1	BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP	EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES
2	ERIC L. GARNER, Bár No. 130665 JEFFREY V. DUNN, Bar No. 131926	UNDER GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6103
2	STEFANIE D. HEDLUND, Bar No. 239787	
3	5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE 1500	
4	IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614 TELEPHONE: (949) 263-2600	
7	TELECOPIER: (949) 260-0972	
5	Attorneys for Cross-Complainants	
-	ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES	
6	DISTRICT and LOS ANGELES COUNTY	
	WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40	
7		
	OFFICE OF COUNTY COUNSEL	
8	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
9	RAYMOND G. FORTNER, JR., Bar No. 42230 COUNTY COUNSEL	
,	FREDERICK W. PFAEFFLE, Bar No. 145742	
10	PRINCIPAL DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL	
	500 WEST TEMPLE STREET	
11	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012	
	TELEPHONE: (213) 974-1901	
12	TELECOPIER: (213) 458-4020	DY DO
12	Attorneys for Cross-Complainant LOS ANG COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO	
13	COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO	. 40
14		
	SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
15	COLDITY OF LOCANIC	THE CONTRAL DISTRICT
1,	COUNTY OF LOS AND	GELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT
16		
17		
•	ANTELOPE VALLEY	Judicial Council Coordination No. 4408
18	GROUNDWATER CASES	
	T 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	CLASS ACTION
19	Included Actions:	Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053
20	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior	Assigned to The Honorable Jack Komar
20	Court of California, County of Los	Assigned to The Honorable Jack Roman
21	Angeles, Case No. BC 325201;	NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION
		FOR APPOINTMENT OF BILL B. DENDY
22	Los Angeles County Waterworks District	AS MANDATORY SETTLEMENT
,	No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior	REFEREE; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS
23	Court of California, County of Kern, Case	AND AUTHORITIES
	No. S-1500-CV-254-348;	Haaring
24	Wm Polthousa Forms Inc. v. City of	<u>Hearing</u> :
25	Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. City of	<b>Date:</b> August 20, 2007
دے	Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v.	Time: 9:00 a.m.
26	Palmdale Water Dist., Superior Court of	Dept.: 1
_	California, County of Riverside, Case Nos.	
27	RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668	
2.5		
28	2624000\\\$HEDLUND\\38784 1	
	75 775500 S H H I II I I I I I I S X 7 X Z I I	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

# LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE 1500 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614

### TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 and Rosamond Community Services District (collectively "Districts"), hereby withdraw without prejudice, the Motion For Appointment Of Bill B. Dendy As Mandatory Settlement Referee, which is scheduled for hearing on August 20, at 9:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard in Department 1 of the Los Angeles County Superior Court. The Districts reserve the right to renew their motion should they determine that proceedings with Mr. Dendy require Mr. Dendy's appointment by the Court as a Mandatory Settlement Referee based on the progress of settlement discussions.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Dated: August 7, 2007

BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP

Ву

JEFFREY V. DUNN

STEFANIE D. HEDLUND

Attorneys for Cross-Complainants

ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES

DISTRICT and LOS ANGELES

COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT

NO. 40

2624990\SHEDLUND\38784.1

# LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE +500 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 926 I 4

### MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

2

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 and Rosamond Community Services
District (collectively "Districts") hereby withdraw, without prejudice, their Motion For
Appointment of Bill B. Dendy As Mandatory Settlement Referee.

The Districts seek to resolve this groundwater adjudication as quickly and efficiently as possible. The Districts believe that appointing a mandatory settlement referee is a key component of resolving this litigation because it increases the likelihood of all parties participating in successful settlement negotiations.

However, due to the concerns expressed by other parties, the Districts are withdrawing their motion at this time to give the facilitator process in place now an opportunity to succeed. It is the Districts' sincere hope that the settlement discussions with Mr. Dendy as a facilitator will succeed. If the facilitator process is unsuccessful, the Districts reserve the right to bring before this Court a motion to appoint a mandatory settlement referee in the future.

Dated: August , 2007

**BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP** 

ERIC/L. GARNER JEFFREY V. DUNN

STEFANIE D. HEDLUND

Attorneys for Cross-Complainants

ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES

DISTRICT and LOS ANGELES

COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40

2624990\SHEDLUND\38784.1

# LAW OFFICES OF BESTBEST & KRIEGER LLP 5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE + 500 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 9261 4

### **PROOF OF SERVICE**

I, Kerry V. Keefe, declare:

I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is Best Best & Krieger LLP, 5 Park Plaza, Suite 1500, Irvine, California 92614. On August 17, 2007, I served the within document(s):

## NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF BILL B. DENDY AS MANDATORY SETTLEMENT REFEREE; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

×	by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Court website in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater matter.
	by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Irvine, California addressed as set forth below.
	by causing personal delivery by ASAP Corporate Services of the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
	by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
	I caused such envelope to be delivered via overnight delivery addressed as indicated on the attached service list. Such envelope was deposited for delivery by Federal Express following the firm's ordinary business practices.
correspondence Service on that am aware that	I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing e for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.
above is true ar	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the nd correct.
	Executed on August 17, 2007, at Irvine, California.
	Kerry V. Keefe
Orange\kkeefe\24	4201.1 – 1 –

PROOF OF SERVICE