1 BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES ERIC L. GARNER. Bar No. 130665 UNDER GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 2 JEFFREY V. DUNN, Bar No. 131926 STEFANIE HEDLUND MORRIS, Bar No. 239787 3 18101 VON KARMAN AVENUE, SUITE 1000 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92612 TELEPHONE: (949) 263-2600 4 TELECOPIER: (949) 260-0972 5 Attorneys for Cross-Complainant LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS 6 DISTRICT NO. 40 7 OFFICE OF COUNTY COUNSEL COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 8 JOHN F. KRATTLI, Bar No. 82149 COUNTY COUNSEL 9 WARREN WELLEN, Bar No. 139152 PRINCIPAL DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL 10 500 WEST TEMPLE STREET LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012 11 TELEPHONE: (213) 974-8407 TELECOPIER: (213) 687-7337 12 Attorneys for Cross-Complainant LOS ANGELES 13 COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40 14 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 15 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – CENTRAL CIVIL WEST 16 17 ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER Judicial Council Coordination No. 4408 CASES 18 **CLASS ACTION Included Actions:** 19 Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of Assigned to The Honorable Jack Komar 20 California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 325201; [PROPOSED] ORDER SHORTENING 21 TIME ON THE HEARING OF MOTION Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. TO QUASH NOTICES TO APPEAR AND 22 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of PRODUCE DOCUMENTS California, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-23 CV-254-348; Hearing Date: May 28, 2013 24 Time: 8:30 a.m. Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Dept: 316 25 Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist., Superior Court of California, Trial: May 28, 2013 26 County of Riverside, Case Nos. RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668 27 28

LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 18101 VON KARMAN AVENUE, SUITE 1000 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92612

[PROPOSED] ORDER

This matter has come before the Court upon the ex parte application to shorten time on the
hearing of Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40's ("District No. 40") Motion to
Quash: (1) Bolthouse Properties, LLC and Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc.'s Notice to District No. 40
to Appear and Produce Documents at Trial; (2) Tejon Ranchcorp, Tejon Ranch Company and
Granite Construction Company's Notice to District No. 40 to Appear and Produce Documents at
Trial; and (3) Tejon Ranchcorp and Tejon Ranch Company's Supplemental Notice to District No.
40 to Appear and Produce Documents at Trial.
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Motion to Quash filed by District No. 40 be set for
hearing on May 28, 2013 at in Department 316 of the above-entitled Court.
IT IS SO ORDERED.
Dated:
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

26345.00000\7983289.1

LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 18101 VON KARMAN AVENUE, SUITE 1000 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92612

X

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Sandra K. Sandoval, declare:

I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is Best & Krieger LLP,300 South Grand Avenue, 25th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90071. On May 24, 2013, I served the within document(s):

[PROPOSED] ORDER SHORTENING TIME ON THE HEARING OF RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO QUASH NOTICES TO APPEAR AND PRODUCE DOCUMENTS

by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Court

 website in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater matter.
by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Irvine, California addressed as set forth below.
by causing personal delivery by ASAP Corporate Services of the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
I caused such envelope to be delivered via overnight delivery addressed as indicated on the attached service list. Such envelope was deposited for delivery by Federal Express following the firm's ordinary business practices.

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on May 24, 2013, at Los Angeles, California.

Sandra K. Sandoval

28 26345.00000\7983289.1