1 BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP **EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES** ERIC L. GARNER, Bar No. 130665 UNDER GOVERNMENT CODE 2 JEFFREY V. DUNN, Bar No. 131926 SECTION 6103 STEFANIE D. HEDLUND, Bar No. 239787 3 5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE 1500 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614 4 TELEPHONE: (949) 263-2600 TELECOPIER: (949) 260-0972 5 OFFICE OF COUNTY COUNSEL 6 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES RAYMOND G. FORTNER, JR., Bar No. 42230 7 COUNTY COUNSEL FREDERICK W. PFAEFFLE, Bar No. 145742 8 SENIOR DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL 500 WEST TEMPLE STREET 9 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012 TELEPHONE: (213) 974-1901 10 TELECOPIER: (213) 458-4020 11 Attorneys for Plaintiffs ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT and LOS ANGELES COUNTY 12 WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40 13 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 14 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT 15 16 ANTELOPE VALLEY Judicial Council Coordination No. 4408 **GROUNDWATER CASES** 17 Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 Included Actions: Assigned to The Honorable Jack Komar 18 Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior 19 Court of California, County of Los EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR Angeles, Case No. BC 325201; 20 EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION RE CLASS Los Angeles County Waterworks District 21 **CERTIFICATION: DECLARATION OF** No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior JEFFREY V. DUNN Court of California, County of Kern, Case 22 No. S-1500-CV-254-348; 23 Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. City of 24 Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist., Superior Court of 25 California, County of Riverside, Case Nos. RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668 26 27

28

Pursuant to California Rules of Court Rule 3.503, counsel for the Public Water Suppliers respectfully request a one-and-a-half day extension, from March 7, 2007 to March 9, 2007 by 1:00 p.m., to reply to all Oppositions filed in response to the Public Water Suppliers' Motion for Class Certification.

Counsel for the Public Water Suppliers requests this short extension to allow the Public Water Suppliers to reply fully to each of the Oppositions filed by the following parties: State of California, Diamond Farming, SPC Del Sur Ranch LLC, Antelope Valley Groundwater Agreement Association, White Fence Farms Mutual Water Co. Inc., City of Los Angeles, and the United States. (See, Decl. of Jeffrey Dunn, filed concurrently.)

Furthermore, the Public Water Suppliers request this extension in light of the Court's order granting the United States Ex Parte Application for Extension of Time To Respond To Motion for Class Certification, dated February 27, 2007. The Court granted the United States a three day extension until March 1, 2007 to respond to the Public Water Suppliers' Motion for Class Certification. (*See*, Decl. of Jeffrey Dunn, filed concurrently.)

Given the quantity and length of oppositions filed and the extension of time granted to the United States to respond, the Public Water Suppliers' respectfully request a one-and-a-half day extension until March 9, 2007, 1:00 p.m., to reply to all Oppositions

Dated: March 6, 2007

BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP

By

ERICIL GARNER
JEFFREY V. DUNN
STEFANIE D. HEDLUND
Attorneys for Cross-Complainants

ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT and LOS ANGELES

COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT

NO. 40

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Kerry V. Keefe, declare:

I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is Best Best & Krieger LLP, 5 Park Plaza, Suite 1500, Irvine, California 92614. On March 6, 2007, I served the within document(s):

EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION RE CLASS CERTIFICATION; DECLARATION OF JEFFREY V. DUNN

×	by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Court website in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater matter.
	by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Irvine, California addressed as set forth below.
	by causing personal delivery by ASAP Corporate Services of the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
	by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
	I caused such envelope to be delivered via overnight delivery addressed as indicated on the attached service list. Such envelope was deposited for delivery by Federal Express following the firm's ordinary business practices.
I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.	
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.	
	Executed on March 6, 2007, at Irvine, California.
	Kerry V. Keefe

ORANGE\KKEEFE\24201.1

-1-