

BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP  
ERIC L. GARNER, Bar No. 130665  
JEFFREY V. DUNN, Bar No. 131926  
18101 VON KARMAN AVENUE, SUITE 1000  
IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92612  
TELEPHONE: (949) 263-2600  
TELECOPIER: (949) 260-0972  
Attorneys for Cross-Complainant  
LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS  
DISTRICT NO. 40

**EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES  
UNDER GOVERNMENT CODE  
SECTION 6103**

OFFICE OF COUNTY COUNSEL  
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES  
JOHN F. KRATTLI, Bar No. 82149  
COUNTY COUNSEL  
WARREN WELLEN, Bar No. 139152  
PRINCIPAL DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL  
500 WEST TEMPLE STREET  
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012  
TELEPHONE: (213) 974-8407  
TELECOPIER: (213) 687-7337

Attorneys for Cross-Complainant LOS ANGELES  
COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT

ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER  
CASES

Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding  
No. 4408

Included Actions:  
Los Angeles County Waterworks District No.  
40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of  
California, County of Los Angeles, Case No.  
BC 325201;

CLASS ACTION

Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053  
Assigned to the Honorable Jack Komar

Los Angeles County Waterworks District No.  
40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of  
California, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-  
CV-254-348;

LOS ANGELES COUNTY  
WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40'S  
NOTICE OF DESIGNATION OF  
PERCIPIENT WITNESS

Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of  
Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. City of  
Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale  
Water Dist., Superior Court of California,  
County of Riverside, Case Nos. RIC 353 840,  
RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668;

RICHARD WOOD, on behalf of himself and  
all other similarly situated v. A.V. Materials,  
Inc., et al., Superior Court of California,  
County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC509546.

1                                    **NOTICE OF DESIGNATION OF PERCIPIENT WITNESS**

2       TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

3           PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 ("District  
4       No. 40") submits the following percipient witness who may be called to testify at the Phase 5 trial  
5       in this matter:


- 6           • Adam Ariki, Assistant Deputy Director with the County of Los Angeles  
7           Department of Public Works.

8           This list does not include any rebuttal witnesses and the District No. 40 reserves its right  
9       to later name additional witnesses before trial. District No. 40 further reserves its right to call  
10      witnesses designated by other parties.

11  
12      Dated: November 1, 2013

BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP

13  
14      By

  
ERIC L. GARNER

JEFFREY V. DUNN

Attorneys for LOS ANGELES COUNTY  
WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40

15  
16  
17      26345.00000\8369187.1  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

I, Sandra K. Sandoval, declare:

I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is Best Best & Krieger LLP, 300 South Grand Avenue, 25th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90071. On November 1, 2013, I served the within document(s):


**LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40'S NOTICE  
OF DESIGNATION OF PERCIPIENT WITNESS**

- ☒ by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Court website in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater matter.
- ☐ by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Irvine, California addressed as set forth below.
- ☐ by causing personal delivery by ASAP Corporate Services of the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
- ☐ by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on November 1, 2013, at Los Angeles, California.

  
Sandra K. Sandoval