1 BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP **EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES** ERIC L. GARNER, Bar No. 130665 UNDER GOVERNMENT CODE 2 JEFFREY V. DUNN, Bar No. 131926 **SECTION 6103** STEFANIE HEDLUND MORRIS, Bar No. 239787 3 18101 VON KARMAN AVENUE, SUITE 1000 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92612 4 TELEPHONE: (949) 263-2600 TELECOPIER: (949) 260-0972 5 Attorneys for Cross-Complainant LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS 6 DISTRICT NO. 40 7 OFFICE OF COUNTY COUNSEL COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 8 JOHN F. KRATTLI, Bar No. 82149 COUNTY COUNSEL 9 WARREN WELLEN, Bar No. 139152 PRINCIPAL DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL 10 500 WEST TEMPLE STREET LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012 11 TELEPHONE: (213) 974-8407 TELECOPIER: (213) 687-7337 Attorneys for Cross-Complainant LOS ANGELES 12 COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40 13 14 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 15 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT 16 17 ANTELOPE VALLEY Judicial Council Coordination No. 4408 **GROUNDWATER CASES** 18 CLASS ACTION **Included Actions:** 19 Los Angeles County Waterworks District Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Assigned to The Honorable Jack Komar 20 Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 325201; (PROPOSED) FIFTH AMENDED CASE 21 MANAGEMENT ORDER Los Angeles County Waterworks District 22 No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of California, County of Kern, Case 23 No. S-1500-CV-254-348; 24 Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. City of 25 Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist., Superior Court of 26 California, County of Riverside, Case Nos. RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668 27 28

# LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 18101 VON KARMAN AVENUE, SUITE 1000 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92612

## **ORDER**

28 | 26345.00000\7966462.1

The Court's current Case Management Order is hereby amended as follows:

The Phase IV Trial is only for the purpose of determining groundwater pumping during 2011 and 2012. The Phase IV Trial shall not result in any determination of any water right, or the reasonableness of any party's water use or manner of applying water to the use. The Phase IV Trial will not preclude any party from introducing in a later trial phase evidence to support its claimed water rights including, without limitation, evidence of water use in years other than 2011 and 2012. All parties reserve their rights to produce any evidence to support their claimed water rights and make any related legal arguments including, without limitation, arguments based on any applicable constitutional, statutory, or decisional authority.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: , 2013

HON. JACK KOMAR

# LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 18101 VON KARMAN AVENUE, SUITE 1000 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92612

### PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Kerry V. Keefe, declare:

I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is Best Best & Krieger LLP, 5 Park Plaza, Suite 1500, Irvine, California, 92614. On May 20, 2013, I served the within document(s):

## (PROPOSED) FIFTH AMENDED CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER

| X | by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Court website in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater matter.  |
|---|--|
|   | by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Irvine, California addressed as set forth below.   |
|   | by causing personal delivery by ASAP Corporate Services of the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.   |
|   | by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.   |
|   | I caused such envelope to be delivered via overnight delivery addressed as indicated on the attached service list. Such envelope was deposited for delivery by Federal Express following the firm's ordinary business practices. |
| 1 | I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing  |

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on May 20, 2013, at Irvine, California.

Kerry V. Keefe

26345.00000\6052781.1