1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA					
3						
4						
5						
6) Santa Clara					
7) Case No.					
8	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES,) 1-05-CV-049053					
9						
10) Volume III					
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16	Continued Deposition of JOSEPH SCALMANINI, taken at					
17	301 North Lake Avenue, 10th Floor, Pasadena, California,					
18	beginning at 10:37 a.m. and ending at 5:27 p.m. on					
19	Monday, December 13, 2010, before RUBEN GARCIA,					
20	Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 11305.					
21						
22						
23						
24						
25	PAGES 416 - 619					
	Page 416					

- 1 from the mid '80s to the mid to late '90s. And then
- 2 turned around and went down. And that correlates with
- 3 the change in land use and the change in the pumping
- 4 stresses that are out there.
- But you said something about that's where it's
- 6 using about what the yield is, the amount of the yield
- 7 is being pumped?
- A Close.
- Let's talk about Grismer and -- were there any
- 10 other opinions on Sheehan?
- A I told you if I thought there was anything
- 12 else from memory over lunch I'd tell you, so I guess I
- 13 better tell you. The thing I didn't mention was
- 14 subsidence. He makes some pretty matter of fact
- 15 statements of how subsidence had leveled off in the
- 16 early '90s and then there wasn't any ongoing subsidence
- 17 in the basin. And I would disagree with that.
- 18 Q And why?
- Well, when was I here last? Two weeks ago?
- 20 Right. Close enough.
- A So you asked me some questions about that
- 22 topic.
- I asked you whether subsidence was continuing
- 24 or not. And you said you hadn't looked at it.
- A Yeah, I did. And I don't often admit this out

Page 485

- 1 loud, but I will. I was frustrated because I knew I
- 2 had, but I couldn't call it to mind when I was sitting
- 3 here. But I was thinking to myself -- so I was
- 4 answering your question and I was thinking to myself,
- 5 I'm going to go home and double check. So I did.
- And remember we talked about extensometers.
- 7 It might have been more when Michael was asking me
- 8 questions.
- Q It was.
- A And I said I couldn't remember about the
- 11 ongoing data collection because I thought there was a
- 12 possibility the funding had dried up and they might just
- 13 be sitting out there. But I was wrong on that
- 14 speculation.
- So there have been extensometers out there
- 16 since 1990. They have been read. And there's a clear
- 17 and complete dataset that tracks water levels and
- 18 monitoring wells around the extensometers and subsidence
- 19 itself from the extensometers since they went in. And
- 20 subsidence continues, for all practical purposes,
- 21 linearly from 1990 to the present.
- When you say linearly, do you mean it's
- 23 remained the same or --
- A At the same rate.
- MR. DUNN: Can I interrupt just for a second? Off 25
 - Page 486

- 1 the record.
- (Discussion off the record.)
- MR. ZIMMER: We're back on.
- 4 BY MR. ZIMMER:
- You said that the subsidence had continued at
- 6 the same level since the 1990s?
- A Well, for all practical purposes, a linear
- 8 rate, meaning the rate of subsidence, is pretty much a
- 9 straight line.
- I'm not familiar with extensometer. How does 10
- 11 that read out? Does that show subsidence or does it
- 12 give you some other data?
- It's a device that physically measures, if you 13
- 14 will, the movement of the ground surface or the entire
- 15 column from the ground surface down to the depth of
- 16 installation of the extensometer.
- How sensitive is that?
- 18 Extremely.
- Does it measure in hundredths of inches? 19
- 20 Thousandths?
- A Wow. I think I could say confidently at least
- 22 within hundredths of a foot. I probably shouldn't
- 23 speculate beyond that. I think it has to do with the
- 24 sensitivity of the instrumentation that's installed at
- 25 the ground surface to measure it. But you can see
 - Page 487
- 1 extremely small fluctuations. Whether they're less,
- 2 meaning smaller than a hundredth of a foot, I can't tell
- 3 you from instant memory.
- But, you know, if you looked at data plotted,
- 5 which are recorded with some kind of a data logger on
- 6 various small time steps, you could see a little bit of
- 7 what I call noisiness to the line when you plot it out,
- 8 which shows real small -- almost like vibrations, it's
- 9 the wrong word on the ground surface, so it's not like a
- 10 nice clean line. It's got a little bit of small
- 11 jaggedness to it, which is partly result of how
- 12 frequently the measurements are made.
- But the instrumentation on the extensometer
- 14 can record to very high sensitivity or very small
- 15 fluctuations in the ground surface.
- How long have the extensometers been there?
- Since 1990. Investigation of subsidence went
- 18 on for decades before that, but that's when the
- 19 extensometers went in.
- And was there a range on what the
- 21 extensometers showed in terms of subsidence throughout
- 22 that period from 1990 to --
- Well, the one I recall is that based on
- 24 measurements of the ground surface itself prior to 1992
- 25 there was -- the location where the extensometer is on

Page 488

		-		
1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)	1	DEPOSITION EXHIBITS	
) SS.	2	JOSEPH SCALMANINI	
2	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)	3		
3		4	NUMBER DESCRIPTION	IDENTIFIED
	I, RUBEN GARCIA, CSR No. 11305, do hereby		Exhibit 7 Jump drive produced by	583
4	certify:		Mr. Scalmanini	363
5	That the foregoing deposition testimony of	6	IVII. Scaimaini	
6	JOSEPH SCALMANINI was taken before me at the time and	7		
7	place therein set forth, at which time the witness was	6		
8	placed under oath and was sworn by me to tell the truth,	0		
	the whole truth, and nothing but the truth.	19		
10	That the testimony of the witness and all	10		
11	objections by counsel at the time of the examination	11		
11 (2)	were recorded stenographically by me, and were	12		
	thereafter transcribed under my direction and	13		
	supervision, and that the foregoing pages contain a	14		
	full, true and accurate record of all proceedings and	15		
20.00	testimony to the best of my skill and ability.	16		
17	I further certify that I am neither counsel	17		
18	for any party in said action, nor am I related to any	18		
	party in said action, nor am I in any way interested in	19		
1000	the outcome thereof.	20		
21		21		
	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed my name			
	this 27th day of December, 2010.	22		
23		23		
24		24		
25	RUBEN GARCIA, CSR No. 11305	25		
	Page 617			Page 619
1	INDEX			
2				
3				
4	MONDAY, DECEMBER 13, 2010			
5	THE TITLE TO THE TOTAL THE TOTAL TO THE TOTAL THE TOTAL TO THE TOTAL THE TOTAL TO T			
6	WITNESS EXAMINATION			
6	WITNESS			
/				
8	JOSEPH SCALMANINI			
9	By Mr. Zimmer 419, 606			
10	By Mr. Fife 496			
11				
12				
13				
14				
14				
15	INSTRUCTION NOT TO ANSWER			
16	(None)			
17				
18				
19	INFORMATION REQUESTED			
20	(None)			
21				
22				
22				
23	•			
24				
25				
	Page 618			