1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP ERIC L. GARNER, Bar No. 130665 JEFFREY V. DUNN, Bar No. 131926 STEFANIE D. HEDLUND, Bar No. 2397 18101 VON KARMAN AVENUE, SUITE 1000 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92612 TELEPHONE: (949) 263-2600 TELECOPIER: (949) 260-0972 Attorneys for Cross-Complainant LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DIS' OFFICE OF COUNTY COUNSEL COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES JOHN F. KRATTLI, Bar No. 82149 COUNTY COUNSEL WARREN WELLEN, Bar No. 139152 PRINCIPAL DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSE	TRICT NO. 40	
10 11 12	500 WEST TEMPLE STREET LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012 TELEPHONE: (213) 974-8407 TELECOPIER: (213) 687-7337 Attorneys for Cross-Complainant LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DIST		
13 14 15	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES INCLUDED ACTIONS: LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT No. 40 v. DIAMOND FARMING COMPANY, et al. LASC Case No. BC325201; LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT No. 40 v. DIAMOND FARMING COMPANY, et al. Kern County Superior Court Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348; DIAMOND FARMING COMPANY et. al. v. CITY OF LANCASTER et al., Riverside County Superior Court Case No. RIC 344436 (c/w RIC 344668 355840)	Judicial Council Coordination No. 4408 CLASS ACTION Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 Assigned to The Honorable Jack Komar STIPULATION TO DISMISS LITTLE BALDY MUTUAL WATER COMPANY	
28		o. 40, ("District 40"), City of Palmdale, City	
1	STIPULATION TO DISMISS LITTLE	BALDY MUTUAL' WATER COMPANY	

1 2 3 4 5	Dated: February 22 JAMES L. MARKMAN STEVEN ORR Attorneys for Cross-Defendant CITY OF PALMDALE
6 7	
8	Dated: February , 2013 DOUGLAS J. EVERTZ
9	Attorneys for Cross-Defendant CITY OF LANCASTER AND ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES
10	DISTRICT
11	
12	Dated: February , 2013 WAYNE LEMIEUX
13	Attorneys for Cross-Defendant LITTLEROCK CREEK IRRIGATION
14	DISTRICT AND PALM RANCH IRRIGATION DISTRICT
15 16	
17	
18	Dated: February , 2013 THOMAS BUNN III
19	Attorneys for Cross-Defendant PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT
20	
21	Detail Fals and 20012
22	Dated: February 22, 2013 BRADLEY T. WEEKS Attempting for Cross Defendant
23	Attorneys for Cross-Defendant QUARTZ HILL WATER DISTRICT
24 25	
2526	Dated: February , 2013 JOHN TOOTLE
27	Attorneys for Cross-Defendant CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE
28	COMPANY
	3
1	STIPULATION TO DISMISS LITTLE BALDY MUTUAL WATER COMPANY

	•	
1		
2	Date de Dalamane 2012	
3	Dated: February , 2013	JAMES L. MARKMAN STEVEN ORR
4		Attorneys for Cross-Defendant CITY OF PALMDALE
5		
6		00/10/19/101/19/101
7	Dated: February 9, 2013	DOUGLAS J. EVERTZ
8 9		Attorneys for Cross-Defendant CITY OF LANCASTER AND ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES
10		DISTRICT
11	,	
12	Dated: February , 2013	
13	Dated. I volum,	WAYNE LEMIEUX Attorneys for Cross-Defendant LITTLEROCK CREEK IRRIGATION
14		DISTRICT AND PALM RANCH
15		IRRIGATION DISTRICT
16		
17	Dated: February , 2013	,
18	Datch. rebluary , 2013	THOMAS BUNN III Attorneys for Cross-Defendant PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT
19		PALMÓALE WATER DISTRICT
20		
21 22	Dated: February , 2013	DRADIEWT WEEVE
23		BRADLEY T. WEEKS Attorneys for Cross-Defendant OUARTZ HILL WATER DISTRICT
24		QUARTZ INLE WATER DISTRET
25	Dated: February , 2013	
26	Dated. 1 Columny , 2013	JOHN TOOTLE Attorneys for Cross-Defendant
27		CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE COMPANY
28		
		3
	STIPLILATION TO DISMISS LITTLE	BALDY MUTUAL WATER COMPANY
	H MARK CHICKACOLT & C. ACRESTANCE AND A RESERVE	

1			
2			,
3	Dated: February	, 2013	JAMES L. MARKMAN
4			STEVEN ORR
5			Attorneys for Cross-Defendant CITY OF PALMDALE
6			
7	Dated: February	, 2013	
8			DOUGLAS J. EVERTZ Attorneys for Cross-Defendant
9			CITY OF LANCASTER AND ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES
10			DISTRICT
11			
12	Dated: February	, 2013	Marca
13			WAYNE LEMIEUX Attorneys for Cross-Defendant LITTLEROCK CREEK IRRIGATION
14			DISTRICT AND PALM RANCH
15			IRRIGATION DISTRICT
16			
17	Data de Caleman	2012	·,
18	Dated: February	, 2013	THOMAS BUNN III
19	,		Attorneys for Cross-Defendant PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT
20		,	
21	Dated: February	2012	
22	Dawn, Polluary	, 2013	BRADLEY T. WEEKS
23			Attorneys for Cross-Defendant QUARTZ HILL WATER DISTRICT
24			
25	Dated: February	, 2013	JOHN TOOTLE
26			Attorneys for Cross-Defendant CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE
27			COMPANY
28			
			3
	STIPULATIO	N TO DISMISS LITT	LE BALDY MUTUAL WATER COMPANY

	*	
1	THE CONTROL OF THE CO	
2		
3	Dated: February , 2013	JAMES L. MARKMAN
4		STEVEN ORR Attorneys for Cross-Defendant
5		CITY OF PALMDALE
6		•
7	Dated: February , 2013	
8	, 20.20	DOUGLAS J. EVERTZ Attorneys for Cross-Defendant
9		CITY OF LANCASTER AND ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES
10		DISTRICT
11		
12	Dated: February , 2013	
13		WAYNE LEMIEUX Attorneys for Cross-Defendant
14		LITTLÉROCK CREEK IRRIGATION DISTRICT AND PALM RANCH
15		IRRIGATION DISTRICT
16		
17	Dated: February 19, 2013	Howar S. B. II
18	Dated. Peordary 7, 2013	THOMAS BUNN III Attorneys for Cross-Defendant
19		PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT
20		
21	Dated: February , 2013	
22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	BRADLEY T. WEEKS Attorneys for Cross-Defendant
23	,	QUARTZ HILL WATER DISTRICT
24 25		
26	Dated: February , 2013	JOHN TOOTLE
27		Attorneys for Cross-Defendant CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE
28		COMPANY
20		
		3
	STIPULATION TO DISMISS LITTLE	BALDY MUTUAL WATER COMPANY

	T. Commission of the Commissio		
1			
2			
3	Dated: February	, 2013	JAMES L. MARKMAN
4			STEVEN ORR Attorneys for Cross-Defendant
5			CITY OF PALMDALE
6			•
7	Dated: February	, 2013	
8	Dated: 1 cortain	, 2010	DOUGLAS J. EVERTZ Attorneys for Cross-Defendant
9			Attorneys for Cross-Defendant CITY OF LANCASTER AND ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES
10			DISTRICT
11	T .		
12	Dated: February	, 2013	
13	2 and a softmary	, 2010	WAYNE LEMIEUX Attorneys for Cross-Defendant
14			Attorneys for Cross-Defendant LITTLEROCK CREEK IRRIGATION DISTRICT AND PALM RANCH
15			IRRIGATION DISTRICT
16			
17			1.
18	Dated: February	, 2013	THOMAS BUNN III
19			Attorneys for Cross-Defendant PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT
20			
21			
22	Dated: February	, 2013	BRADLEY T. WEEKS
23			Attorneys for Cross-Defendant OUARTZ HILL WATER DISTRICT
24			
25	Dated: February	, 2013	John S. Sorth
26	<i>J</i>	,	JOHN TOOTLE Attorneys for Cross-Defendant
27			CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE COMPANY
28			
			3
	STIPULATION	N TO DISMISS LITTLE	BALDY MUTUAL WATER COMPANY

1)
2	1/1/4
3	Dated: February 92013
4	EURT STIEFLER
5	Attorneys for Cross-Defendant LITTLE BALDY MUTUAL WATER CO.
6	
7	Dated: February 13, 2013
8	DIRECTOR, LITTLE BALDY MUTUAL WATER COMPANY
9	
10	Robert Carrell
11	ROBERT J. CARREL
12	DIRECTOR, LITTLE BALDY MUTUAL WATER COMPANY
13	
14	Dated: February/3, 2013 ROBERT MACILIAN
15	DIRECTOR, LITTLE BALDY MUTUAL WATER COMPANY
16	WITTERCONTING
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
26	
27	
28	
	4

LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP VON KARMAN AVENUE, SUITE 1000 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92612

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

X

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Kerry V. Keefe, declare:

I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is Best & Krieger LLP, 5 Park Plaza, Suite 1500, Irvine, California, 92614. On February 22, 2013, I served the within document(s):

STIPULATION TO DISMISS LITTLE BALDY MUTUAL WATER COMPANY

×	by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Court website in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater matter.
	by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Irvine, California addressed as set forth below.
	by causing personal delivery by ASAP Corporate Services of the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
	by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
	I caused such envelope to be delivered via overnight delivery addressed as indicated on the attached service list. Such envelope was deposited for delivery by Federal Express following the firm's ordinary business practices.

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on February 22, 2013, at Irvine, California.

Kerry V. Keefe Kerry V. Keefe

26345.00000\6052781.1