1	BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP ERIC L. GARNER, Bar No. 130665	EXEMPT FROM FILE
2	JEFFREY V. DUNN, Bar No. 131926 WENDY Y. WANG, Bar No. 228923	SECTION 6103
3	18101 VON KARMAN AVENUE, SUITE 1000	
4	IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92612 TELEPHONE: (949) 263-2600	
5	TELECOPIER: (949) 260-0972	
5	Attorneys for Cross-Complainant LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS	
6	DISTRICT NO. 40	
7	OFFICE OF COUNTY COUNSEL	
0-	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
8	MARK J. SALADINO, BAR NO. 118305	
9	COUNTY COUNSEL	
	WARREN WELLEN, Bar No. 139152 PRINCIPAL DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL	
10	500 WEST TEMPLE STREET	
11	LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012	
11	TELEPHONE: (213) 974-8407	
12	TELECOPIER: (213) 687-7337 Attorneys for Cross-Complainant	
	LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS	
13	DISTRICT NO. 40	
14	[See Next Page For Additional Counsel]	
15	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE ST	TATE OF CALIFORNIA
	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES -	- CENTRAL DISTRICT
16	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES	Judicial Council Coo
17	Included Actions:	Proceeding No. 4408
		CLASS ACTION
18	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of	CLASS ACTION
19	California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC	Santa Clara Case No.
	325201;	Assigned to the Hono
20	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v.	PUBLIC WATER S
21	Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of	REPLY TO WILLIS
	California, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348;	OPPOSITION TO M
22	Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster,	PRELIMINARY AF
<u>,, </u>	Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster,	WOOD CLASS SET
23	Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist.,	Date: March 26, 2
24	Superior Court of California, County of Riverside,	Time: 10:00 a.m.
25 26	Case Nos. RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668;	Place: Superior Co
	RICHARD WOOD, on behalf of himself and all	County of I 111 N. Hill
	other similarly situated v. A.V. Materials, Inc., et	Los Angele
	al., Superior Court of California, County of Los	
27	Angeles, Case No. BC509546	

EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES UNDER GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 6103

CENTRAL DISTRICT

Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408

CLASS ACTION

Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 Assigned to the Honorable Jack Komar

PUBLIC WATER SUPPLIERS' REPLY TO WILLIS CLASS' OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF WOOD CLASS SETTLEMENT

Date: March 26, 2015

Place: Superior Court of California

County of Los Angeles 111 N. Hill Street, Rm. 222 Los Angeles, CA 90012

1	RICHARDS WATSON & GERSHON		
2	James L. Markman, Bar No. 43536 355 S. Grand Avenue, 40 th Floor		
	Los Angeles, CA 90071-3101		
3	(213) 626-8484; (213) 626-0078 fax Attorneys for City of Palmdale		
4			
5	MURPHY & EVERTZ LLP Douglas J. Evertz, Bar No. 123066 650 Town Center Drive, Suite 550 Costa Mesa, CA 92626		
6			
7	(714) 277-1700; (714) 277-1777 fax		
	Attorneys for City of Lancaster and Rosamond Community Services District		
8	Community Services District		
9	LEMIEUX & O'NEILL		
10	Wayne Lemieux, Bar No. 43501 4165 E. Thousand Oaks Blvd., Ste. 350		
11	Westlake Village, CA 91362 (805) 495-4770; (805) 495-2787 fax		
12	Attorneys for Littlerock Creek Irrigation District, Palm Ranch Irrigation District, Desert Lake Community		
	Services District, North Edwards Water District, Llano		
13	Del Rio Water Company, Llano Mutual Water Company and Big Rock Mutual Water Company		
14	and 2.5 reconstruction was company		
15	LAGERLOF SENECAL GOSNEY & KRUSE Thomas Bunn III, Bar No. 89502		
16	301 North Lake Avenue, 10 th Floor		
	Pasadena, CA 91101-4108 (626) 793-9400; (626) 793-5900 fax		
17	Attorneys for Palmdale Water District		
18			
19	CHARLTON WEEKS LLP Bradley T. Weeks, Bar No. 173745		
20	1007 West Avenue M-14, Suite A Palmdale, CA 93551		
	(661) 265-0969; (661) 265-1650 fax		
21	Attorneys for Quartz Hill Water District		
22	CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE COMPANY		
23	John Tootle, Bar No. 181822		
	2632 West 237 th Street Torrance, CA 90505		
24	(310) 257-1488; (310) 325-4605 fax		
25			
26			
27			

Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40, City of Palmdale, City of Lancaster, Rosamond Community Services District, Littlerock Creek Irrigation District, Palm Ranch Irrigation District, Desert Lake Community Services District, North Edwards Water District, Llano Del Rio Water Company, Llano Mutual Water Company, Big Rock Mutual Water Company, Palmdale Water District, Quartz Hill Water District, and California Water Service Company (collectively "Public Water Suppliers") hereby submit their reply to the Willis Class' Opposition to Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Settlement.

The issue before the Court is whether the proposed Wood Class settlement agreement "is within the 'range of reasonableness' for possible [court] approval, and thus whether it is worthwhile to issue notice to the class of the terms and conditions as well as schedule a formal fairness hearing." (1-14 Cabraser, California Class Actions and Coordinated Proceedings (2d ed), § 14.02 (2014) [citations omitted]; see also, Holden v. Burlington N., Inc. (D. Minn. 1987) 665 F. Supp. 1398, 1402; In re Traffic Executive Asso.--Eastern Railroads (2d Cir. 1980) 627 F.2d 631, 634 [a court's preliminary approval of a proposed class settlement "is at most a determination that there is what might be termed 'probable cause'" of fairness and reasonableness]; In re Montgomery County Real Estate Antitrust Litigation (D. Md. 1979) 83 F.R.D. 305, 313.) 1

A proposed settlement is presumed to be reasonable and fair, if: "(1) the settlement is reached through arm's-length bargaining; (2) investigation and discovery are sufficient to allow counsel and the court to act intelligently; (3) counsel is experienced in similar litigation; and (4) the percentage of [class member] objectors is small." (Wershba v. Apple Computer, Inc. (2001) 91 Cal.App.4th 224, 245-46.) The Willis Class does not present evidence that the Wood Class has not met its burden of establishing a presumption of a reasonable and fair settlement for the Wood Class members. Rather, the Willis Class' Opposition is merely an objection to the proposed Wood Class settlement agreement.

¹ California courts may look to federal rules of procedure regarding class actions and the federal cases interpreting them for guidance or "where California precedent is lacking." (Wershba, supra, 91 Cal. App. 4th at 239-240; see also, Apple Computer, Inc. v. Superior Court (2005) 126 Cal. App. 4th 1253, 1264 ["California courts may look to federal authority for guidance on matters involving class action procedures."] [citation and quotation marks omitted].)

While the Public Water Suppliers disagree with Willis Class' contentions, the preliminary approval hearing is not the time or the place to hear Willis Class' objections to the proposed Wood Class settlement agreement or the proposed Stipulated Judgment and Physical Solution.

The Court has scheduled a deadline (April 1, 2015) for parties to object to the proposed Stipulated Judgment and Physical Solution, a deadline (July 17, 2015) for discovery relating to objections to the proposed Stipulated Judgment and Physical Solution, and a hearing date (August 3, 2015) for the final approval of the proposed Wood Class settlement agreement and the proposed Stipulated Judgment and Physical Solution. Willis Class' objections to the proposed physical solution should be heard at the same time as other objections to the proposed Wood Class settlement agreement and the proposed Stipulated Judgment and Physical Solution, which is not set to occur for several months.

As the Willis Class objections are premature and should not be considered at the upcoming hearing, the Public Water Suppliers hereby reserve their rights to respond to the Willis Class' objections at a later time.

For the reasons stated above, the Public Water Suppliers respectfully request that the Court preliminarily approve the Wood Class Settlement.

Dated: March 19, 2015

BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP

D.

ERIC L GARNER JEFFREY V. DUNN WENDY Y. WANG

Attorneys for

LOS ANGELES COUNTY

WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40

LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 18101 VON KARMAN AVENUE, SUITE 1000 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92612

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Rosanna R. Pérez, declare:

I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is Best & Krieger LLP, 300 South Grand Avenue, 25th Floor, Los Angeles, California 0 90071. On March 19, 2015, I served the within document(s):

PUBLIC WATER SUPPLIERS' REPLY TO WILLIS CLASS' OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF WOOD CLASS SETTLEMENT

by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Court website in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater matter.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. Executed on March 19, 2015, at Los Angeles, California.

Rosarina R. Pérez

26345.00000\9628508.2