

BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP

ERIC L. GARNER, Bar No. 130665

JEFFREY V. DUNN, Bar No. 131926

STEFANIE D. HEDLUND, Bar No. 239787

5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE 1500

IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614

TELEPHONE: (949) 263-2600

TELECOPIER: (949) 260-0972

Attorneys for Cross-Complainants

ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES

DISTRICT and LOS ANGELES COUNTY

WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40

OFFICE OF COUNTY COUNSEL

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

RAYMOND G. FORTNER, JR., Bar No. 42230

COUNTY COUNSEL

FREDERICK W. PFAEFFLE, Bar No. 145742

PRINCIPAL DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL

500 WEST TEMPLE STREET

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012

TELEPHONE: (213) 974-1901

TELECOPIER: (213) 458-4020

Attorneys for Cross-Complainant LOS ANGELES

COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT

**ANTELOPE VALLEY
GROUNDWATER CASES**

Included Actions:

Los Angeles County Waterworks District
No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior
Court of California, County of Los
Angeles, Case No. BC 325201;

Los Angeles County Waterworks District
No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior
Court of California, County of Kern, Case
No. S-1500-CV-254-348;

Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of
Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. City of
Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v.
Palmdale Water Dist., Superior Court of
California, County of Riverside, Case Nos.
RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668

Judicial Council Coordination No. 4408

CLASS ACTION

Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053
Assigned to The Honorable Jack Komar

REPORT ON SERVICE OF PROCESS

1 Before the last court hearing on May 21, 2007, the Los Angeles County Waterworks
2 District 40, Antelope Valley ("District") had served 258 parties, and the District has personally
3 served 63 additional parties since the last court hearing, for a total of 321 parties served.

4 As the Court is aware, various existing parties claimed to have information concerning
5 land ownership indicating there are persons or entities owning at least 100 acres within the
6 Adjudication Area that have not yet been served. But these existing parties refused to provide
7 that information to the District. For this reason, the Court approved the Public Water Suppliers
8 propounding interrogatories to the parties for them to identify persons or entities not yet named in
9 the case but own at least 100 acres within the Adjudication Area. The Public Water Suppliers
10 served the interrogatories on June 13, 2007, 23 days after the last court hearing.

11 Although most parties continue to refuse to provide the needed response, the District
12 recently received information from some parties concerning land ownership to update and
13 supplement the County's original list, which indicates additional parties owning at least 100 acres
14 which must be named and served. Although said update and additional information shows
15 several hundred potential additional parties that might need to be served, the District is currently
16 evaluating and processing the new data to confirm the identity and landownership for service of
17 process; and to determine those from the new list that are existing customers of a public water

18 //

20 //

22 //

24 //

26 //

28 //

1 supplier or are in the process of connecting to a public water suppliers' service system, which
2 customers would continue to be excluded from service. The District is working diligently to
3 complete the identification, confirmation and service for recently disclosed parties by the July
4 20th hearing date.

7 Dated: July 2, 2007

BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP

9 By [Original Signed]

10 ERIC L. GARNER
11 JEFFREY V. DUNN
12 STEFANIE D. HEDLUND
13 Attorneys for Cross-Complainants
14 ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES
15 DISTRICT and LOS ANGELES
16 COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT
17 NO. 40

15 ORANGE\37498.1

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Kerry V. Keefe, declare:

I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is Best Best & Krieger LLP, 5 Park Plaza, Suite 1500, Irvine, California 92614. On July 2, 2007, I served the within document(s):

REPORT ON SERVICE OF PROCESS

☒ by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Court website in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater matter.

☐ by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Irvine, California addressed as set forth below.

☐ by causing personal delivery by ASAP Corporate Services of the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.

☐ by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.

<input type="checkbox"/>	I caused such envelope to be delivered via overnight delivery addressed as indicated on the attached service list. Such envelope was deposited for delivery by Federal Express following the firm's ordinary business practices.
--------------------------	--

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on July 2, 2007, at Irvine, California.

[Original Signed]
Kerry V. Keefe