1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
10	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT				
11	ANTELOPE VALLEY GROUNDWATER CASES	Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4408			
12 13	Included Actions: Los Angeles County Waterworks District No.	CLASS ACTION			
14	40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC 325201;	Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 Assigned to the Honorable Jack Komar			
15 16	Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of	(PROPOSED) JUDGMENT			
17	California, County of Kern, Case No. S-1500-CV-254-348;				
18 19	Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. City of				
20	Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist., Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, Case Nos. RIC 353 840,				
21	RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668				
22	RICHARD WOOD, on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated v. A.V. Materials,				
23	Inc., et al., Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC509546				
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

PROPOSED JUDGMENT

The matter came on for trial in multiple phases. A large number of parties representing the majority of groundwater production in the Antelope Valley Area of Adjudication ("Basin") entered into a written stipulation to resolve their claims and requested that the Court enter their [Proposed] Judgment and Physical Solution as part of the final judgment. As to all remaining parties, including those who failed to answer or otherwise appear, the Court heard the testimony of witnesses, considered the evidence, and heard the arguments of counsel. Good cause appearing, the Court finds and orders judgment as follows:

- 1. The Second Amended Stipulation For Entry of Judgment and Physical Solution among the stated stipulating parties is accepted and approved by the Court.
- 2. Consistent with the December ____, 2015 Statement of Decision ("Decision"), the Court adopts the Proposed Judgment and Physical Solution attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference, as the Court's own physical solution ("Physical Solution"). The Physical Solution is binding upon all parties.
- 3. In addition to the terms and provisions of the Physical Solution the Court finds as follows:
 - a. Each of the Stipulating Parties to the Physical Solution has the right to pump groundwater from the Antelope Valley Adjudication Area as stated in the Decision and Physical Solution.
 - b. The following entities are awarded prescriptive rights from the native safe yield against the Tapia Parties, defaulted parties identified in Exhibit 1 to the Physical Solution, and parties who did not appear at trial identified in Exhibit B attached hereto, in the following amounts:

Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40	17,659.07 AFY
Palmdale Water District	8,297.91 AFY
Little Rock Creek Irrigation District	1,760 AFY
Quartz Hill Water District	1,413 AFY
Rosamond Community Services District	1,461.7 AFY
Palm Ranch Irrigation District	1,007 AFY

1			their overlying rights, if any, are subject to the prescriptive rights of the
2			Public Water Suppliers. A list of the parties who failed to appear is
3	i		attached hereto as Exhibit B.
4			h. Robar Enterprises, Inc., Hi-Grade Materials Co., and CJR, a general
5			partnership (collectively, "Robar") are
6			
7	:		
8			
9			
10		4.	Each party shall designate the name, address and email address, to be used for all
11			subsequent notices and service of process by a designation to be filed within thirty
12			days after entry of this Judgment. The designation made be changed from time to
13	:		time by filing a written notice with the Court. Any party desiring to be relieved of
14			receiving notice may file a waiver of notice to be approved by the Court. The
15			Court will maintain a list of parties and their respective addresses to whom notice
16			or service of process is to be sent. If no designation is made as required herein, a
17			party's designee shall be deemed to be the attorney of record or, in the absence of
18			an attorney of record, the party at its specified address.
19		5.	All real property owned by the parties within the Basin is subject to this Judgment
20			It is binding upon all parties, their officers, agents, employees, successors and
21			assigns. Any party, or executor of a deceased party, who transfers real property
22			that is subject to this Judgment shall notify any transferee thereof of this Judgment
23			This Judgment shall not bind the parties that cease to own real property within the
24			Basin, and cease to use groundwater, except to the extent required by the terms of
25			an instrument, contract, or other agreement.
26	7	The Clo	erk shall enter this Judgment.
27			
28	Dated: _		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT - 3 -

26345.00000\22359771.1

20343.000000(22339771.1

- 4 -

LAW OFFICES OF BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 18101 VON KARMAN AVENUE, SUITE 1000 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92612

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Kerry V. Keefe, declare:

I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is Best Best & Krieger LLP, 18101 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 1000, Irvine, California, 92612. On December 4, 2015, I served the within document(s):

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

×	by posting the document(s) listed above to the Santa Clara County Superior Cour website in regard to the Antelope Valley Groundwater matter.
	by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereofully prepaid, in the United States mail at Irvine, California addressed as set fortibelow.
	by causing personal delivery by ASAP Corporate Services of the document(s listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
	by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.
	I caused such envelope to be delivered via overnight delivery addressed as indicated on the attached service list. Such envelope was deposited for delivery by Federal Express following the firm's ordinary business practices.

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on December 4, 2015, at Irvine, California.

Kerry V. Keefe

26345.00000\6052781.1