EXHIBIT "A"

1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA		
3			
4	91		
5			
6)		
7) Santa Clara		
8	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES,) Case No.		
9) 1-05-CV-049053		
10) VOLUME III		
11			
12			
13			
14	TRIAL TESTIMONY OF JOSEPH SCALMANINI		
15	WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 12, 2011		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25	PAGES 283-417		
	Page 283		

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	TRIAL TESTIMONY OF JOSEPH SCALMANINI,
8	taken at 2001 North Main Street, Suite 390,
9	Walnut Creek, California, commencing at
10	10:31 a.m., Wednesday, January 12, 2011,
11	before Janis L. Jennings, Certified Shorthand
12	Reporter No. 3942, CLR, CRP.
13	
14	
15	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	*
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Page 284

1	water users over time. And then finally the Quartz	
2	Hill Water District is the lower most curve	
3	reflected in green with circles instead of symbols	
4	to show the trend in water requirements at Quartz	
5	Hill.	11:15:09
6	MR. ZIMMER: Motion to strike based on	
7	objections previously stated, also relevance to this	
8	phase.	
9	BY MR. DUNN:	
10	Q. Mr. Scalmanini, if I could direct your	11:15:19
11	attention, please, to the next exhibit marked as	
12	Exhibit No. 63.	
13	MR. DUNN: And, counsel, this is a	
14	replacement exhibit for 63 in the counsel-provided	
15	packet of exhibits.	11:15:32
16	It is labeled as "Table D.3-3 Historical	
17	M&I Water Requirements Antelope Valley Area of	
18	Adjudication (acre-feet per year)."	
19	BY MR. DUNN:	
20	Q. Mr. Scalmanini, do you have Exhibit 63	11:15:54
21	before you?	
22	A. I do.	
23	Q. Who prepared it?	
24	A. Our office did.	•
25	Q. Where is the what source of information	11:16:00
	1	Page 320

1	was used or where does the information come from,
2	rather, for Exhibit 63?
3	A. Well, for the left, I'll say, you know,
4	two-thirds of this, the columns 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7,
5	8, 9, 10 and 11, for L.A. Waterworks District 40, 11:16:18
6	Palmdale Water District, Littlerock Creek Irrigation
7	District, Quartz Hill Water District, Rosamond
8	Community Services District, Antelope Valley Water
9	Company, Palm Ranch Irrigation District, Desert Lake
10	Community Services District, Boron Community 11:16:44
11	Services District, and Edwards Air Force Base, the
12	numbers reflected in the table and summarized in the
13	next column called "Main M&I subtotal" all came from
14	those respective individual entities and records
15	they provided of their historical water supplies 11:17:05
16	or "water deliveries" is a better word.
17	Q. And I believe you've already mentioned
18	as to the mutual and private water companies, the
19	estimated use for those entities; is that correct?
20	A. Yes, they are estimated. 11:17:21
21	Q. Okay. And there's also a column
22	immediately to the right labeled "Rural
23	Residential," and that was estimated as well?
24	A. Yes, sir.
25	Q. Okay. The column to the right of "Rural 11:17:30
	Page 321

1	Residential" is indicated "ASR Project LACWW 40."
2	What does that refer to?
3	MR. ZIMMER: Same objections. Also
4	relevance.
5	THE WITNESS: In, I'll say, recent years; 11:17:49
6	2005, 2006, 2007, L.A. Waterworks undertook what
7	you might call some fieldwork to I don't know,
8	"experiment" might be not totally a fair word, but I
9	will use that to experiment with the possibility
10	of taking surplus-available treated imported water 11:18:12
11	and injecting it into the aquifer system for
12	augmentation of natural or other recharge.
13	And so the column heading "ASR" is
14	a common abbreviation for aquifer storage and
15	recovery. And so this column reflects waters that 11:18:33
16	could be considered a requirement; meaning that
17	they were utilized from the system for a municipal-
18	type purpose, but in this case as contrasted to
19	delivering to the customers, those waters were
20	injected into the aquifer system in the years 11:18:52
21	reflected; as I said 2005, '6 and '7.
22	BY MR. DUNN:
23	Q. For groundwater storage; is that correct?
24	A. Yes.
25	MR. ZIMMER: Same objections. 11:19:01
	Page 322

1	MR. KUHS: Objection. Relevance.
2	BY MR. DUNN:
3	Q. Exhibit No. 63, Mr. Scalmanini, is the
4	information depicted in table format as contrasted
5	with the previous exhibit, Exhibit No. 62? 11:19:14
6	A. Well, I don't know about the contrasted
7	part, but what's plotted in Exhibit 62 is tabulated
8	in Exhibit 63.
9	Q. Thank you.
10	Mr. Scalmanini, are there other other 11:19:46
11	strike that.
12	Are there other water uses in the Antelope
13	Valley besides agricultural and M&I uses?
14	A. Yes, there are.
15	Q. What are the other water uses? 11:19:58
16	A. Well, we've chosen as part of this
17	analysis to call them environmental and open
18	space-type water requirements. One could argue that
19	in some respects some of it is a means for disposal;
20	in this case of treated municipal wastewater. But 11:20:14
21	regardless, it contributes to a certain amount of
22	environmental-type landscaping or land utilization
23	and so you could call them environmental and open
24	space water requirements.
25	Q. I'd like to direct your 11:20:34
	Page 323

	_
MR. ZIMMER: Motion to strike for the	
reasons discussed with the Court.	
BY MR. DUNN:	
Q. I'd like to direct your attention,	
please, to the exhibit premarked 64. It is labeled 11:20:43	
"Tabulated Environmental and Open Space Water	
Requirements Antelope Valley Area of Adjudication."	
(Whereupon, Scalmanini Exhibit 64 was	
introduced for identification.)	
BY MR. DUNN: 11:20:51	
Do you have Exhibit 64 before you?	
A. Yes.	
Q. Who prepared Exhibit 64?	
A. Our office did.	
Q. Where did the information come from? 11:21:00	
A. Records of water deliveries or discharges,	
you can kind of choose your word, to open space-type	
or park-type settings from the I'll say the	
supplier of that water which would be Los Angeles	
County Sanitation Districts 14 and 20. 11:21:29	
Q. And what is depicted or shown here on	
Exhibit 64?	0
MR. ZIMMER: Same objections.	
THE WITNESS: Well, there are two,	
I'll call it, targets or places where those 11:21:40	
Page 324	
	reasons discussed with the Court. BY MR. DUNN: Q. I'd like to direct your attention, please, to the exhibit premarked 64. It is labeled 11:20:43 "Tabulated Environmental and Open Space Water Requirements Antelope Valley Area of Adjudication." (Whereupon, Scalmanini Exhibit 64 was introduced for identification.) BY MR. DUNN: 11:20:51 Do you have Exhibit 64 before you? A. Yes. Q. Who prepared Exhibit 64? A. Our office did. Q. Where did the information come from? 11:21:00 A. Records of water deliveries or discharges, you can kind of choose your word, to open space-type or park-type settings from the I'll say the supplier of that water which would be Los Angeles County Sanitation Districts 14 and 20. 11:21:29 Q. And what is depicted or shown here on Exhibit 64? MR. ZIMMER: Same objections. THE WITNESS: Well, there are two, I'll call it, targets or places where those 11:21:40

1	environmental-type water uses are in place. You	
2	know, as tabulated here, the second and third	
3	columns refer to so-called Piute Ponds Wildlife	
4	Refuge, which is an area on the Rosamond Dry Lakebed	
5	where treated municipal wastewater is discharged	11:22:05
6	and supports, I don't know, plant growth that is a	
7	wildlife refuge.	
8	And so the amount of water that's been	
9	delivered or discharged to that location over time;	
10	you know, beginning in the mid 1970s with a little	11:22:23
11	less than a thousand acre feet per year, increasing	
12	to as much as almost 10,000 acre feet per year by	
13	2005, and then declining to around 7,000 acre feet	
14	per year recently is reflected in tabular form	
15	there.	11:22:42
16	There's also a small collection of lakes	
17	in a county park in Lancaster called Apollo Lakes,	
18	and those lakes are maintained by the delivery of	
19	treated recycled water; you know, nominally a couple	
20	hundred acre feet per year, and that's tabulated in	11:23:03
21	the third column. And the total, which is the sum	
22	of the two, is tabulated on the right-hand side.	
23	MR. KUHS: I'm going to object to both	
24	exhibits 63 and 64 and the testimony as hearsay	
25	except to the extent it's offered simply to support	11:23:20
		Page 325

1	this expert's opinion.	
2	MR. ZIMMER: And I'm going to add to that.	
3	We previously made the objections to the scope of	
4	the deposition and opinions that are given by	
5	Mr. Scalmanini to relevance as well. In addition to	11:23:33
6	that, I would add the objection that the comments	
7	regarding recycled water, the application of	
8	recycled water, are all clearly beyond the opinions	
9	Mr. Scalmanini gave at the time of his deposition.	10
10	Furthermore, the expert who would be	11:23:46
11	testifying to recycled water, Mr. Leffler, is	
12	Mr. Sanders' expert. Mr. Sanders has specifically	
13	objected to any testimony by Mr. Leffler regarding	- 11
14	recycled water. And it was represented by	V
15	Mr. Sanders as well as the other group of purveyor	11:24:05
16	attorneys that there would be no testimony regarding	
17	recycled water in this phase of the lawsuit, and	
18	that's the only reason that there was any agreement	
19	not to take Mr. Leffler's deposition in that regard.	
20	BY MR. DUNN:	11:24:24
21	Q. Mr. Scalmanini, how is the tabulated	
22	environmental and open space water requirements	
23	relevant to the overall use of water in the	
24	Antelope Valley?	
25	MR. ZIMMER: Same objections.	11:24:39
		Page 326

1	THE WITNESS: Well, I tried to sort of, I
2	guess you could say, you know, hint at where we're
3	going. That ultimately we're going to look at, you
4	know, a fairly lengthy study period to try to
5	estimate natural recharge. And multiple methods 11:24:59
6	were used to make that estimate, and some of
7	those or at least one of those involves
8	accounting for waters within the Antelope Valley
9	groundwater basin.
10	And so one can't do a thorough accounting 11:25:17
11	of waters within the Antelope Valley groundwater
12	basin while ignoring any one piece of where water
13	might have gone. And so for completeness, then,
14	the accounting for applied water requirements for
15	agriculture and ultimately dealing with so what 11:25:42
16	water supplies were used to meet those requirements
17	and what was the fate of them after they were
18	applied is important.
19	The same is true for municipal water
20	requirements. What sources of water were used in 11:25:57
21	what volumes at what points in time and what was the
22	fate of those waters.
23	And lastly, such as there's a wastewater
24	component that's generated, it's it goes
25	somewhere and so it's accounted for as part 11:26:10
	Page 327

1	of the overall water budget in the basin by being,	
2	in this case, summarized in graphical form in	
3	Exhibit 63 and tabular form in Exhibit 64.	
4	BY MR. DUNN:	
5	Q. Mr. Scalmanini, let's look at the 11:26:26	
6	exhibit premarked as 65. It is labeled "Historical	
7	Total Water Requirements Antelope Valley Area of	9
8	Adjudication."	
9	(Whereupon, Scalmanini Exhibit 65 was	
10	introduced for identification.) 11:26:37	
11	BY MR. DUNN:	3
12	Q. Do you have Exhibit 65 before you?	
13	A. I do.	
14	Q. Who prepared Exhibit 65?	100
15	A. Our office did. 11:26:44	Ą
16	Q. What is the source of information for	
17	Exhibit 65?	
18	A. Well, it's basically a collection of	
19	information that is tabulated in Exhibit 61, 63,	
20	and 64. 11:27:16	
21	Q. What does Exhibit 65 show?	
22	MR. ZIMMER: Same objections to scope.	
23	Relevance. All of the objections previously stated.	
24	THE WITNESS: Well, Exhibit 65 tracks	
25	historical total water requirements, as the label 11:27:41	
	Page 328	

1 or as the title block says, versus time from about 1920 to the present; where the present is 2009. It shows an early era, you know, buildup 3 4 of water requirements in the 1920s from less than 5 100,000 to about 200,000 acre feet per year. It's 11:28:06 6 somewhat recognized in the literature that the decline reflected in the early 1930s was the result 7 8 of or coincident with the Great Depression. then there was a buildup somewhat linearly but 10 steeply as reflected by land use and by agricultural 11:28:26 11 water requirements in earlier exhibits through the 12 era of World War II and beyond to the early 1950s. 13 There was a period of peak total water 14 requirements that lasted for about two decades in 15 the 1950s and '60s. There was a reduction in total 11:28:44 16 water requirements in the 1970s but still rather 17 high in the bigger picture of things, and more or 18 less a linear decline through the 1980s to a low point in demand by about 1989, '90, '91; followed by 19 20 a re-increase of total water requirements through 11:29:06 21 the 1990s to where, for the most part, excepting the 22 last year, you know, total water requirements today 23 are around 240- to 250,000 acre feet per year. 24 The historical values in terms of peak, 25 you know, total water requirements were more than 11:29:28 Page 329

1	350,000 acre feet per year through much of the	
2	19950s and '60s. The decline in the 19 into	
3	the 1970s brought total water requirements down into	
4	the area of, say, 250- or -60,000 acre feet per year	
5	up to slightly more than 300,000, but say around 11:29:51	
6	300,000 acre feet per year.	
7	The decline through the 1980s brought	
8	total water requirements down to around 140- or	
9	maybe 130,000 acre feet by 1991. And then as I	
10	just said, the re-increase brought them back up 11:30:07	
11	into the neighborhood of 240- to 250,000 acre	
12	feet to present.	
13	MR. ZIMMER: Objection. Nonresponsive.	
14	Out of the scope. Objections previously made.	
15	Relevance, significant relevance problems. Motion 11:30:23	
16	to strike.	
17	THE WITNESS: The balance of the	
18	figure shows how the individual components of	
19	agricultural type-water requirements, municipal-type	
20	water requirements, and environmental-type water 11:30:40	
21	requirements have contributed to the total.	
22	So through the early era; meaning prior to	
23	about 1950 for all practical purposes, all the water	
24	requirements were associated with agricultural land	
25	uses. 11:30:56	
	Page 330	

1	Then municipal-type requirements began to	
2	increase so the fraction of total water requirements	
3	attributable to agricultural land use for the green	
4	curve and squares plotted just below the total water	
5	requirement curve separated from the total water	11:31:21
6	requirement curve, and a relatively small fraction	
7	of total water requirements through the 1960s and	
8	'70s and into the '80s was the municipal fraction	
9	was relatively small, the agricultural fraction was	
10	still quite high.	11:31:41
11	From about the time of the late 1980s when	
12	municipal-type water requirements had significantly	
13	increased and agricultural-type water requirements	20
14	had significantly decreased, the for all	
15	practical purposes municipal and agricultural water	11:32:00
16	requirements were about the same; on the order of	
17	about, say, 70,000 feet per year in 1990.	
18	Since then both municipal and agricultural	
19	water requirements have increased such that while	
20	they're not identical, arguably, starting, I don't	11:32:19
21	know, in the late 1990s ag water requirements	
22	were a little higher. I've already mentioned the	
23	numbers, but, you know, ag water requirements got	
24	up into the, say, 110- to 140,000 acre foot per year	
25	range, and municipal water requirements up into	11:32:42
		Page 331

1	about, say, the 115- or -20,000 acre per year range.	4
2	And then the lower-most curve in red with	
3	circles is the environmental water requirement which	
4	relative to the total of 240- or 50,000 acre feet is	
5	a relatively small number, less than 10,000 acre	11:33:05
6	feet per year.	
7	MR. ZIMMER: Once again, nonresponsive.	
8	There was no question pending to that last offering	
9	by Mr. Scalmanini and all the previously stated	
10	objections.	11:33:18
11	THE WITNESS: Actually, it was a	
12	continuation of the answer that was interrupted.	
13	MR. ZIMMER: It wasn't interrupted. There	
14	was a significant period of time after you finished	
15	talking, Mr. Scalmanini.	11:33:28
16	MR. DUNN: Counsel	
17	MR. ZIMMER: Well, I have to make the	
18	record because the record won't reflect it	
19	otherwise.	
20	MR. DUNN: The record will reflect what	11:33:35
21	was indicated both by counsel and by Mr. Scalmanini.	15
22	It is the record.	
23	BY MR. DUNN:	
24	Q. Mr. Scalmanini, if you would direct your	
25	attention, please, to Exhibit No. 66.	11:33:41
		Page 332

1	66 is labeled "Tabulated Historical
2	Total Water Requirements Antelope Valley Area of
3	Adjudication." Or excuse me. 66, rather, is
4	labeled "Table D.3-5, Estimated Total Historical
5	Water Requirements Antelope Valley Area of 11:34:26
6	Adjudication," parenthetically "(acre feet per
7	year)."
8	Exhibit 66 is a substitute for the exhibit
9	earlier provided to counsel.
10	(Whereupon, Scalmanini Exhibit 66 was
11	introduced for identification.)
12	BY MR. DUNN:
13	Q. Do you have Exhibit excuse me do you
14	have Exhibit 66 before you?
15	A. Yes. 11:34:57
16	Q. Who prepared 66; Exhibit 66?
17	A. Our office did.
18	Q. What is the source or sources of
19	information for Exhibit 66? Is it the same as
20	Exhibit the previous Exhibit 65? 11:35:12
21	A. Well, Exhibit 66 the answer to your
22	question is yes. And so Exhibit 66 are tabulated
23	values derived from well, if you want me to walk
24	back through, I will.
25	The preceding exhibits that summarized 11:35:29
	Page 333

1	agricultural water requirements, municipal-type	
2	water requirements and environmental water	
3	requirements and the tabulated values are summarized	
4	for each of those, and in total in Exhibit 66.	
5	Q. So if we	11:35:41
6	A. In turn of plotted versus time in	
7	Exhibit 65.	
8	Q. So if we were to compare Exhibit 65 with	
9	Exhibit 66, Exhibit 66 has the data from Exhibit 65	
10	but in tabulated format; is that correct? Or in	11:35:59
11	table format?	
12	A. I'd turn it around in the way that I said	
13	it. The data reflected in Exhibit 66 is plotted	
14	versus time in Exhibit 65.	
15	So if you wanted to know, for example,	11:36:24
16	what number goes with one of the black triangles	80
17	in Exhibit 65 for total water requirements in a	
18	particular year, but take the very last one, for	
19	example, which looks by inspection to be somewhere	
20	around 220,000 acre feet per year	11:36:44
21	MR. ZIMMER: It's nonresponsive.	
22	THE WITNESS: you could go to	
23	Exhibit 66 and go down the right-most column to	
24	the last year, which is 2009, and the exact value	
25	is 220,591.	11:37:02
		Page 334

1	BY MR. DUNN:	
2	Q. Mr. Scalmanini, when you look at	
3	exhibits 65 and 66, are you able to determine	
4	whether the water requirements in the Antelope	
5	Valley were satisfied solely with groundwater	11:37:34
6	pumping?	
7	MR. ZIMMER: Same objections.	
8	MR. KUHS: The question is vague.	
9	THE WITNESS: No.	
10	BY MR. DUNN:	11:37:43
11	Q. Let's look, if you would, please at	
12	the next exhibit marked in order, Exhibit 67.	
13	Exhibit 67 is labeled "Historical Groundwater	-
14	Pumping Antelope Valley Area of Adjudication."	
15	(Whereupon, Scalmanini Exhibit 67 was	11:38:00
16	introduced for identification.)	
17	BY MR. DUNN:	
18	Q. Do you have Exhibit 67 before you?	
19	A. Yes.	
20	Q. Who prepared Exhibit 67?	11:38:08
21	A. Our office did.	
22	Q. What source or sources of information were	
23	used for Exhibit 67?	
24	A. Well, the sources were multiple. Of	
25	the three curves reflected in Exhibit 67, the	11:38:31
	I	Page 335

1	lower-most one is a historical track of municipal-	
2	type groundwater pumping versus time. Most of the	
3	data that went into that was derived from records	
4	provided by the various purveyors that we listed a	
5	few exhibits back. I can go dig them out if you	11:38:56
6	want.	
7	The exception, of course, is that we	
8	estimated rural residential water uses and assumed,	
9	since we're not aware of any connections of other	
10	water sources to individual rural residential	11:39:14
11	connections, that all of that water supply was	
12	met by groundwater pumping.	
13	And with regard to the mutual water	
14	companies, there are some records available through	
15	the State Department of Health Services which we	11:39:31
16	interpreted to come up with the amount of water	
17	that was pumped by mutual water companies versus	
18	the amount of water that was taken from supplemental	
19	water sources; for example, from the state water	
20	project treated water.	11:39:52
21	And so we as to the total amount of	
22	water requirements estimated from the mutual water	
23	companies we were able to account for a certain	
24	amount being delivered from surface water sources;	
25	treated surface water sources, subtracted that away	11:40:06
		Page 336

1	from a total to come up with an estimate of the		
2	groundwater pumping.		
3	On the agricultural side		
4	MR. ZIMMER: Motion to strike.		
5	MR. DUNN: Counsel, if you would please	11:40:13	
6	allow Mr. Scalmanini to finish before making your		
7	objection or motion.		
8	MR. ZIMMER: I don't think it's a		
9	requirement.		
10	THE WITNESS: On the agricultural side,	11:40:21	
11	after going through the analysis as described thus		
12	far to estimate total		
13	MR. ZIMMER: Same objections previously		
14	stated as well as to the scope of the testimony,		
15	the relevance, the failure to provide opinions	11:40:35	-
16	previously.		
17	BY MR. DUNN:		
18	Q. You may continue, Mr. Scalmanini.		
19	A. Do you think we can kind of like get to		
20	the end of a sentence this time?	11:40:48	
21	That on the municipal excuse me		İ
22	on the agricultural side, that we had records of		
23	deliveries of supplemental water from both local		
24	as well as imported sources that were delivered to		
25	agriculture.	11:41:06	
		Page 337	

1	We also had records of recycled water that
2	were delivered to or that was delivered to
3	agriculture as part of its water supply. So to
4	compute
5	MR. ZIMMER: Same objections as to 11:41:19
6	"recycled water."
7	MR. DUNN: Mr. Zimmer, if you would allow
8	Mr. Scalmanini to finish his response, his answer,
9	that will allow you a further opportunity to make an
10	appropriate objection or other motion as you deem 11:41:34
11	appropriate.
12	MR. ZIMMER: Given the fact that the
13	answers go on for pages, it will be difficult
14	extremely difficult to go back and try and ferret
15	out where he was giving improper testimony at a 11:41:45
16	later time. The answers that he gives are in
17	large part nonresponsive, incorporate multiple
18	objectionable material in an attempt to squeeze
19	it all in without any objections without anybody
20	noticing. 11:42:02
21	But I feel compelled to comment on and
22	make objections as they're occurring because of
23	that. If there was questions and answers and
24	Mr. Scalmanini gave a direct and succinct answer,
25	this perhaps wouldn't be necessary. 11:42:17
	Page 338

	T. AND METERS 12 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11
1	MR. DUNN: I would again ask you to
2	not interrupt Mr. Scalmanini during his response.
3	BY MR. DUNN:
4	Q. Mr. Scalmanini, you were testifying as
5	to the source of information, and specifically 11:43:19
6	the source of information for estimated rural
7	residential water uses and also for mutual water
8	companies and the records that were available
9	through the State Department of Health Services
10	which were interpreted. 11:43:32
11	Were you able to finish your response
12	before the before Mr. Zimmer made his motion to
13	strike?
14	MR. ZIMMER: It's vague.
15	THE WITNESS: I finished my response on 11:43:43
16	municipal type
17	BY MR. DUNN:
18	Q. Sorry to interrupt you. I think you
19	were about to explain on the agricultural side.
20	Would you explain the source of information for 11:43:55
21	the agricultural side.
22	A. Right.
23	MR. ZIMMER: It's vague as to "explain the
24	source."
25	Do you mean what is the source? That's 11:44:09
	Page 339

1	where we get tied up. The problem is that you'll	
2	ask a question that's vague like that as to explain	
3	the source and then he goes into a discussion	
4	about how he did the calculations, and that's	
5	objectionable.	11:44:21
6	I've tried to not object to the questions	
7	as to what the source is because what the source is	
8	is very simple. How he did the calculations is much	
9	more difficult and/or complicated. And how he did	
10	the calculations is in fact the objectionable part	11:44:34
11	of the information that he's given, or he's giving.	
12	So if you could be clear in the question,	
13	that would be helpful as to what you're asking. If	
14	it's the source, that's one thing; if you're asking	
15	him how he did his calculations, that's a completely	11:44:49
16	different question.	
17	BY MR. DUNN:	
18	Q. Mr. Scalmanini, would you please continue	
19	with your response as to the source of information	
20	for this exhibit, and specifically for agricultural	11:45:00
21	information indicated.	
22	A. Well, the source of information is	
23	a combination of the total agricultural water	
24	requirements as developed in earlier discussion	
25	this morning, along with accounting for the	11:45:20
		Page 340

1	contributions to those total water requirements from	
2	local surface water supplies, "imported" meaning	*
3	state water project, water supplies, and recycled	
4	water supplies, such that what's reflected in the	
5	graph that is Exhibit 67 is a result of arithmetic	11:45:42
6	that nets out the amount of pumping by recognizing	
7 7	the amounts of those other water supplies that were	
8	utilized to meet part of the total agricultural	
9	water requirements.	
10	Q. Mr. Scalmanini, what does Exhibit No. 67	11:46:09
11	show?	
12	MR. ZIMMER: Same objections previously	
13	stated as to scope, relevance.	
14	THE WITNESS: 67 Exhibit 67 shows	
15	historical trends in total groundwater pumping,	11:46:24
16	agricultural-type groundwater pumping, and	
17	municipal-type groundwater pumping versus time	
18	from about the end of World War II to the present.	
19	It shows that total pumping was in the	
20	1950s and '60s, again, up in the same range as has	11:46:50
21	previously been discussed; meaning around 300 and,	
22	say, 50 to almost 380,000, or about 380,000 acre	
23	feet per year, at a peak, followed by a decline in	
24	the 1970s and then an ongoing decline in the 1980s	
25	to where total pumping got down to be around 90,000	11:47:14
	1	Page 341

1	acre feet per year. After which it climbed back	***************************************
2	up, you know, into the area of 150- to 170,000 acre	
3	feet per year early after 2000 and has fluctuated	
4	between about 100 and, say, 35 and 155,000 acre feet	
5	per year in time since then.	11:47:44
6	Of those two in round numbers, in recent	
7	times anyway, about a third of that total pumping,	
8	or about 50,000 acre feet per year, is pumped by	
9	municipal or for municipal-type purposes and	
10	about two-thirds or about 180,000 acre feet per	11:48:02
11	year, not a constant number in all years, is pumped	
12	for agricultural-type purposes.	
13	MR. ZIMMER: I will add to the	
14	objection you stopped there Mr. Scalmanini.	2
15	I assumed you're finished?	11:48:16
16	Apparently he's finished.	
17	I will add to the objection that the	
18	testimony we're hearing over and over we've	FB 10
19	probably heard it four times about the	
20	agricultural pumping going up in the 1940 period	11:48:27
21	to the 1970s and then decreasing over time to about	
22	1990 and then going back up to some degree in 2000	
23	and 2010, it has probably been covered maybe ten	
24	times.	
25	The additional information that	11:48:45
	I	Page 342

1	Mr. Scalmanini is now attempting to put in through
2,	the last several exhibits is information that's
3	should not be relevant to this phase. It was
4	not in some regards it was not testified
5	previously at his deposition. 11:49:02
6	As to the main information in terms of the
7	overall pumping versus the overall supply which is
8	the focus of the this phase of the trial in terms
9	of the safe yield has been testified to multiple
10	times. So I'm adding a cumulative objection to the 11:49:15
11	objection previously stated.
12	MR. DUNN: And, Counsel, in the interest
13	of time when you state your objections, if you could
14	state the objection and avoid the use of speaking
15	objections, that would facilitate the limited time 11:49:30
16	that we have available for the use of further
17	questions and answers and also potential objections.
18	MR. ZIMMER: Given the complexity of the
19	issues and then how his testimony is coming about
20	and the substantial surprise of some of the 11:49:45
21	information that you're trying to get into the
22	record, I think that there needs to be some
23	discussion more than simply an objection. It
24	clearly needs to be pointed out what's really
25	occurring here. 11:50:00
	Page 343

1	MR. DUNN: I will note that what seems to	
2	be occurring here are a series of ongoing objections	
3	to interrupt the testimony of Mr. Scalmanini. The	
4	objections, although noted for the record, are also	
5	improper and they will be taken up I'm sure at the	11:50:16
6	appropriate time with the Court when we resume the	92
7	trial.	20
8	MR. ZIMMER: That's all we're doing is	
9	maintaining a record so the Court can review those	
10	things and so that we remember when it happened and	11:50:30
11	why it was significant.	
12	MR. DUNN: The record will reflect that	
13	there is more than just making objections taking	
14	place with regards to this witness' testimony.	
15	There appears to be a coordinated effort to	11:50:44
16	interrupt Mr. Scalmanini, and particularly at	
17	times when his testimony is dry conclusions or his	
18	testimony is referring to his explanations of the	
19	exhibits which illustrate his testimony.	
20	MR. KUHS: Mr. Dunn, I assume this line of	11:51:11
21	questioning is relative to overdraft and safe yields	
22	and not some other issue?	20
23	MR. DUNN: Well, what's relevant	
24	Mr. Kuhs, we can take that up at another point. But	
25	the Phase 3 proceeding is on safe yield and	11:51:24
-		Page 344

1	overdraft.	
2	MR. KUHS: Okay. I didn't want to have	
3	a continuing relevance objection so long as I	
4	understood that all this was foundational to	
5	Mr. Scalmanini's opinion regarding safety as an 11:51:37	
6	overdraft and not sort of an advanced play on the	
7	prescription phase.	
8	MR. ZIMMER: I assume, Mr. Dunn, you're	
9	willing to represent on the record	
10	MR. DUNN: Let me 11:51:48	
11	MR. ZIMMER: Well, I think he raised a	
12	good point. I assume you're willing to represent on	
13	the record that anything that's being testified to	
14	here today and the exhibits that are being produced	
15	are solely relevant to the issue of safe yield and 11:51:57	
16	overdraft.	
17	MR. WEEKS: This is Brad Weeks. Counsel,	
18	all these things can be discussed after 12:00. What	
19	we're doing now is burning up time that we will not	
20	get back from this witness. 11:52:11	
21	MR. ZIMMER: Well, we're burning up time	
22	spending a lot of time talking about issues that it	
23	seems to me aren't relevant overall to overdraft and	
24	safe yield and are there's some attempt to put	
25	this information in for other reasons without any 11:52:24	
	Page 345	
		- 1

1	effective cross-examination because a lot of this	
2	information has not been presented either in this	
3	form before or is being presented for the first time	
4	in terms of graphs or otherwise.	<u>a</u>
5	MR. LEMIEUX: It seems unlikely to me that	11:52:37
6	we're going to have a chance of resolving some kind	
7	of relevancy objection right now. And if you have	
8	an overarching concern about the evidence coming in,	
9	obviously you need to bring that up with the judge	
10	when we get back in front of the judge.	11:52:46
11	MR. ZIMMER: I agree with that. We're	
12	going to do that. But we go ahead, Mr. Dunn.	
13	MR. DUNN: All right. Can we have an	
14	agreement amongst counsel that objections can	
15	continue to be made, including motions, but that we	11:52:56
16	will continue to go forward with a minimal amount of	
17	comment amongst counsel and a minimal amount of the	
18	speaking part of the objections? Would that be	
19	acceptable?	
20	Mr. Joyce, did you want to say something?	11:53:14
21	MR. JOYCE: I'm not sure. I hope that we	
22	kind of half resolved it with the stipulation in	
23	order to just kind of plow forward here. I stand	
24	kind of in between on some of the issues, but that's	
25	neither here nor there.	11:53:32
	i e	Page 346

1	The bottom line is I think we just need	
2	to get this done and then we can deal with the	
3	objections and the like when we have the opportunity	
4	to have Komar available to rule upon them as as	
5	and when necessary.	11:53:45
6	I mean, I don't know that the the one	
7	clear observation is nothing can get resolved here	
8	today as to any particular question. So other than	
9	facing the ultimate reality we're going to have to	
10	take it up at the appropriate time when we have the	11:54:00
11	ultimate adjudicator of the objection available, I	
12	think we've got to kind of try to plow forward.	
13	MR. DUNN: All right. Thank you,	
14	Mr. Joyce.	11
15	BY MR. DUNN:	11:54:12
16	Q. Mr. Scalmanini, directing your attention	
17	to Exhibit No. 66. Do you still have that before	
18	you?	
19	A. Yes.	
20	Q. Or strike that. I'm sorry. Not	11:54:22
21	Exhibit No. 66.	
22	I'm going to need some assistance here.	9
23	What exhibit were we last looking at?	*
24	A. 67.	
25	Q. 67. Thank you.	11:54:38
		Page 347
50	7/AU 100 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	

1	Let's go back to Exhibit No. 67, please.	3:	
2	This is the exhibit labeled "Historical Groundwater		
3	Pumping Antelope Valley Area of Adjudication."		
4	Do you have that before you?		
5	A. Yes.	11:54:53	
6	Q. Okay. Now, Mr. Scalmanini, this shows, I		
7	take it, historical groundwater pumping for the time		
8	periods indicated on Exhibit 67?		
9	A. Yes.		
10	Q. And how is that relevant, historical	11:55:02	
11	groundwater pumping, to the issue of safe yield		
12	and overdraft in this phase of the case?		
13	MR. ZIMMER: Calls for a legal opinion.		
14	THE WITNESS: Well, ultimately to compute		
15	the yield as I tried to go back to, I'll call it,	11:55:21	
16	re-discussing that early exhibit		
17	BY MR. DUNN:		
18	Q. Is that Exhibit 12?		
19	A. Yeah, thanks there needs to be an		
20	estimate of the natural recharge to the groundwater	11:55:49	
21	basin. And we haven't gotten there yet, but to		
22	estimate natural recharge to the groundwater basin		
23	we undertook collectively; meaning myself and		
24	others, efforts to estimate what that was. And one		
25	of the efforts involves an understanding of how much	11:56:09	
V.		Page 348	

1	historical pumping is taking place and how much of	
2	that pumping returned to the groundwater basin as	
3	contrasted as to how much of it consumptively, if	
4	you will, exhausted back to the atmosphere.	
5	So the effort to get to pumping which I	11:56:28
6	might note we've only begun to discuss. We haven't	
7	discussed it ten times. We've discussed land use	
8	and things of that type multiple times, but we've	
9	only discussed pumping on this one last exhibit.	
10	Then it is important in part to look	11:56:42
11	at the total, but also importantly to look at the	
12	two components because the two components of	
13	municipal-type use and agricultural-type use	
14	contribute different fractions of return flows to	
15	the subsurface, all of which needs to be factored	11:56:58
16	into estimating at least using one of the methods	
17	that we deployed what the natural recharge to the	
18	groundwater basin is, from natural recharge then as	
19	illustrated in Exhibit 12, but as to be discussed in	
20	greater detail.	11:57:13
21	Then a computation of yield of the basin	
22	under native conditions and later independent of	
23	natural recharge, a consideration of supplemental	
24	recharge which also relies on of course on	
25	knowledge of how much supplemental water was brought	11:57:33
		Page 349

1	into the basin, but also then fractions of its use
2	by municipal as well as agricultural users and the
3	recharge that derives from that all is relevant to
4	ultimately computing or estimating a safe yield,
5	whether it be native, supplemental or total. 11:57:52
⁴⁴ 6	Q. Mr. Scalmanini, if I could have you look,
7	please, at the next exhibit marked as Exhibit 68.
8	MR. DUNN: Counsel, this is a substitute
9	for the exhibit packet earlier provided to counsel.
10	I will identify it. It is "Appendix D-7: 11:58:21
11	Table 2 Calculation of Agricultural Groundwater
12	Pumpage," and parenthetically it's it indicates
13	an "(AFY)" for in acre feet a per year.
14	(Whereupon, Scalmanini Exhibit 68 was
15	introduced for identification.) 11:58:39
16	BY MR. DUNN:
17	Q. Mr. Scalmanini, do you have Exhibit 68
18	before you?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Who prepared Exhibit 68? 11:58:49
21	A. Our office did.
22	Q. And the sources of information for
23	Exhibit 68 are what?
24	A. In the second column, historical total
25	agricultural water requirements are derived from the 11:59:10
	Page 350

1	work which we've explained, you know, in preceding	
2	exhibits. I can go back to refer to those if you'd	
3	like.	
4	Then successive columns that are labeled	
5	"SWP," which stands for state water project imported 1	1:59:24
6	water "(AVEK)," which is Antelope Valley-East Kern	
7	Water Agency, or the next one which is	
8	parenthetically "(LCID)"; Littlerock Creek	
9	Irrigation District, and then the last is "(PWD to	
10	LCID)," which is Palmdale Water Direct to Littlerock 1	1:59:46
11	Creek Irrigation District, come from records	
12	supplied by those respective three state water	
13	contractors; AVEK, Littlerock Creek, and Palmdale	
14	Water District, for their importation of water	
15	that was not treated or delivered as raw water for 1	2:00:04
16	agricultural purposes.	
17	The next column is a sum of those three	
18	for total state water project imported water from	
19	those sources.	
20	The next two columns have to do with local 1	2:00:18
21	surface water which is diverted off Littlerock Creek	
22	by Littlerock Creek Irrigation District, so those	
23	data came from Littlerock Creek Irrigation District.	
24	The next column is a total of those.	
25	WRPs recycled water is the amount of water 1	2:00:37
	Pac	ge 351

1	reported by the water reclamation plants as having	
2	been delivered to agriculture versus time.	
3	And then there's a total surface and	
4	recycled water which is a summary of local surface	
5	water, imported surface water, and recycled water 12:00:56	
6	that was delivered to meet part of the total	
7	agricultural water requirements.	
8	And so arithmetically then groundwater	
9	pumping was estimated to be the difference between	
10	total surface and recycled water and historical 12:01:12	
11	agricultural water requirements in the second	
12	column.	
13	And so calculated groundwater pumpage is	
14	the result or the arithmetic difference between	
15	total other all the waters used to meet 12:01:27	
16	agricultural water requirements and total	
17	agricultural water requirements.	
18	MR. ZIMMER: Same objections previously	
19	stated, and also nonresponsive.	
20	MR. DUNN: It's noon. We'll take the noon 12:01:40	
21	recess. We'll see everybody at 1:30 or actually	
22	before 1:30 so we can start at 1:30.	
23	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the end	
24	of tape No. 1 of today's testimony of Joseph	
25	Scalmanini, Volume III. 12:01:52	
	Page 352	

1	The time is 12:01 p.m. and we are off the	
2	record.	
3	(Whereupon, lunch taken from 12:01 p.m.	
4	until 1:30 p.m.)	
5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the 13:30:19	
6	beginning of tape No. 2 in today's testimony of	
7	Joseph Scalmanini, Volume III.	
8	The time is 1:30 p.m. We are on the	
9	record.	
10	BY MR. DUNN: 13:30:29	
11	Q. Besides groundwater, what other sources of	
12	water are used to meet the water requirements of the	
13	Antelope Valley?	
14	A. I think we touched on this briefly, but	
15	there's local surface waters, off of Littlerock 13:30:43	
16	Creek, imported water from the state water project,	
17	and though they originate from either groundwater or	
18	local surface water or imported water, then there's	
19	some treated municipal wastewater or recycled water	
20	that's used to meet some of the water requirements. 13:31:02	
21	Q. I'd like to show you the next exhibit	
22	which has been premarked as Exhibit No. 69. It is	
23	labeled "Historical Local Water Use Antelope Valley	
24	Area of Adjudication."	
25	(Whereupon, Scalmanini Exhibit 69 was 13:31:14	
	Page 353	

1	introduced for identification.)	
2	BY MR. DUNN:	
3	Q. Mr. Scalmanini, do you have Exhibit 69	
4	before you?	
5	A. Yes.	13:31:19
6	Q. Who prepared Exhibit 69?	
7	A. Our office did.	
8	Q. And the information that's depicted in	
9	Exhibit 69 comes from what source or sources?	
10	A. It comes from the records of primarily	13:31:32
11	Littlerock Creek Irrigation District, but I think	
12	also partially from Palmdale Water District and	,
13	records of diversions off of Littlerock Creek.	
14	Q. What does Exhibit 69 show?	
15	MR. ZIMMER: Same objections.	13:31:52
16	THE WITNESS: It basically shows that	7,0
17	there's been utilization of a small amount, maybe	
18	up to a maximum of about 8,000 acre feet in any	
19	given year, but typically down around, say, 4,000	11 22
20	acre per year of water when it's been available to	13:32:10
21	be diverted directly off Littlerock Creek for local	
22	uses.	
23	BY MR. DUNN:	
24	Q. And, Mr. Scalmanini, is the water that's	
25	referenced here in Exhibit 69, is that surface	13:32:22
		Page 354

1	water?	
2	A. Yes, it is.	
3	Q. Would you consider the amounts of local	
4	surface water to be significant in the context of	
5	water supplies in the Antelope Valley adjudication 13:32:38	
6	area?	
- 7	A. Well, significant in terms of a large	
8	quantity, probably no. I mean, if there was a	
9	total water demand of a quarter of a million acre	
10	feet a year, then 4-, 5-, 6,000 acre feet a year 13:32:53	
11	is not exactly significant, but it's certainly of	
12	consequence as part of the overall water supply	
13	picture.	
14	Q. You mentioned that imported surface water	
15	was also used to meet water demands in the Antelope 13:33:07	
16	Valley. What is the source of that imported water?	
17	MR. ZIMMER: Vague.	
18	THE WITNESS: It's pretty straightforward.	
19	It's the state water project.	
20	MR. DUNN: Mr. Joyce, maybe we could get 13:33:24	
21	your assistance to hit the or, Mr. Scalmanini,	
22	if you can push the sound button down to its lowest	
23	setting.	
24	THE WITNESS: It's kind of stuck on 8 out	
25	of 12.	
	Page 355	

1	Maybe if somebody on the other side could
2	talk, we could see whether it comes back.
3	MR. DUNN: Hearing nothing
4	THE WITNESS: It's quiet.
5	MR. DUNN: we will proceed. 13:34:01
6	BY MR. DUNN:
7	Q. Mr. Scalmanini, if I could, please, I'd
8	like to direct your attention to Exhibit No. 70 that
9	was premarked for identification.
10	(Whereupon, Scalmanini Exhibit 70 was 13:34:10
11	introduced for identification.)
12	MR. DUNN: Counsel, this is an exhibit
13	which is also substitute a substitute for an
14	earlier premarked exhibit in the exhibit packet
15	for counsel. It's entitled "Boundaries of SWP 13:34:18
16	Contractors with Table A Amounts Antelope Valley
17	Area of Adjudication."
18	MR. ZIMMER: Mr. Dunn, do you know if that
19	was produced yesterday or
20	MR. JOYCE: Yesterday. 13:34:34
21	MR. ZIMMER: Yesterday? Okay.
22	MR. DUNN: I'm sorry, Counsel. The
23	question was was this exhibit
24	MR. ZIMMER: I wasn't trying to find the
25	exhibit. 13:34:40
	Page 356

1	MR. JOYCE: It was produced yesterday.
2	MR. DUNN: I'll give counsel a moment to
3	locate it.
4	MR. ZIMMER: Thank you.
5	MR. JOYCE: It's the last one, Rich. The 13:34:54
6	last one.
7	MR. ZIMMER: Got it. Thank you.
8	MR. DUNN: It appears here that all
9	counsel have a copy or access to the exhibit. We'll
10	proceed. 13:35:10
11	BY MR. DUNN:
12	Q. Mr. Scalmanini, who prepared Exhibit
13	No. 70?
14	A. Our office did.
15	Q. What is the source or what are the 13:35:15
16	sources of information that were used to prepare
17	this exhibit?
18	A. I can't cite to specific websites, but
19	there are publicly-available delineations of the
20	service area boundaries of all the state water 13:35:37
21	contractors. I don't recall instantly whether we
22	got this from the Department of Water Resources
23	or from the individual state water contractors
24	themselves, but it was probably one of those two.
25	Q. And who are the state water project 13:35:53
	Page 357

1	contractors with table A amounts within the Antelope	8
2	Valley area of adjudication?	*
3	A. I think I mentioned in passing this	
4	morning, but the largest is the Antelope Valley-East	
5	Kern Water Agency, commonly nicknamed AVEK; the	13:36:11
6	second in terms of table A entitlement is the	
7	Palmdale Water District; and the third or smallest	
8	is the Littlerock Irrigation District.	
9	Q. And directing your attention to	
10	Exhibit No. 70 in the lower left-hand corner	13:36:28
11	in the area marked or indicated as "Legend."	
12	Do you see that?	
13	A. Yes.	
14	Q. Do you see the the acronyms for those	
15	three state water project contractors listed there,	13:36:39
16	are those the acronyms for the three project	
17	contractors?	
18	A. Yes. The three state water contractors,	
19	yes.	
20	Q. I'd like to ask you a question about the	13:36:50
21	three state water contractor boundaries or service	= 1
22	areas. Are all of the boundaries of those three	
23	state water contractors located in the area of	
24	adjudication?	
25	MR. ZIMMER: Same objections. Also	13:37:05
		Page 358

1	relevance.	
2	MR. KUHS: Lacks foundation.	
3	THE WITNESS: Well, are they located	
4	within, at least partially so, yes. But in case	
5	of two of them; AVEK and Palmdale Water District, 13:37:18	
6	they have a service area that extends outside or	
7	beyond the limits of the Antelope Valley area of	
8	adjudication.	
9	BY MR. DUNN:	
10	Q. And are you aware of how much water has 13:37:34	
11	been imported through the state water project to	
12	meet water requirements in the Antelope Valley over	
13	time?	
14	A. Yes.	
15	Q. I'd like to direct your attention, if you 13:37:45	
16	would, please, to Exhibit No. 71. It is labeled	
17	"Historical Supplemental (SWP) Water Use Antelope	29
18	Valley Area of Adjudication."	
19	(Whereupon, Scalmanini Exhibit 71 was	
20	introduced for identification.) 13:38:17	
21	BY MR. DUNN:	
22	Q. Do you have Exhibit 71 before you?	
23	A. Yes.	
24	Q. Who prepared Exhibit 71?	
25	A. Our office did. 13:38:23	
	Page 359	

1	Q. The source of information or sources of
2	information used for Exhibit 71 are what?
3	A. The amounts of state water project use
4	recorded by the three state water contractors in the
5	Antelope Valley that we previously identified; AVEK, 13:38:42
6	Palmdale Water District, and Littlerock Creek
7	Irrigation District.
8	Q. And did you have access or available
9	to you the information from the three state water
10	project contractors regarding not only their service 13:38:54
11	areas, but their historical water use?
12	A. Their historical use of state water?
13	Q. Yes.
14	A. Yes. We sought that, you know, by direct
15	request and they provided it. 13:39:08
16	Q. And on Exhibit referring to
17	Exhibit No. 71, what does it depict or show?
18	A. It basically shows in time series
19	graphical form what the amount of aggregate; meaning
20	by all three of the state water contractors, use of 13:39:33
21	imported state water project water has been within
22	the Antelope Valley area of adjudication.
23	Q. And you're referring to the green line on
24	Exhibit 71?
25	A. Yes. 13:39:49
	Page 360

1	Q. The green boxes that are indicated on that	
2	exhibit are what?	
3	MR. ZIMMER: Same objections.	
4	THE WITNESS: They are data points that	
5	reflect on a year-to-year basis how much state water 13:39:58	
6	was used in aggregate or I should say imported	
7	in aggregate to the Antelope Valley area of	
8	adjudication by the three state water contractors.	
9	BY MR. DUNN:	
10	Q. Exhibit 71 illustrates the use of or 13:40:14	
11	the delivery of the state water project water by	
12	these three state water project contractors. Why	
13	does the amount as indicated on Exhibit 71 go up	
14	and down?	
15	A. And you think that earlier answers had 13:40:33	
16	issues with length and complexity of answer. You	
17	may not think that but others do.	
18	I'll try to do it in quick summary. Okay.	
19	I'd say it's a combination of demand; meaning a	
20	willingness by local entities to take the water 13:40:53	
21	that's imported and in some cases treat it to	
22	drinking water standards for municipal-type water	
23	supply and in other cases delivered as raw water,	
24	untreated, typically for non-potable or irrigation	
25	supply. It's a combination of, I'll call it, a 13:41:14	
	Page 361	

1	willingness by local entities to take it along with	
2	availability of state water that varies as basically	
3	a function of hydrology on the state water project	
4	system, typically in Northern California.	
5	So if we could back up one slide to 13:41:31	
6	Q. To Exhibit No. 70?	
7	A. I said "slide," but Exhibit No. 70. That	
8	in the legend on the lower left-hand corner, or in	
9	the lower left-hand corner, are three parenthetical	
10	numbers; you know, for AVEK 141,400 acre feet, for 13:41:48	
11	Palmdale Water District 21,300 acre feet, and for	
12	Littlerock Creek Irrigation District 2,300 acre	
13	feet. Those numbers are the so-called table A	
14	amounts for those three state water contractors.	
15	The state water project has a certain 13:42:19	
16	capacity. And I'm not a hundred percent sure, but	
17	I think I'm close, I think there is a total of	
18	29 state water contractors throughout the overall	
19	system and each has a table A amount that adds up	
20	to the total available supply. 13:42:40	
21	But hydrology in Northern California that	
22	accrues water in Lake Oroville and ultimately, you	
23	know, works through the Delta into the state water	
24	project system to be delivered to these various	
25	state water contractors isn't the same every year. 13:42:57	
	Page 362	

1	So the State of California, the Department	
2	of Water Resources, makes determinations that	-
3	progress through the wintertime as a function of	
4	rain, runoff, storage, and snowpack accumulation	
5	to progressively indicate what fraction of table A	13:43:13
6	amounts will be available to state water contractors	
7	in that following year.	
8	We've just experienced a very wet	
9	December, for example, in terms of huge snowpack.	
10	So the early season indication I think is at	13:43:28
11	50 percent, I'm not a hundred percent sure now, and	
12	that number will change as we go through the rest of	
13	winter and into spring, and typically by about April	
14	or May the state indicates what the available	
15	fraction of these table A amounts will be.	13:43:44
16	So now moving to Exhibit	
17	Q. 71.	
18	A 71, that in different year types,	
19	regardless of what the interest might be on behalf	
20	of local takers of state water, if it's made	13:44:01
21	available by the state water contractors, there can	
22	be limits on how much the state water project can	
23	make available.	
24	So I realize it's already a little bit	
25	longwinded, but the combination of availability on	13:44:18
		Page 363

1	the state water system and willingness to take the	
2	water, whether treated or untreated locally, derives	
3	or results in the kinds of fluctuations that are	
4	reflected in the graph in Exhibit 71.	
5	Q. In Exhibit 71 did you observe or do you	13:44:35
6	observe any trends in the use of the state water	
7	project water?	
8	MR. ZIMMER: Relevance. Same objections.	
9	MR. JOYCE: I'll just I'll wait to hear	
10	the answer.	13:44:50
11	THE WITNESS: You could probably extract,	
12	you know, some, I'll call it, short-term trends.	
13	You know, there was an initial kind of rapid	
14	buildup, for example, in the use of state water but	
15	there was a subsequent decline. There was, you	13:45:03
16	know, a period of significant increase in the use of	
17	state water in the 1990s, but there was, you know, a	
18	subsequent decline.	
19	So to try to extract a trend, I'd say no.	
20	Maybe in very, very gross terms you could say that,	13:45:21
21	you know, it went from, you know, humble beginnings	
22	when water was first available in the early 1970 to	
23	a fairly significant amount, you know, in the	
24	say, you know, this past decade or the first decade	
25	of the 21st century that's for the most part, you	13:45:39
		Page 364

1	know, been in the range of, say, grossly 60- to					
2	80,000 acre feet per year. A couple years it got					
3	a little bit higher.					
4	The last couple of years have been dry on					
5	the state system and so the delivery of water from 13:45:51					
6	the state water project has been lower. But, you					
7	know, in general terms, you know, a very gross					
8	trend has been to go from zero or near zero in its					
9	beginnings; you know, 40ish years ago, to something					
10	that's using, you know, more than 60,000 acre feet 13:46:07					
11	typically at present.					
12	BY MR. DUNN:					
13	Q. Do each of the state excuse me.					
14	Do each of the three state water project					
15	contractors provide retail water deliveries to 13:46:19					
16	customers?					
17	MR. ZIMMER: Same objections.					
18	MR. JOYCE: Lack of foundation.					
19	THE WITNESS: I better ask you back what					
20	you meant by "retail." 13:46:38					
21	BY MR. DUNN:					
22	Q. What I meant to ask, Mr. Scalmanini, is:					
23	Are the three state water project contractors					
24	wholesalers or retail water providers?					
25	MR. JOYCE: Objection. Calls for 13:46:47					
	Page 365					

1	speculation. Lack of foundation.
2	THE WITNESS: My best answer is I don't
3,	know for sure. That they each provide both treated
4	water; meaning it can be used for potable supply. I
5	know that in some cases that goes to an ultimate 13:47:06
6	retailer to customers and is not delivered, for
7	example, by AVEK directly. They also provide
8	untreated water for non-potable uses, for example,
9	by agriculture. And I think that's directly
10	delivered by them. 13:47:23
11	But, you know, as far as the rest the
12	ultimate, I'll call it, handling of water, who
13	processes the bills to the ultimate user, I don't
14	know all that for sure.
15	BY MR. DUNN: 13:47:33
16	Q. Mr. Scalmanini, if you would,
17	please, take a look at Exhibit 72 premarked for
18	identification. It is labeled "Historical Local and
19	Supplemental (SWP) Water Use Antelope Valley Area of
20	Adjudication." 13:47:59
21	(Whereupon, Scalmanini Exhibit 72 was
22	introduced for identification.)
23	BY MR. DUNN:
24	Q. Do you have Exhibit 72 before you?
25	A. I do. 13:48:04
8	Page 366

1	Q. What is who prepared Exhibit 72?	
2	A. Pardon me. Our office did.	
3	Q. And where did your office obtain the	
4	information for the preparation of the Exhibit 72?	
5	A. Well, it's basically an aggregate of the 13:48:23	
6	information that went into exhibits 70 and 71 I'm	
7	sorry 69 and 71. So it came from the records of	39
8	the respective local water agencies or districts	
9	that either diverted local surface water or imported	
10	state water. 13:48:55	
11	MR. DUNN: Counsel, just one moment.	
12	We're picking up some phone conversations here. I'm	
13	going to see if I can reach over and adjust the	
14	sound.	
15	Thank you. 13:49:17	
16	BY MR. DUNN:	
17	Q. Mr. Scalmanini, what do the different line	
18	colors on Exhibit 72 illustrate?	
19	MR. ZIMMER: Same objections. Beyond the	
20	scope of the deposition. Beyond the scope of phase 13:49:30	
21	issues. Relevance.	
22	THE WITNESS: The bottom-most curve	9
23	which is blue highlighted with round dots to	
24	illustrate or to indicate specific data points	
25	on a year-to-year basis is a repeat of the same 13:49:51	
	Page 367	

1	graph or curve reflected in Exhibit 69.	
2	The graph reflected is lines connecting	
3	green squares that begin in 1972 and come forward	
4	to the end is a repeat of the graph reflected in	
5	Exhibit 71. And the black diamond correction	13:50:25
6	triangles reflects simply a sum of the two curves	
7	to show individually local surface water use,	
8	imported state water use, and the combination	
9	of those two.	
10	BY MR. DUNN:	13:50:50
11	Q. Mr. Scalmanini, what is significant about	
12	Exhibit No. 72 as it relates to your opinions on	
13	overdraft and safe yield?	
14	MR. ZIMMER: Same objections.	
15	THE WITNESS: Ultimately we'll talk about	13:51:00
16	two components of safe yield; one being native	
17	which derives from local waters, and one being	
18	supplemental that derives from the use the	
19	importation and use of additional waters over and	
20	above what occurs naturally within the basin itself.	13:51:23
21	So thinking ahead to that, then the	-
22	significance of what's reflected in Exhibit 72,	
23	particularly the imported water component, is	
24	significant in ultimately analyzing that imported	
25	water use, tracking its fate, estimating how much	13:51:43
		Page 368
	The same and the s	53

1	recharge it contributes to the basin and how that		
2	converts to a yield, that we'll ultimately call		
3	supplemental safe yield.		
4	This is also important because as we've		
5	already gone through in some previous exhibits, and	13:52:00	
6	I'll flip back to them if you'd like, is that in		
7	tracking particularly on the agricultural side from		
8	land use; cropping patterns, individual water duties		
9	and estimating total water requirements, we have a		
10	need in analyzing or estimating natural recharge to	13:52:21	E
11	know how much groundwater was pumped over time. And		
12	to get from total water requirements to groundwater		
13	pumping should properly account for any other waters		
14	that were used to meet some of those agricultural		
15	water requirements.	13:52:41	
16	So this reflects how much local and		
17	supplemental surface waters were used to meet		
18	agricultural as well as in some cases municipal		
19	total water requirements to get to groundwater		
20	pumping. So it's important in both of those	13:52:55	
21	regards.	8.	
22	BY MR. DUNN:	~ 1	
23	Q. Mr. Scalmanini, if you would, please,		
24	take a look at the next exhibit premarked as		
25	Exhibit No. 73.	13:53:14	
		Page 369	

1	(Whereupon, Scalmanini Exhibit 73 was			
2	introduced for identification.)			
3	MR. DUNN: Counsel, 73 is also a			
4	substitute copy for an earlier premarked copy. I'll			
5	identify it. Exhibit 73 is "Table D.4-1 Historical 13:53:20			
6	Supplemental Surface Water Supplies Antelope Valley			
7	Area of Adjudication," and parenthetically it is			
8	noted "(acre feet per year)."			
9	Do you have Exhibit 73 before you?			
10	A. I do. 13:53:39			
11	Q. Do you recognize it?			
12	A. Yes.			
13	Q. Who prepared it?			
14	A. Our office did.			
15	Q. The source or sources of information for 13:53:44			
16	which this exhibit was or strike that.			
17	What are the sources or sources of			
18	information used for this exhibit?			
19	A. Well, the same they are the same			
20	as I've identified previously with regard to 13:54:00			
21	exhibits 69 and 71 which flowed into 72. So it			
22	came from the local state water contractors or			
23	agencies, specifically AVEK, Palmdale Water			
24	District, and Littlerock Creek Irrigation District,			
25	which are the state water contractors. And then 13:54:30			
	Page 370			

1	well, let's just say over time both Littlerock Creek	
2	Irrigation District and Palmdale through their	
3	operations of Littlerock Creek Reservoir have	
4	operated the diversion off Littlerock Creek so we	
5	got data from them for the local surface water 13:54:55	
6	diversions.	
7	Q. What does Exhibit No. 73 show?	
8	MR. ZIMMER: Same objections.	
9	MR. KUHS: Hearsay.	
10	THE WITNESS: Basically it is a summary of 13:55:05	
11	the data that we received from those entities, how	
12	much state water project water were imported by	50
13	them or excuse me was imported by them on a	
14	year-to-year basis for municipal-type uses and for	
15	agricultural-type uses, and in total, and how much 13:55:26	
16	local; meaning diversions off Littlerock Creek	
17	water, was diverted by them whether for municipal	200000
18	purposes or agricultural purposes, and in total, and	
19	then an aggregate of the two.	
20	It's fundamentally the data points which 13:55:42	
21	are reflected in graphical form in Exhibit 72.	
22	BY MR. DUNN:	
23	Q. If you would, please, Mr. Scalmanini, will	
24	you turn to premarked Exhibit 74. It is labeled	
25	"Recycled Water Disposition Antelope Valley Area of 13:56:19	
	Page 371	

1	Adjudication."
2	(Whereupon, Scalmanini Exhibit 74 was
3	introduced for identification.)
4	BY MR. DUNN:
5	Q. Who prepared Exhibit 74? 13:56:26
6	A. Our office did.
7.	Q. And what were the sources of information
8	used for this exhibit?
. 9	A. Data supplied by Los Angeles County
10	Sanitation Districts 14 and 20, and I think some 13:56:49
11	from Rosamond Community Services District.
12	Q. What does Exhibit 74 show?
13	MR. ZIMMER: Objection same objections
14	previously stated. Beyond the scope of the
15	deposition, beyond the scope of phase issues 13:57:07
16	incorporating recycled water data apparently from
17	Mr. Leffler for which there was a specific agreement
18	that that water that that data and opinions
19	regarding recycled water will not be used in this
20	case. And also a foundational problem and hearsay. 13:57:25
21	THE WITNESS: Okay. I kind of lost the
22	question with all that.
23	BY MR. DUNN:
24	Q. I'll repeat the question. What does
25	Exhibit 74 show? 13:57:37
	Page 372

1	MR. ZIMMER: Same objections.	
2	THE WITNESS: Well, it tracks, as shown	
3	in the legend at the bottom, how much recycled water	
4	was used for irrigation purposes to offset part of	
5	the total irrigation water requirements, how much	13:57:55
6	was discharged to what we described earlier as	
7	environmental water demands at Piute Ponds and at	
8	Apollo Lakes.	
9	It tracks some what was at one time	
10	classified as "land application and disposal" where	13:58:15
11	water was applied to the ground after treatment	
12	after lesser treatment than it receives today to	
13	basically infiltrate and be disposed.	
14	And it also tracks a very small amount	
15	of treated wastewater that's been used for what we	13:58:34
16	just grossly call "other purposes" where it's made	
17	available for construction water and things of that	
18	type.	
19	And then it aggregates all of those to	¥ .
20	show how much recycled water has been generated and	13:58:47
21	then disposed in total, you know, increasing from	
22	the early part of the record in the mid 1970s of,	
23	I don't know, maybe about 3,000 acre feet per year	
24	tracking up to their better part of 25,000 acre feet	
25	per year over the last several years.	13:59:10
		Page 373

1	BY MR. DUNN:	
2	Q. And why is the disposition of recycled	
3	water a consideration for your opinions on safe	
4	yield and overdraft?	
5	MR. ZIMMER: Same objections.	13:59:19
6	THE WITNESS: Well, as I described before,	
7	it's ultimately input to such as recycled water	
8	offsets what might otherwise be groundwater pumping	
9	for water supply. Then to ultimately quantify what	
10	pumping is best estimated to be, it should be	13:59:38
11	accounted in that regard.	
12	It's, I'll call it, of account, but no	
13	contribution as far as we're concerned to the yield	
14	of the basin that disposal or discharge of water to	
15	places like Piute Ponds I'm sorry yes, to	13:59:57
16	Piute Ponds on the Rosamond Dry Lakebed because of	
17	the nature of the, I'll call it, the dry lakebeds;	
18	again, fine-grained materials, that for practical	
19	purposes no water deep percolates through those	
20	clays to contribute to the yield of the basin.	14:00:17
21	So we accounted for the water but recognized in	
22	analyzing the yield that there's not a contribution	
23	to the yield. The same is practically true with	
24	regard to Apollo Lakes, although it's an almost	
25	inconsequential amount of water; a couple hundred	14:00:32
	F.	Page 374

1	acre feet per year.			
2	And then this land application and			
3	disposal, you can see with the trace or the track			
4	of the blue line that's highlighted by individual			
5	years that at one point in time, meaning prior to 14:00:50			
6	2000, that the application of water at high rates			
7	for purposeful infiltration and disposal got up to			
8	be about 10,000 acre feet per year. But for water			
9	quality reasons that was ordered to be reduced or			
10	ultimately eliminated and that's why that tracks 14:01:13			
11	down significantly.			
12	So when we ultimately talk about yield,			
13	we're going to talk about prevailing cultural			
14	conditions in the basin and where that might have			
15	once been a contributor to the yield because of the 14:01:24			
16	application of a lot of water that was purposely			
17	intended to deep percolate. The fact that it's been			
18	progressively reduced and intended to be eliminated,			
19	that it doesn't under prevailing cultural conditions			
20	contribute to yield. So that's taken into account 14:01:39			
21	also in estimating what the yield of the basin is.			
22	BY MR. DUNN:			
23	Q. A moment ago you mentioned that the water			
24	or the use had been ordered reduced. Who ordered			
25	that or what entity ordered that? 14:01:54			
	Page 375			

1	A. State Regional Quality Control Boa	ard.	
2	MR. JOYCE: Hearsay.		
3	MR. ZIMMER: Same objections. Joi	in.	
4	MR. DUNN: If I could direct your		
5	attention to Exhibit No. 75, please. It is	s labeled 14:02:12	
6	"Tabulation" or strike that.		
7	It is labeled "Table D.4-3" Cou	insel,	
8	this is another substituted exhibit for an e	earlier	
9	premarked exhibit.		
10	Again, "Table D.4-3, Historical Re	ecycled 14:02:33	
11	Water Antelope Valley Area of Adjudication,"	and	
12	parenthetically it indicates "(acre feet per	year)."	
13	(Whereupon, Scalmanini Exhibit 75	was	
14	introduced for identification.)		- 13
15	BY MR. DUNN:	14:02:43	
16	Q. Mr. Scalmanini, do you have Exhibi	t 75?	
17	A. Yes.		
18	Q. Who prepared it?		
19	A. Our office did.		
20	Q. Where did the information or st	rike 14:02:55	
21	that.		
22	The data or the sources of data fo	r this	
23	exhibit, was that provided by the Los Angele	s County	
24	Sanitation Districts?		
25	A. And by Rosamond.	14:03:06	
		Page 376	

		7 8 7 2 2	
1	Q. 2	And by Rosamond Community Services	
2	A	Yes.	
3	Q	District?	
4	1	That's a "yes"?	
5	A. 3	Yes.	14:03:15
6	Q. (Okay. And does Exhibit 75 show the data	
7	plotted on	the previous exhibit, Exhibit 74, but	
8	this time i	in a table format?	
9	A. Y	ſes.	
10	Q. <i>F</i>	And, Mr. Scalmanini, can you describe	14:03:25
11	strike that		
12	N	Mr. Scalmanini, are you able or can	
13	you describ	be how the different sources of water	
14	available i	in the Antelope Valley were used to	r
15	meet water	use in the Antelope Valley?	14:03:52
16	M	MR. JOYCE: Vague.	1,
17	M	MR. ZIMMER: Vague and same objections	
18	previously	stated.	
19	I	THE WITNESS: Yes, I think can.	
20	М	MR. ZIMMER: Overbroad.	14:04:08
21	М	MR. DUNN: Let's take a look, if you	
22	would, plea	se, at the next exhibit premarked as	- "
23	Exhibit 76.		
24	M	MR. SLOAN: Before we move on to that, I'd	
25	like to obj	ect and move to strike the testimony on	14:04:19
			Page 377

1	historical recycled water.	
2	And I'd like to note that the substitute	
3	Exhibit 75 does not have the same footer or footnote	
4	that the original premarked Exhibit 75 had. The	
5	original Exhibit 75 that was premarked says in the	14:04:50
6	bottom left-hand corner "volumes in acre feet," and	
7	then it says, "All data from P. Leffler."	
8	And I would just note that in the	
9	substituted exhibit that comment has been removed	
10	and changed it's different than the original	14:05:10
11	premarked exhibit, and the motion to strike is going	
12	to be based on the inability to obtain discovery on	
13	Mr. Leffler.	
14	MR. ZIMMER: Just out of curiosity,	
15	Mr. Dunn, have there been any other modifications to	14:05:26
16	substituted exhibits of the nature that Counsel is	
17	raising?	
18	MR. DUNN: To be honest with you, I don't	
19	understand what Mr. Sloan is saying.	
20	MR. ZIMMER: He's saying that the exhibit	14:05:41
21	you originally had in the exhibits produced at the	
22	first day of Mr. Scalmanini's deposition you had	
23	in the booklet that all is attached together,	
24	Exhibit 75.	
25	Mr. Sloan is pointing out that in the	14:05:56
		Page 378

1	Exhibit 75 that you're seeking to substitute for the	
2	Exhibit 75 in the original compilation, it is my	
3	understanding these were simply substituted as being	
4	clearer versions of the exhibits initially produced,	
5	not that data or verbiage or lines or anything else	14:06:19
6	would be changed.	
7	What Mr. Sloan is pointing out is if you	
8	look at Exhibit 75 that you're asking to substitute	
9	for the prior Exhibit 75, the words "All data from	
10	P. Leffler" except no, I guess just the words	14:06:37
11	"All data from P. Leffler" have been taken out of	
12	that exhibit by somebody.	
13	So that raises a whole group of new issues	
14	in terms of the accuracy of what's been substituted.	
15	We were all under the assumption they were exact	14:07:05
16	copies of what was being substituted and apparently	
17	it's not the case.	
18	MR. KUHS: I object that Exhibit 75 lacks	
19	foundation. Hearsay.	
20	MR. DUNN: I still don't I still don't	14:07:22
21	think the three of you are on the same page, but let	
22	me see if I can characterize	X
23	MR. KUHS: I have not raised any issue	
24	that Mr. Sloan and Mr. Zimmer raised.	
25	MR. DUNN: Thank you, Mr. Kuhs.	14:07:33
		Page 379

1	MR. JOYCE: And I'm reserving my	
2	objections. I make the same generalized	
3	observation, but my basis for moving to strike are	
4	to exclude the basis on a slightly different ground;	9
5	but, nonetheless, I reserve.	14:07:43
6	MR. DUNN: Mr. Sloan, if I understand	
7	your objection, your objection is to the use of	
8	Exhibit 75 that's been marked for use in this	
9	proceeding today because it differs in some format	
10	with the copy of an exhibit that was earlier	14:08:10
11	premarked for identification purposes and provided	
12	to counsel in an exhibit packet.	
13	Is that a fair statement?	
14	MR. SLOAN: That's not the basis of my	
15	objection.	14:08:25
16	MR. DUNN: Well	
17	MR. SLOAN: I was objecting for lack	
18	of foundation and moving to strike on the basis	
19	of discovery that was not made available on	
20	Mr. Leffler, and I wanted to observe the difference	14:08:39
21	between the premarked exhibit and the exhibit that	
22	was substituted.	
23	MR. DUNN: We're wasting strike that.	
24	MR. SLOAN: That's fine.	
25	MR. DUNN: We're wasting valuable time.	14:08:52
		Page 380

1	BY MR. DUNN:	
2	Q. Let me just ask the one question,	
3	Mr. Scalmanini.	
4	Exhibit No. 75, do you have it still	2
5	before you, sir?	14:09:00
6	A. Yes.	
7	Q. Do you recognize this that's labeled	
8	"Table D.4-3"	
9	A. Yes.	
10	Q as being an exhibit that was included	14:09:06
11	in the expert summary report that was produced in	
12	this case?	
13	A. Yes.	
14	Q. Do you recall during your deposition over	
15	a three-day period three separate days, rather,	14:09:18
16	that you had the expert summary report with you?	
17	A. Yes.	
18	Q. And were you asked questions during your	
19	deposition about the expert summary report?	
20	A. Yes.	14:09:31
21	Q. And this Exhibit 75 was in that report?	
22	A. Yes.	
23	Q. We'll move on.	
24	Let's go to the next exhibit marked	
25	Exhibit No. 76.	14:09:40
		Page 381

1	Mr. Scalmanini, do you have Exhibit No. 76
2	before you?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Who prepared Exhibit No. 76?
5	A. Our office did. 14:09:57
6	MR. DUNN: And it's labeled "Historical
7	Total Water Use Antelope Valley Area of
8	Adjudication."
9	(Whereupon, Scalmanini Exhibit 76 was
10	introduced for identification.) 14:10:08
11	BY MR. DUNN:
12	Q. And what does this exhibit show?
13	MR. ZIMMER: Same objections.
14	THE WITNESS: It tracks a combination
15	of what historical use of recycled water, the 14:10:26
16	red line or curve lower-most on the overall graph,
17	plus the historical use of local and imported
18	supplemental waters, the second lowest curve versus
19	time which is blue with triangles to indicate the
20	individual data points. Groundwater use over time 14:10:59
21	which is overlain by the total curve to the
22	left-most portion; meaning prior to the early 1970s
23	when groundwater was the same as total water use.
24	But it tracks groundwater use versus time and then
25	ultimately adds the three of those together to be 14:11:25
	Page 382

1	components of total water use in the area of
2	adjudication.
3	In somewhat simple summary, the total
4	water use curve is a repeat of the total water use
5	curve in Exhibit 65, the groundwater use curve is a 14:11:44
6	repeat of the groundwater use curve in Exhibit 67,
7	the combination of local and imported state water
8	project use versus time is a repeat of that same
9	curve in Exhibit 71, and the recycled water curve
10	is a repeat of what's reflected in Exhibit 74. 14:12:07
11	BY MR. DUNN:
12	Q. What is the largest according to
13	the illustrations here on Exhibit No. 76, what is
14	the largest source of water supply for the Antelope
15	Valley area of adjudication? 14:12:41
16	MR. ZIMMER: Same objections.
17	THE WITNESS: Well, it is and always has
18	been groundwater.
19	MR. DUNN: Mr. Scalmanini, I'd like to
20	direct your attention, please, to Exhibit No. 77. 14:13:01
21	Counsel, this Exhibit No. 77 is a
22	substitute copy for an earlier premarked exhibit.
23	It is labeled "Table D.4-4, Historical Total Water
24	Supply Antelope Valley Area of Adjudication," and
25	parenthetically it indicates "(acre feet per year)." 14:13:25
	Page 383

1	(Whereupon, Scalmanini Exhibit 77 was
2	introduced for identification.)
3	BY MR. DUNN:
4	Mr. Scalmanini, do you have Exhibit No. 77
5	before you? 14:13:31
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Who prepared Exhibit No. 77?
8	A. Our office did.
9	MR. JOYCE: Oh, I'm sorry.
10	MR. DUNN: Did you need more time, 14:13:44
11	Mr. Joyce?
12	MR. JOYCE: Yes, just one second. I
13	apologize. Thank you.
14	BY MR. DUNN:
15	Q. And does the table shown in Exhibit 77 14:13:57
16	provide the data or the backup data for the graph
17	shown on Exhibit 76?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. And I take it Exhibit 77 shows in table
20	format the total water supply over time for the 14:14:21
21	Antelope Valley area of adjudication; is that
22	correct?
23	MR. ZIMMER: Hearsay.
24	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I drifted just a
25	little bit. 14:14:34
	Page 384

1	BY MR. DUNN:	
2	Q. Yeah. What does Exhibit 77 show?	
3	A. Basically a collection of values for	
4	components of water supply, groundwater, imported	
5	and local surface waters, and any other waters	14:14:52
6	used subdivided as components of water supply for	
7	municipal industrial-type uses, for agricultural-	
8	type uses, for environmental uses, as we defined	
9	them earlier, and then in aggregate.	
10	Q. Would you like to take a break?	14:15:11
11	A. That would be good.	
12	MR. DUNN: With permission of all counsel,	
13	Mr. Scalmanini would like to have can we have a	
14	five-minute break, please?	*
15	MR. ZIMMER: That's fine.	14:15:21
16	MR. JOYCE: Sure.	
17	MR. DUNN: Thank you.	
18	May we go off the record?	
19	MR. JOYCE: Please.	
20	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 2:15 p.m.	14:15:27
21	and we are off the record.	
22	(Off the record.)	
23	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 2:24 p.m.	
24	We are on the record.	
25	BY MR. DUNN:	14:24:40
		Page 385

1	Q. Mr. Scalmanini, I direct your attention to	
2	the next exhibit premarked as Exhibit No. 78. It is	
3	labeled "Native Sustainable Yield."	
4	(Whereupon, Scalmanini Exhibit 78 was	
5	introduced for identification.) 14:24:52	
6	BY MR. DUNN:	
7	Q. Do you have Exhibit 78 before you?	
8	A. Yes.	
9	Q. Who prepared Exhibit No. 78?	
10	A. Our office did. 14:25:05	
11	Q. What does Exhibit 78 show?	
12	A. Well, it's largely a repeat of I think	
13	it's Exhibit 12. But segueing from 12, which was	
14	intended to be just a conceptual illustration of how	
15	recharge turns into yield, Exhibit 78 is intended 14:25:31	
16	to, let me call a little more focus to the bottom	
17	part where the various components of yield are going	
18	enumerated in equation form. Sustainable yield,	
19	(SY) equals natural recharge(NR), plus ag return	
20	flows (RFag), plus M&I return flows (RFMI), when S, 14:26:13	
21	which is indicative of groundwater storage, equals	
22	constant over time. And it bracketed those	
23	components of yields as "Fundamental Inputs."	
24	So the computed native sustainable yield	
25	one needs natural recharge and estimates of return 14:26:55	
	Page 386	

1	flows from the use of water that derives from	
2	natural recharge from agriculture or by agriculture	
3	and by municipal industrial users, all ultimately	8.
4	intended to keep groundwater storage a long-term	
5	constant, but not literally constant.	14:27:20
6	And so as I think I mentioned when we were	
7	discussing Exhibit 12, we attached a I'll call it	
8	a hypothetical hydrograph to the water table over on	
9	the right side where one might expect on a long-term	
10	basis groundwater levels to fluctuate typically up	14:27:38
11	in wet cycles and down in drier cycles, and on a	
12	long-term basis to average out to be a constant.	
13	So the groundwater levels weren't	
14	declining, groundwater storage wasn't declining; in	
15	other words, avoidance of the undesirable results	14:28:00
16	that were enumerated as being possible if safe yield	
17	were exceeded.	
18	Q. What do the light blue arrows on the	
19	left-hand side of Exhibit 78 depict or show?	285
20	MR. JOYCE: Jeff	14:28:19
21	MR. DUNN: Yes.	f". 41%
22	MR. JOYCE: on this exhibit I'm making	
23	the observation that other people have a variation	
24	slightly different. Like, for instance, Mr. Kuhs'	
25	arrows are dark blue, whereas mine are light blue,	14:28:34
	E	Page 387

1	as are yours. I'm just wondering	
2	MR. ZIMMER: It's a copy.	
3	MR. JOYCE: which one is intended to be	
4	used by the Court?	
5	MR. DUNN: I'm informed, but you can 14:28:42	
6	correct me if I have this wrong, that, Mr. Joyce,	
7	the copy that you have and that I have and	
8	Mr. Scalmanini has, those are the copies.	
9	Mr. Kuhs, maybe I believe what you did	
10	was print out your own copies; is that correct? 14:28:54	
11	MR. KUHS: That's correct.	
12	MR. DUNN: Yeah. So that's that may be	
13	the reason why your copy might be different. But in	
14	any event, we'd be happy to provide you with the	
15	copy we have. 14:29:04	
16	MR. JOYCE: All I'm just his printer	
17	works better, I can tell you that.	
18	MR. DUNN: But we'll work off but to	
19	answer your question, Mr. Joyce, we'll work off this	
20	copy, if that's fine with you. 14:29:13	
21	MR. JOYCE: Yeah. I just wanted to make	
22	sure I understood which one is going to be the	
23	official.	
24	MR. DUNN: Okay. Thank you.	
25	BY MR. DUNN: 14:29:19	
	Page 388	

1	Q. All right. And, I'm sorry. I'll just
2	repeat the question. The light blue arrows on the
3	left-hand side of Exhibit No. 78 beneath the words
4	that say "Runoff/Infiltration," what do the light
5	blue arrows represent? 14:29:38
6	A. Well, they represent a combination of
7	runoff from the watershed and which would flow
8	onto the valley floor, or in this case the watershed
9	surrounding the Antelope Valley, and then flow onto
10	the Antelope Valley floor that can infiltrate, 14:29:57
11	deep percolate, reach the water table and in
12	effect contribute a component of so-called natural
13	recharge; "natural" meaning that the source waters
14	originate as precipitation within the watershed
15	surrounding the aquifer system that is the area of 14:30:15
16	adjudication.
17	The other light blue line, or whatever
18	shade of blue, is labeled "Mountain front recharge,"
19	and it's intended to reflect waters that again
20	originate in the watershed but infiltrate some 14:30:31
21	of which not detailed here, can resurface and
22	contribute to surface runoff, but some of which
23	stays in the subsurface and flows into the boundary
24	of the aquifer system around the edges, so to speak,
25	of the area of adjudication and contributes a 14:30:53
	Page 389

1	component of natural recharge in that fashion.
2	Q. On Exhibit No. 78, what do the darker blue
3	arrows represent?
4	A. Well, there are several. I'll start with
5	the ones in the subsurface. Okay. So more or less 14:31:15
6	at the confluence of the two light blue arrows there
7	is the symbol "NR" intended to reflect natural
8	recharge.
9	And so natural recharge, you know, in
10	effect joins the groundwater system; you know, 14:31:36
11	contributes to water in storage, and can be captured
12	by or picked up by, intercepted by, groundwater
13	pumping. So that arrow reflects in effect the flow
14	of natural recharge in this case to one schematic
15	well, but basically to water wells that are 14:31:57
16	installed to develop supply from the groundwater
17	basin.
18	And maybe I just better follow it
19	sequentially so I'll stick with just that subsurface
20	arrow for right now. 14:32:13
21	And then water in this case pumped to
22	the ground surface and into distribution systems,
23	whether for all agriculture or whether for municipal
24	uses, follows the two blue arrows which go left to
25	right immediately above the ground surface. To the 14:32:32
	Page 390

1	left is to municipal supplies and to the right is	
2	to agricultural supplies. So let's arbitrarily pick	
3	the one to the left first.	
4	So municipal and industrial groundwater	
5	pumping goes to users, some of which is	14:32:45
6	consumptively used or basically exhausted by	
7	evaporation to the atmosphere. That's the C	
8	excuse me, CUmi, or the blue arrow pointing up.	
9	And some of the water that's delivered to municipal	
10	users infiltrates into the subsurface and deep	14:33:08
11	percolates and can ultimately get back to the	
12	water table or the groundwater basin as a return	
13	flow contribution to the overall water supply and	
14	the the overall groundwater supply, and that's	
15	symbolized by the blue arrow pointing down labeled	14:33:31
16	"RFmi."	
17	And analogous to that going back to the	
18	wellhead, so to speak, the arrow pointing to the	
19	right to agriculture irrigation, some of the water	
20	applied for agriculture irrigation; in fact, a	14:33:49
21	fairly high fraction, is consumptively used; meaning	
22	evapotranspirated to the atmosphere, and that's	
23	symbolized by that "CUag" and some applied to the	
24	ground surface infiltrates, deep percolates past the	
25	root zone, is not uptaken by plants, and ultimately	14:34:18
	1	Page 391

1	deep percolates back to the water table and provides
2	a return flow component symbolized by "RFag."
3	So ultimately the total amount of water
4	that is sustainably pumped under native conditions
5	derives from the natural recharge; the dark blue 14:34:39
6	arrow coming in from the left, at the confluence of
7	those symbolized runoff and mountain front recharge
8	components, along with the return flow components of
9	pumping that derives from the uses of groundwater
10	pumped from the basin; meaning that part of natural 14:35:03
11	recharge that's pumped and utilized for either, in
12	this case, agricultural or municipal-type uses on
13	the ground surface.
14	Q. On Exhibit 78 there is a triangle
15	indicating Delta S 14:35:19
16	A. Yes.
17	Q "S = 0."
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Why is that depicted there? In other
20	words, why is Delta S indicating change of storage 14:35:27
21	equaling zero?
22	A. Well, there's you know, that is
23	imprinted in a portion of the overall figure that is
24	hopefully in everybody's version hatched with some
25	blue dots. And there's a blue line that goes across 14:35:51
	Page 392

1	with some small triangles at the top of it, and then	ı g
2	that blue line is attached to the hydrograph on the	
3	right-hand side. That is intended to depict the	
4	water table. And groundwater storage, of course,	
5	would be the water in the saturated zone below the	14:36:08
6	water table.	
7	Q. Depicted by the area with the tiny blue	
8	A. With the tiny blue hatching, that's	
9	correct.	
10	And under sustainable or so-called safe	14:36:21
11	yield conditions, then going back to the definitions	
12	that we worked our way through on the first day of	
13	this, that safe or sustainable yield derives from	
14	pumping an amount on an average basis; meaning	
15	average annual basis, that does not result in	14:36:41
16	undesirable conditions such as lowering of water	
17	levels, depletion of storage, degradation of water	
18	quality, land subsidence, those kinds of things.	
19	And as I think I mentioned when describing	
20	those, most of them derive ultimately from a	14:36:58
21	declining water level condition but it's expressed	
22	as a depletion of storage.	
23	So under native sustainable or safe	
24	yield conditions, then storage does not decline; or	
25	expressed in the symbolic form, it's common to use	14:37:22
		Page 393

1	the Greek symbol Delta for change in the technical
2	literature. So Delta S would mean change in
3	storage. And if storage is not going to deplete
4	under sustainable conditions, then Delta S would be
5	equal to zero. Again, on a long-term average basis. 14:37:40
6	Looking at the hydrograph, you could
7	expect storage to increase and decrease through wet
8	and dry cycles. That's basically using the storage
9	to buffer your way through wet and dry cycles.
10	You use some water from storage during dry cycles, 14:37:58
11	expect it to refill during wet cycles and on a
12	long-term basis storage is not depleted.
13	Q. If you would, please, I direct your
14	attention to the premarked Exhibit 79. It is
15	labeled "Native and Supplemental Sustainable Yield." 14:38:33
16	(Whereupon, Scalmanini Exhibit 79 was
17	introduced for identification.)
18	BY MR. DUNN:
19	Q. Mr. Scalmanini, do you have Exhibit No. 79
20	before you? 14:38:40
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Who prepared Exhibit No. 79?
23	A. Our office did.
24	Q. What does Exhibit No. 79 show?
25	A. It shows two things. The focus is 14:38:52
	Page 394

1	intended to be on the right-hand side, but for
2	completeness if we could divide it, you know,
3	basically down the middle and I think there is
4	a faint line a faint vertical line that does
5	that the left-hand side or left half, you know, 14:39:17
6	shows for all practical purposes the same things
7	as reflected in Exhibit 78. Okay?
8	So there are natural recharge component
9	and pumping and return flow components; in this case
10	including a return flow component from recycled 14:39:39
11	water from the on the municipal side. It's a
12	small component when we get into numbers. But
13	basically that's the same as we just discussed
14	with regard to native supplies.
15	The right side is added to it to try to 14:39:57
16	schematically illustrate how this supplemental water
17	use ultimately contributes to more yield.
18	So if I could walk through that, I think
19	it
20	Q. Yeah. Would you please walk us through 14:40:15
21	the supplemental sustainable yield
22	A. Sure.
23	Q as shown here on Exhibit 79, please.
24	A. Okay.
25	MR. ZIMMER: Subject to objections 14:40:27
	Page 395

1	previously stated.	
2	THE WITNESS: Okay. So starting at the	
3	right side there are two horizontal arrows that	
4	point in from the right but point to the left. One	
5	is labeled "SWag," and the one next to it is labeled	14:40:38
6	"SWmi." And they illustrate that supplemental	
7	surface water, in this case from the state water	
8	project, is brought into the basin, but in contrast	
9	to some other places where that water might be put	
10	into spreading basins and infiltrated into the	14:41:09
11	ground or injected into wells we talked about ASR	
12	for a brief moment this morning.	
13	In contrast to that, in the Antelope	
14	Valley supplemental water that's brought in	
15	is delivered to users; agricultural users and	14:41:24
16	municipal-type users. And when that happens,	
17	then just as with water that's pumped from the	
18	groundwater basin under native conditions, some of	
19	the water that's delivered to AG is consumptively	
20	used, exhausted to the atmosphere; some of the	14:41:40
21	supplemental water that's delivered to M&I users is	
22	consumptively used or exhausted to the atmosphere;	
23	and that's reflected by the arrows pointing up above	
24	where the SW arrows comes into the basin.	
25	It so happens that the use of those	14:42:02
		Page 396

1	supplemental waters also produces some return	
2	flows. So the delivery of supplemental water to	
3	agriculture produces some return flow and the use of	
4	supplemental water by municipal uses produces some	
5	return flow. Those are recharges to the groundwater	14:42:23
6	basin that wouldn't occur if not for the importation	
7	of that supplemental water.	
8	So that recharge is, in terms of how it	
9	contributes to yield, somewhat analogous to the	
10	natural recharge that's coming in on the left-hand	14:42:39
11	side of this figure from local waters. It's not	
12	a local water in this case, it's a supplemental	
13	water. But it's a component of recharge that then	
14	can be pumped and that's the next set of, I'll call	
15	it, vertical well in the delivery of water that's	14:42:56
16	pumped from these return flows that derive from the	
17	supplemental water importation into the basin.	
18	And so the two recharges from the use	
19	of supplemental waters can be picked up by wells,	
20	but illustrated here by one well, and delivered to	14:43:18
21	agricultural users and to M&I users.	
22	So much like we looked at with Exhibit 78,	
23	the discharge from the well that's capturing these	
24	recharges from supplemental water is partially	
25	delivered to agriculture, partially delivered to	14:43:39
		Page 397

1	municipal-type users. In both cases parts of those	
2	deliveries are consumptively used, so that would be	
3	the CUag or mi on the two sides of the discharge	
4	from that well that's capturing these recharges	
5	from supplemental water.	14:44:05
6	And the delivery of that pumped water to	
7	agriculture and municipal-type uses also produces	
8	return flows labeled RFsag; meaning supplemental ag,	
9	and RS I'm sorry, RFsmi; meaning supplemental	
10	municipal industrial. And those two return	14:44:35
11	flows add to the recharge that derives from the	
12	importation of supplemental waters on the right-hand	
13	side and collectively add up to a supplemental	
14	sustainable yield; meaning that there's yield added	
15	to the basin as a result of that and it can be	14:44:55
16	quantified.	
17	BY MR. DUNN:	
18	Q. Mr. Scalmanini, as part of your analysis	
19	did you pick or determine an appropriate study	
20	period? S-t-u-d-y.	14:45:25
21	A. Yeah. Yeah. Also sometimes called a	
22	"base period."	
23	We actually used ultimately by the time	
24	we get to the finish line about four of those. But	
25	at this point in the overall discussion the answer	14:45:48
	Pa	age 398

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	I, JANIS L. JENNINGS, a Certified
4	Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, do
5	hereby certify:
6	That the foregoing proceedings were taken
7	before me at the time and place herein set forth;
8	that any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings,
9	prior to testifying, were placed under oath; that a
10	verbatim record of the proceedings was made by me
11	using machine shorthand which was thereafter
12	transcribed under my direction; further, that the
13	foregoing is an accurate transcription thereof.
14	I further certify that I am neither
15	financially interested in the action nor a relative
16	or employee of any attorney of any of the parties.
17	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date
18	subscribed my name.
19	
20	Dated: January 24, 2011
21	
22	
23	
24	JANIS JENNINGS, CSR NO. 3942, CLR, CRP
25	
	Page 411