1 BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES ERIC L. GARNER, Bar No. 130665 UNDER GOVERNMENT CODE 2 JEFFREY V. DUNN, Bar No. 131926 **SECTION 6103** STEFANIE D. HEDLUND, Bar No. 239787 3 5 PARK PLAZA, SUITE 1500 IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614 TELEPHONE: (949) 263-2600 4 TELECOPIER: (949) 260-0972 5 6 Attorneys for Cross-Complainant ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES 7 DISTRICT 8 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT 11 12 ANTELOPE VALLEY Judicial Council Coordination No. 4408 **GROUNDWATER CASES** 13 Santa Clara Case No. 1-05-CV-049053 Included Actions: Assigned to The Honorable Jack Komar 14 Los Angeles County Waterworks District No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior 15 Court of California, County of Los ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES Angeles, Case No. BC 325201; DISTRICT'S OBJECTIONS TO FORM 16 INTERROGATORIES BY CAMERON Los Angeles County Waterworks District PROPERTIES, INC. 17 No. 40 v. Diamond Farming Co., Superior Court of California, County of Kern, Case 18 No. S-1500-CV-254-348; 19 Wm. Bolthouse Farms, Inc. v. City of Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. City of 20 Lancaster, Diamond Farming Co. v. Palmdale Water Dist., Superior Court of 21 California, County of Riverside, Case Nos. RIC 353 840, RIC 344 436, RIC 344 668 22 23 24 PROPOUNDING PARTY: Cross-Defendant CAMERON PROPERTIES, INC. 25 RESPONDING PARTY: Cross-Complainant ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES 26 DISTRICT 27 SET NUMBER: One (1) 28

Cross-Complainant, ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT (the "District") hereby responds to Form Interrogatories, Set One, propounded by Cross-Defendant, CAMERON PROPERTIES, INC. ("Cameron"), as follows:

## PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

The District is in the process of conducting its investigation and discovery in this action. Consequently, the District responds to these Interrogatories to the best of its knowledge, but in doing so, reserves the right to amend its response at a future date. The District further reserves the right to offer, at time of trial, facts, testimony or other evidence discovered subsequent to and not included in this response, and assumes no obligation to voluntarily supplement or amend this response to reflect such facts, testimony or other evidence.

### **GENERAL OBJECTIONS**

By responding to Cameron's Interrogatories, Set One, the District does not concede the relevancy or materiality of any request, or of the subject to which such request refers.

Each response is made subject to all objections as to competence, relevance, materiality, propriety, and admissibility, as well as any or all other objections and grounds which would require exclusion of evidence. The District reserves the right to make any and all such objections at trial and at any other proceeding relating to this action.

The specific responses and objections given below are submitted without prejudice to, and without waiving, any of these general objections even though the general objections are not expressly set forth in each response.

# **OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES**

The District incorporates fully the foregoing Preliminary Statement and General Objections into each of the following specific objections and responses, and no specific objection or response shall be construed to waive any of the General Objections.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## **INTERROGATORY NO. 1.1:**

State the name, **ADDRESS**, telephone number, and relationship to you of each **PERSON** who prepared or assisted in the preparation of the responses to these interrogatories. (*Do not identify anyone who simply typed or reproduced the responses*.)

# **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1.1:**

The District objects to this Request because it relates to issues outside the scope of class certification. At this time the Court has limited discovery in this matter to class certification.

## **INTERROGATORY NO. 2.5:**

State:

- (a) your present residence ADDRESS;
- (b) your residence ADDRESSES for the past five years; and
- (c) the dates you lived at each **ADDRESS**.

# **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2.5:**

The District objects to this Request because it relates to issues outside the scope of class certification. At this time the Court has limited discovery in this matter to class certification.

# **INTERROGATORY NO. 2.6:**

State:

- (a) the name, **ADDRESS**, and telephone number of your present employer or place of self-employment; and
- (b) the name, **ADDRESS**, dates of employment, job title, and nature of work for each employer or self-employment you have had from five years before the **INCIDENT** until today.

### **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2.6:**

The District objects to this Request because it relates to issues outside the scope of class certification. At this time the Court has limited discovery in this matter to class certification. Furthermore, the District objects to this Request because it is a public entity.

### **INTERROGATORY NO. 3.1:**

Are you a corporation? If so, state:

(a) the name stated in the current articles of incorporation;

(b)	all other names used by the corporation during the past 10 years and the dates each
was used;	
(c)	the date and place of incorporation;
(d)	the ADDRESS of the principal place of business; and
(e)	whether you are qualified to do business in California.
RESPONSE	TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3.1:
The I	District objects to this Request because it relates to issues outside the scope of class
certification.	At this time the Court has limited discovery in this matter to class certification.
Furthermore,	the District objects to this Request because it is a public entity.
INTERRO	GATORY NO. 3.2:
Are y	ou a partnership? If so, state:
(a)	the current partnership name;
(b)	all other names used by the partnership during the past 10 years and the dates each
was used;	
(c)	whether you are a limited partnership and, if so, under the laws of what
jurisdiction;	
(d)	the name and ADDRESS of each general partner; and
(e)	the ADDRESS of the principal place of business.
RESPONSE	TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3.2:
The I	District objects to this Request because it relates to issues outside the scope of class
certification.	At this time the Court has limited discovery in this matter to class certification.
Furthermore,	the District objects to this Request because it is a public entity.
INTERROG	SATORY NO. 3.3:
Are y	ou a limited liability company? If so, state:
(a)	the name stated in the current articles of organization;
(b)	all other names used by the company during the past 10 years and the date each
was used;	
(c)	the date and place of filing of the articles of organization;

2	RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 5.5:
4	The District objects to this Request because it relates to issues outside the scope of class
5	certification. At this time the Court has limited discovery in this matter to class certification.
6	Furthermore, the District objects to this Request because it is a public entity.
7	INTERROGATORY NO. 3.4:
8	Are you a joint venture? If so, state:
9	(a) the current joint venture name;
10	(b) all other names used by the joint venture during the past 10 years and the dates
11	each was used;
12	(c) the name and ADDRESS of each joint venturer; and
13	(d) the ADDRESS of the principal place of business.
14	RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3.4:
15	The District objects to this Request because it relates to issues outside the scope of class
16	certification. At this time the Court has limited discovery in this matter to class certification.
17	Furthermore, the District objects to this Request because it is a public entity.
18	INTERROGATORY NO. 3.5:
19	Are you an unincorporated association? If so, state:
20	(a) the current unincorporated association name;
21	(b) all other names used by the unincorporated association during the past 10 years
22	and the dates each was used; and
23	(c) the ADDRESS of the principal place of business.
24	RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3.5:
25	The District objects to this Request because it relates to issues outside the scope of class
26	certification. At this time the Court has limited discovery in this matter to class certification.
27	Furthermore, the District objects to this Request because it is a public entity.
28	

the ADDRESS of the principal place of business; and

whether you are qualified to do business in California.

(d)

(e)

1

2

**INTERROGATORY NO. 3.6:** 

2	Have you done business under a fictitious name during the past 10 years? If so, for each
3	fictitious name state:
4	(a) the name;
5	(b) the dates each was used;
6	(c) the state and county of each fictitious name filing; and
7	(d) the ADDRESS of the principal place of business.
8	RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3.6:
9	The District objects to this Request because it relates to issues outside the scope of class
10	certification. At this time the Court has limited discovery in this matter to class certification.
11	Furthermore, the District objects to this Request because it is a public entity.
12	INTERROGATORY NO. 3.7:
13	Within the past five years has any public entity registered or licensed your businesses? If
14	so, for each license or registration:
15	(a) identify the license or registration;
16	(b) state the name of the public entity; and
17	(c) state the dates of issuance and expiration.
18	RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3.7:
19	The District objects to this Request because it relates to issues outside the scope of class
20	certification. At this time the Court has limited discovery in this matter to class certification.
21	Furthermore, the District objects to this Request because it is a public entity.
22	INTERROGATORY NO. 17.1:
23	Is your response to each request for admission served with these interrogatories an
24.	unqualified admission? If not, for each response that is not an unqualified admission:
25	(a) state the number of the request;
26	(b) state all facts upon which you base your response;
27	(c) state the names, ADDRESSES, and telephone numbers of all PERSONS who
28	have knowledge of those facts; and

(d) identify all **DOCUMENTS** and other tangible things that support your response and state the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of the PERSON who has each **DOCUMENT** or thing. **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 17.1:** The District objects to this Request because it relates to issues outside the scope of class certification. At this time the Court has limited discovery in this matter to class certification. Dated: April 7, 2008 BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP CL. GARNER JEFFREY V. DUNN STEFANIE D. HEDLUND Attorneys for Cross-Complainant ROSAMOND COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT