1 BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES ERIC L. GARNER, Bar No. 130665 UNDER GOVERNMENT CODE 2 JEFFREY V. DUNN, Bar No. 131926 SECTION 6103 STEFANIE D. HEDLUND, Bar No. 239787 3 5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE 1500 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614 4 TELEPHONE: (949) 263-2600 TELECOPIER: (949) 260-0972 5 Attorneys for Cross-Complainants ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT and LOS ANGELES COUNTY 6 WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40 7 OFFICE OF COUNTY COUNSEL 8 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES RAYMOND G. FORTNER, JR., Bar No. 42230 9 COUNTY COUNSEL FREDERICK W. PFAEFFLE, Bar No. 145742 10 PRINCIPAL DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL 500 WEST TEMPLE STREET 11 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012 TELEPHONE: (213) 974-1901 12 TELECOPIER: (213) 458-4020 Attorneys for Cross-Complainant LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40 13 14 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 15 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - CENTRAL DISTRICT 16 17. ANTELOPE VALLEY 18 Judicial Council Coordination No. 4408 **GROUNDWATER CASES** CLASS ACTION 19 Included Actions: Los Angeles County Waterworks District Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 20 No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Assigned to The Honorable Jack Komar Court of California, County of Los 21 Angeles, Case No. BC 325201; 22 Los Angeles County Waterworks District LOS ANGELES COUNTY No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40'S 23 Court of California, County of Kern, Case RESPONSES TO BOLTHOUSE No. S-1500-CV-254-348; PROPERTIES, LLC'S FORM 24 INTERROGATORIES, SET ONE Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of 25 Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. 26 Palmdale Water Dist., Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, Case Nos. 27 RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668 28

LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40'S RESPONSES TO FORM INTERROGATORIES, SET ONE

ORANGE\SHEDLUND\39524.1

1	PROPOUNDING PARTY:	Bolthouse Properties, LLC
2	RESPONDING PARTY:	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40
3	SET NUMBER:	One (1)
4		
5		
6	RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1.1:	
7	All responses to requests for admissions are objections by legal counsel.	
8		
9	RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 17.1:	
10	All responses to requests for admissions are objections by legal counsel.	
11		
12	Dated: September 14, 2007	BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP
13		111 1111
14		By WW
15		ERIĆ LI GARNER JEFFREY V. DUNN
16		STEFANIE D. HEDLUND Attorneys for Cross-Complainants ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES
17-		DISTRICT and LOS ANGELES
18		COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	II .	

LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE 1500 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614

ORANGE\SHEDLUND\39524.1

LAW OFFICES OF BESTBESTÄ KRIEGER LLP 5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE I 500 IRWNE, CALIFORNIA 9261 4

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Kerry V. Keefe, declare:

I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is Best & Krieger LLP, 5 Park Plaza, Suite 1500, Irvine, California 92614. On September 14, 2007, I served the within document(s):

LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40'S OBJECTIONS TO BOLTHOUSE PROPERTIES, LLC'S FORM INTERROGATORIES, SET ONE

×	by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Courwebsite in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater matter.	
	by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereofully prepaid, in the United States mail at Irvine, California addressed as set forth below.	
	by causing personal delivery by ASAP Corporate Services of the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.	
	by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.	
	I caused such envelope to be delivered via overnight delivery addressed as indicated on the attached service list. Such envelope was deposited for delivery by Federal Express following the firm's ordinary business practices.	
I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.		
above is true a	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the nd correct.	
	Executed on September 14, 2007, at Irvine, California.	
	Kerry V. Keefe	

ORANGE\KKEEFE\24201.1