1 BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP **EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES** ERIC L. GARNER, Bar No. 130665 UNDER GOVERNMENT CODE 2 JEFFREY V. DUNN, Bar No. 131926 SECTION 6103 STEFANIE HEDLUND MORRIS, Bar No. 239787 3 18101 VON KARMAN AVENUE, SUITE 1000 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92612 4 TELEPHONE: (949) 263-2600 TELECOPIER: (949) 260-0972 5 Attorneys for Cross-Complainant LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS 6 DISTRICT NO. 40 7 OFFICE OF COUNTY COUNSEL COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 8 JOHN F. KRATTLI, Bar No. 82149 COUNTY COUNSEL 9 WARREN WELLEN, Bar No. 139152 PRINCIPAL DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL 10 500 WEST TEMPLE STREET LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012 11 TELEPHONE: (213) 974-8407 TELECOPIER: (213) 687-7337 12 Attorneys for Cross-Complainant LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40 13 14 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 15 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT 16 17 ANTELOPE VALLEY Judicial Council Coordination No. 4408 **GROUNDWATER CASES** 18 **CLASS ACTION** 19 **Included Actions:** Los Angeles County Waterworks District Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Assigned to The Honorable Jack Komar 20 Court of California, County of Los [PROPOSED] CASE MANAGEMENT Angeles, Case No. BC 325201; 21 ORDER ON PHASE V TRIAL ISSUES Los Angeles County Waterworks District 22 No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of California, County of Kern, Case 23 No. S-1500-CV-254-348; 24 Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. City of 25 Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist., Superior Court of 26 California, County of Riverside, Case Nos. RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668 27 28

LAW OFFICES OF
BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP
BIOI VON KARMAN AVENUE, SUITE
IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92612

On or before August 8, 2013, the parties commonly known as the "Public Water Suppliers" will provide a statement relating to their water rights claims including prescriptive rights claims, which claims, if proven, will be charged against the Basin as a whole. The statement will identify information items to assist parties in understanding the Public Water Suppliers' water rights claims.

On or before August 28, 2013, the Public Water Supplier parties will provide the information for the statement items disclosed on August 8, 2013.

On or before August 16, 2012, parties may submit briefs on whether jury trial rights apply to the next phase of trial determining parties' claims to water including federal reserve rights, overlying rights, appropriative rights, prescriptive rights, priority claims to return flows, and other municipal and domestic priority claims. In particular, the court is interested in the distinction, if any, between riparian and groundwater rights in the context of comprehensive adjudication of groundwater rights.

The court will hold a hearing at 10:00 a.m., on September 6, 2013 to consider issues to be determined for the next phase of trial.

SO ORDERED.

DATED: ______, 2013 HON. JACK KOMAR

26345.00000\8189099.1

LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 18101 VON KARMAN AVENUE, SUITE 1RVINE, CALIFORNIA 92612

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Kerry V. Keefe, declare:

I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is Best & Krieger LLP, 5 Park Plaza, Suite 1500, Irvine, California, 92614. On August 2, 2013, I served the within document(s):

[PROPOSED] CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER ON PHASE V TRIAL ISSUES

×	by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Courwebsite in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater matter.
	by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereor fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Irvine, California addressed as set forth below.
	by causing personal delivery by ASAP Corporate Services of the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
	by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
	I caused such envelope to be delivered via overnight delivery addressed as indicated on the attached service list. Such envelope was deposited for delivery by Federal Express following the firm's ordinary business practices.

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on August 2, 2013, at Irvine, California.

Kerry V. Keefe

26345.00000\6052781.1