

Expert witness depositions should begin on November 2, 2009 and shall be completed by November 16, 2009. The parties shall allow for more than one expert to be deposed at a time. The parties shall exchange trial briefs and exhibits on or before December 4, 2009. Any discovery motions or motions in limine shall be filed by December 18, 2009. The District is ///

RVPUB\DROBERTS\763765.2

	1	requesting that the next phase of trial com	mence on January 4, 2010, or as soon thereafter as
LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 5 PARK PLAZA, SUTE 1500 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614	2	possible on the Court's calendar.	
	3		
	4	Dated: August 10, 2009	Respectfully submitted,
	5		BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP
	6		O O O A
	7		By Lan Robert ERIC L. GARNER
	8		JEFFREY V. DUNN DANIEL S. ROBERTS
	9		STEFANIE D. HEDLUND Attorneys for Cross-Complainant LOS ANGELES COUNTY
	10		LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40
	11		
	12		
	13		
	14		
	15		
	16		
	17		
	18		
	19		
	20	ē	
	21		
	22		
	23		
	24		
	25		
	26		
	27		
	28		4
	ll ll		T

CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT

RVPUB\DROBERTS\763765.2

LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KREGER LLP 5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE 1500 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Kerry V. Keefe, declare:

I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is Best & Krieger LLP, 5 Park Plaza, Suite 1500, Irvine, California 92614. On August 10, 2009, I served the within document(s):

CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT

by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Court website in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater matter. by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Irvine, California addressed as set forth below. by causing personal delivery by ASAP Corporate Services of the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below. by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below. I caused such envelope to be delivered via overnight delivery addressed as indicated on the attached service list. Such envelope was deposited for delivery by Federal Express following the firm's ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. Executed on August 10, 2009, at Irvine, California.			
fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Irvine, California addressed as set forth below. by causing personal delivery by ASAP Corporate Services of the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below. by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below. I caused such envelope to be delivered via overnight delivery addressed as indicated on the attached service list. Such envelope was deposited for delivery by Federal Express following the firm's ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. Executed on August 10, 2009, at Irvine, California.	×		
listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below. by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below. I caused such envelope to be delivered via overnight delivery addressed as indicated on the attached service list. Such envelope was deposited for delivery by Federal Express following the firm's ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. Executed on August 10, 2009, at Irvine, California.		fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Irvine, California addressed as set forth	
I caused such envelope to be delivered via overnight delivery addressed as indicated on the attached service list. Such envelope was deposited for delivery by Federal Express following the firm's ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. Executed on August 10, 2009, at Irvine, California.			
I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. Executed on August 10, 2009, at Irvine, California.			
correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. Executed on August 10, 2009, at Irvine, California.		indicated on the attached service list. Such envelope was deposited for delivery	
above is true and correct. Executed on August 10, 2009, at Irvine, California.	Service on that am aware that	e for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation	
Kerry W. Keefe	Executed on August 10, 2009, at Irvine, California.		
		Kerry W. Keefe	

ORANGE\KKEEFE\24201.1