1	BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP	EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES
2	ERIC L. GARNER, Bar No. 130665 JEFFREY V. DUNN, Bar No. 131926	UNDER GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6103
3	STEFANIE D. HEDLUND, Bar No. 239787 5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE 1500	
4	IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614	
4	TELEPHONE: (949) 263-2600 TELECOPIER: (949) 260-0972	
5	Attorneys for Cross-Complainants	
6	ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT and LOS ANGELES COUNTY	
	WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40	
7	OFFICE OF COUNTY COUNSEL	
8	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
9	RAYMOND G. FORTNER, JR., Bar No. 42230 COUNTY COUNSEL	
9	FREDERICK W. PFAEFFLE, Bar No. 145742	
10	PRINCIPAL DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL	
11	500 WEST TEMPLE STREET LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012	
10	TELEPHONE: (213) 974-1901	
12	TELECOPIER: (213) 458-4020 Attorneys for Cross-Complainant LOS ANG	ELES
13	COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO	
14		
1.5	STIDEBTOR COLIET OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
15		
16	COUNTY OF LOS AND	GELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT
17		
18	ANTELOPE VALLEY	Judicial Council Coordination No. 4408
10	GROUNDWATER CASES	
19	Included Actions:	CLASS ACTION
20	Los Angeles County Waterworks District	Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053
21	No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of California, County of Los	Assigned to The Honorable Jack Komar
21	Angeles, Case No. BC 325201;	
22	Las Assalas Causta Waterman la District	
23	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior	ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT'S OBJECTIONS TO REQUEST
	Court of California, County of Kern, Case	FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS,
24	No. S-1500-CV-254-348;	SET ONE
25	Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of	
26	Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v.	
	Palmdale Water Dist., Superior Court of	
27	California, County of Riverside, Case Nos. RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668	
28	100 333 040, 100 344 000	

ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT'S OBJECTIONS TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS, SET ONE

PROPOUNDING PARTY:

Diamond Farming Company

RESPONDING PARTY:

Rosamond Community Services District

SET NUMBER:

One (1)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 1:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 2:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 3:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

19

20

21

22

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 4:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

2324

25

26

27

28

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 5:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE 1500 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614

OBJECTION TO REQUEST NO. 6:

Objection. The request is premature, burdensome and oppressive. This request seeks information concerning class members and the court has not yet completed its class certification process. No class representative has yet been approved by the court.

Dated: June 26, 2007

BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP

By

ERIC I. GARNER
JEFFREY V. DUNN
STEFANIE D. HEDLUND
Attorneys for Cross-Complainants
ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES
DISTRICT and LOS ANGELES
COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT
NO. 40

ORANGE\37322.1

LAW OFFICES OF BESTBEST & KRIEGER LLP 5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE I 500 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 926I 4

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Kerry V. Keefe, declare:

I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is Best & Krieger LLP, 5 Park Plaza, Suite 1500, Irvine, California 92614. On June 26, 2007, I served the within document(s):

ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT'S OBJECTIONS TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS, SET ONE

×	by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Court website in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater matter.
	by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Irvine, California addressed as set forth below.
	by causing personal delivery by ASAP Corporate Services of the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
	by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
	I caused such envelope to be delivered via overnight delivery addressed as indicated on the attached service list. Such envelope was deposited for delivery by Federal Express following the firm's ordinary business practices.

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on June 26, 2007, at Irvine, California.

Kerry V. Keefe

ORANGE\KKEEFE\24201.1