EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 1 **UNDER GOVERNMENT CODE** ERIC L. GARNER, Bar No. 130665 **SECTION 6103** 2 JEFFREY V. DUNN, Bar No. 131926 WENDY Y. WANG, Bar No. 228923 SARAH CHRISTOPHER FOLEY Bar No. 277223 3 18101 VON KARMAN AVENUE, SUITE 1000 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92612 4 TELEPHONE: (949) 263-2600 TELECOPIER: (949) 260-0972 5 Attorneys for Cross-Complainant LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS 6 DISTRICT NO. 40 7 OFFICE OF COUNTY COUNSEL 8 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES MARK J. SALADINO, BAR NO. 118305 9 COUNTY COUNSEL WARREN WELLEN, Bar No. 139152 10 PRINCIPAL DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL **500 WEST TEMPLE STREET** 11 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012 TELEPHONE: (213) 974-8407 12 TELECOPIER: (213) 687-7337 Attorneys for Cross-Complainant 13 LOS ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS **DISTRICT NO. 40** 14 [See Next Page For Additional Counsel] 15 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 16 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - CENTRAL DISTRICT ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES Judicial Council Coordination 17 Proceeding Included Actions: No. 4408 18 Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of California, **CLASS ACTION** 19 County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 325201; Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 20 Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Assigned to the Honorable Jack Komar Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of California, 21 County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348; PUBLIC WATER SUPPLIERS' AMENDMENT TO PUBLIC WATER 22 Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster, **SUPPLIERS' FIRST AMENDED** Diamond Farming Co. v. City of Lancaster, CROSS-COMPLAINT (FICTITIOUS 23 Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist., NAME) [ROES 2330-2331] Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, 24 Case Nos. RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668 25 RICHARD WOOD, on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated v. A.V. Materials, Inc., et al., 26 Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC509546 27 28

28

-2-

Upon the filing of the First Amended Cross-Complaint, the Public Water Suppliers, being unaware of the true names of certain cross-defendants, designated those cross-defendants in the First Amended Cross-Complaint by the fictitious names Roes 1-100,000. Now, the Public Water Suppliers have discovered the true names of those cross-defendants as follows:

- 1. Roe 2330 as Robar Enterprises, Inc.
- 2. Roe 2331 as Hi-Grade Materials Co.

Accordingly, the Public Water Suppliers amend the First Amended Cross-Complaint by substituting the true name for the fictitious name wherever it appears in the First Amended Cross-Complaint.

Dated: June 26, 2015

BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP

FRIC

JEFFREY V. DUNN WENDY Y. WANG

SARAH CHRISTOPHER FOLEY Attorneys for Cross-Complainant LOS ANGELES COUNTY

WATERWORKS DISTRICT NO. 40

LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 18101 VON KARMAN AVENUE, SUITE 1000 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92612

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Sandra Rosales, declare:

I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is Best Best & Krieger LLP, 300 South Grand Avenue, 25th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90071. On June 26, 2015, I served the within document(s):

PUBLIC WATER SUPPLIERS' AMENDMENT TO PUBLIC WATER SUPPLIERS' FIRST AMENDED CROSS-COMPLAINT (FICTITIOUS NAME) [ROES 2330-2331]

×	by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Court website in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater matter.
	by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Irvine, California addressed as set forth below.
	by causing personal delivery by ASAP Corporate Services of the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
	by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. Executed on June 26, 2015, at Los Angeles, California.

Sandra Rosales

26345.00000\10957300.2