1	BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP	EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES
2	ERIC L. GARNER, Bar No. 130665 JEFFREY V. DUNN, Bar No. 131926	UNDER GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6103
3	STEFANIE D. HEDLUND, Bar No. 239787 5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE 1500	
4	IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614 TELEPHONE: (949) 263-2600	
5	TELECOPIER: (949) 260-0972 Attorneys for Cross-Complainant	
6	LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40	S
7	OFFICE OF COUNTY COUNSEL	
8	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
	ANDREA ORDIN, Bar No. 38235 COUNTY COUNSEL	
9	WARREN WELLEN, Bar No. 139152 PRINCIPAL DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL	
10	500 WEST TEMPLE STREET LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012 TELEPHONE: (213) 974-8407 TELECOPIER: (213) 687-7337	
11		
12	Attorneys for Cross-Complainant LOS ANGLOUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO.	ELES . 40
13	·	
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
15	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT	
16	а	
17	ANTELOPE VALLEY	Judicial Council Coordination No. 4408
18	GROUNDWATER CASES	CLASS ACTION
19	Included Actions: Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 325201;	
20		Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 Assigned to The Honorable Jack Komar
21		APPLICATION TO EXTEND THE
22	Los Angeles County Waterworks District	DEADLINE TO FILE PHASE 3 TRIAL BRIEFS; DECLARATION OF JEFFREY V.
23	No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of California, County of Kern, Case	DUNN
24	No. S-1500-CV-254-348;	
25	Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. City of	
26	Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist., Superior Court of	
27	California, County of Riverside, Case Nos. RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668	
28		= m

1
APPLICATION TO EXTEND THE DEADLINE TO FILE TRIAL BRIEFS

APPLICATION TO EXTEND THE DEADLINE TO FILE PHASE 3 TRIAL BRIEFS

This Application respectfully quests that the Court extend the Phase 3 trial brief filing deadline to December 20, 2010. This Application is made by the City of Los Angeles, Quartz Hill Water District, Palmdale Water District, California Water Service Company, Littlerock Creek Irrigation District, Palm Ranch Irrigation District, North Edwards Water District, Desert Lake Community Services District and Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 (collectively "Requesting Parties.")

The Application is based on the following: (1) Expert witness depositions are ongoing and have resulted in the discovery of new information to be addressed in the parties' trial briefs; (2) Requesting Parties can file a joint trial brief but need additional time to consult with one another; and, (3) the requests for entry of default for non-appearing parties is extensive and ongoing. (Dunn Decl., at ¶¶ 2, 3 and 4.)

For the above reasons, Requesting Parties respectfully request the Court extend the time for all parties to file Phase 3 trial to December 20, 2010.

Dated: December 10, 2010

BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP

By

ERICL. GARNER

JEFFREY V. DUNN STEFANIE D. HEDLUND

Attorneys for Cross-Complainant

LOS ANGELES COUNTY

WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40

DECLARATION OF JEFFREY V. DUNN

attorneys of record for Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40. I have personal

I am a California licensed attorney and a partner with Best Best & Krieger LLP.

The City of Los Angeles, Littlerock Creek Irrigation District, Desert Lakes

Community Services District, Palmdale Water District, Quartz Hill Water District, Palm Ranch

Irrigation District, North Edwards Water District, California Water Service Company and Los

Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 (collectively, "Requesting Parties") intend to file a

time to prepare and confer on the joint trial brief. Additionally, other parties have indicated that

scheduled to occur as late as Monday, December 13, and deposition transcripts have not yet been

depositions, the discovery of new opinions, analysis, and information has been revealed. This

pursuant to the Court's November 19, 2010 Order. This process has required numerous hours of

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the

produced for all previous expert witness depositions. During the ongoing expert witness

attorney and paralegal time to comply with the December 15, 2010 deadline.

Executed this 10th day of December, 2010 at Irvine, California

Expert witness depositions are ongoing. There are expert witness depositions

At my direction, my office is processing over 1,000 requests for entry of default

joint trial brief. In order to file a joint trial brief, however, Requesting Parties need additional

I, Jeffrey V. Dunn, declare as follows:

they may also join the Requesting Parties trial brief.

new information should be considered in the trial briefs.

knowledge of the following facts and would so testify in court.

2

3

1.

2.

3.

4.

foregoing is true and correct.

4 5

6 7

> 8 9

10 11

12 13

14 15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

26345.0000A\5776686.1

24

1 PROOF OF SERVICE 2 I, Stefanie D. Hedlund, declare: 3 not a party to the within action; my business address is Best Best & Krieger LLP, 400 Capitol 4 document(s): 5 6 **BRIEFS; DECLARATION OF JEFFREY V. DUNN** 7 X website in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater matter. 8 9 below. 10 11 LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE 1500 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614 12 13 address(es) set forth below. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 above is true and correct. 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 - 1 -

I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years, and

Mall, Suite 1650, Sacramento, California 95814. On December 10, 2010, I served the within

APPLICATION TO EXTEND THE DEADLINE TO FILE PHASE 3 TRIAL

by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Court

by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Irvine, California addressed as set forth

by causing personal delivery by ASAP Corporate Services of the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.

by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the

I caused such envelope to be delivered via overnight delivery addressed as indicated on the attached service list. Such envelope was deposited for delivery by Federal Express following the firm's ordinary business practices.

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the

Executed on December 10, 2010, at Sacramento, California.